CS4234: Optimisation Algorithms

Tutorial 2

MSC, STEINER-TREE, M(W)FES, 2-CNF-SAT, PS2

V1.7: A/Prof Steven Halim

September 04, 2023

MODAL ANSWER IS FOR OUR CLASS USAGE ONLY; NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED IN PUBLIC

Discussion Points

Q1: We discussed Integer Linear Programming (ILP) in Lecture 02. Now express the proven NP-hard optimization problem: MIN-SET-COVER problem that we discussed in Lecture 03a as an ILP!

Q2: Now express what we know as a P problem: MIN-SPANNING-TREE problem as an ILP! Will you solve MST problem that way instead of using what you already know from earlier modules?

Q3: In Lecture03b, we discussed the EUCLIDEAN-STEINER-TREE problem. Now let's spend some time discussing (and maybe proving) some of these properties that were only discussed briefly in Lecture 03b. The TA will draw a few (e.g., n = 7) 'random' points on Euclidean plane (the whiteboard) and we will try to apply these known properties to help us derive an optimal (or at least (visually) good enough) Euclidean Steiner Tree:

- Each Steiner point in an optimal solution has degree 3.
- The three lines entering a Steiner point form 120 degree angles, in an optimal solution.
- An optimal solution has at most n-2 Steiner points.

Q4: Prof Halim needs to continually increase the number of (NP-)hard problems that has been exposed to CS4234 students so far (to have a more interesting Midterm Test and Final Assessment). So let's study this yet other problem MIN-WEIGHT-FEEDBACK-EDGE-SET (MWFES) and MIN-FEEDBACK-EDGE-SET (MFES) for the unweighted version.

You are given a *directed weighted* graph G = (V, E) with (positive) weights $w : E \to \mathbb{R}$ and $|E| \ge 1$. Your goal is to delete the some edges to produce an acyclic graph with the maximum remaining edge weights. That is:

Find a minimum weight set of edges F such that $G = (V, E \setminus F)$ is acyclic.

Part 0. Draw an (small) example graph and explain this problem to your tutorial group.

Part 1. If G is an *undirected weighted* graph, give an efficient algorithm to solve this problem *optimally*.

Part 2. In the case of directed graphs, the MFES (or MWFES) problem is NP-hard (for now, just assume that it is really NP-hard and later in (the optional) Part 4, we will show you the details). Now, consider the following (heuristic) algorithm: Given a directed graph G = (V, E) and weights 1 (or w) for MFES (or MWFES) version, respectively:

1. Let the vertices be $V = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$.

- 2. Build graph $G_f = (V, E_f)$ containing only forward edges. That is, $E_f = (v_i, v_j)$ where i < j.
- 3. Build graph $G_b = (V, E_b)$ containing only backward edges. That is, $E_b = (v_j, v_i)$ where i < j.
- 4. Return the graph G_f or G_b containing more edges (or higher total weighted edges for the weighted MWFES version) as the acyclic graph (and the other non-selected edges as the removed edges F).

Show that:

- Both G_f and G_b are valid solutions to the MFES/MWFES problem.
- Show that the algorithm is not a good approximation algorithm for MFES/MWFES.

Part 3. Now consider the *complementary* (the dual) problem:

Find a maximum weight subgraph $G' \subset G$ that is acyclic.

Notice that *weight* here refers to the sum of the edge weights. Now show that the (heuristic) algorithm above is actually a 2-approximation algorithm.

Part 4 (optional to save time, just read the modal answer). Assume G is a *directed* graph. Show that now it is NP-hard to solve by reduction from the VERTEX-COVER problem. That is, given an instance of VERTEX-COVER problem, show how to reduce it into an instance of FEEDBACK-EDGE-SET problem.

Q5: The 3-CNF-SAT problem is one of the classic baseline problem to show the NP-hardness of a few other classic problems, e.g. 3-CNF-SAT \leq_p Clique \leq_p Vertex-Cover (we briefly shown Clique \leq_p Vertex-Cover in Lecture01). One of the PS2 'new' question (it appeared in 2021) involves a special case 2-CNF-SAT of this 3-CNF-SAT.

2-CNF-SAT problem is defined as follows: Given a conjunction of disjunctions ("and of ors") where each disjunction ("the or operation") has three (2) arguments that may be variables or the negation of variables, find a truth (T/F) assignment to these variables that makes the formula true. For example, $\phi = (x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_3) \wedge (\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3)$ is satisfiable.

Part 1. Find a truth assignment to x_1 , x_2 and x_3 so that the given ϕ is satisfiable.

Part 2. Which problem in PS2 is actually 2-CNF-SAT problem?

Part 3. What is the high-level idea to solve this special case of an NP-complete decision problem?