Mandatory Access Control

DAC and Trojan Horse



DAC and Trojan Horse



Black has access to Employee now!

Mandatory Access Control (MAC)

- Security *level of object* (security label): Sensitivity of object
- Security *level of subject* (security class): user's clearance
 - E.g. Top Secret > Secret > Confidential > Unclassified
- MAC specifies the access that subjects have to objects based on the subjects and objects classification
- This type of security has also been referred to as multilevel security

Mandatory Access Control (MAC)

- Controlling information flow (Bell-LaPadulla properties BLP):
 - No READ UP: Subject clearance ≥ object security
 - No WRITE DOWN (*-property): Subject clearance ≤ object security
 - Prevent information in high level objects from flowing to low level subjects
 - Tranquility property: The classification of a resource cannot be changed while the resource is in use by any user of the system
- Necessary but not sufficient conditions
- May still have problems covert channel
 - Indirect means by which info at higher levels passed to lower levels

MAC – Controlling Information Flow



6

MAC – Problems?

- Write-up allows destruction of more secure info
 - Limit to same level; disable write-up
- Write-up means cannot send info to lower-level subjects
 - Subject can sign in at lower level
 - Prevent malicious programs from leaking secrets
 - Users are trusted, not programs
- Hierarchy of security levels is too restrictive
 - Consider the notion of "need-to-know"
 - In military applications, someone cleared for TOP SECRET information on OPERATION X may not even need to know about UNCLASSIFED documents on OPERATION Y
 - Lattice of security labels

Lattice of Security Labels

- Security level is (clearance, category set)
- Examples
 - -(Top Secret, { NUC, EUR, ASI })
 - (Confidential, {EUR, ASI})
 - -(Secret, {NUC, ASI})

Levels and Lattices

- (A, C) dom (A', C') iff $A' \leq A$ and $C' \subseteq C$
- Examples
 - (Top Secret, {NUC, ASI}) dom (Secret, {NUC})
 - (Secret, {NUC, EUR}) *dom* (Confidential,{NUC, EUR})
 - (Top Secret, {NUC}) ¬dom (Confidential, {EUR})
 - (Secret, {NUC}) ¬dom (Confidential,{NUC, EUR})
- Let C be set of classifications, K set of categories. Set of security levels $L = C \times K$, dom form lattice
 - Partially ordered set
 - Any pair of elements
 - Has a greatest lower bound
 - Has a least upper bound

Example Lattice



10



Why Apply MAC to DB?

- Data can be viewed as sensitive for many different reasons. Examples:
 - personal and private matters or communications, professional trade secrets
 - company plans for marketing or finance
 - military information, or government plans
- Such data is often mixed with other, less sensitive information that is legitimately needed by diverse users
- Restricting access to entire tables or segregating sensitive data into separate databases can create a working environment that is costly in hardware, software, user time, and administration.

Multilevel Relational (MLR) Model

- The multilevel relational (MLR for short) model results from the application of the BLP model to relational databases
- Several issues
 - Granularity: to which element do we apply the classification?
 - Integrity constraints

Traditional Relational Model

- Standard relational model each relation is characterized by two components
- A state-invariant *relation schema* R(A1,..., An) where Ai is an attribute over some domain Di
- A state-dependent relation over R composed of distinct tuples of the form (a1,..., an), where each ai is a value in domain Di

S	Ν	L	R	W	Н
123-22-3666	Attishoo	48	8	10	40
231-31-5368	Smiley	22	8	10	40
131-24-3650	Smethurst	35	5	7	30
434-26-3751	Guldu	35	5	7	30
612-67-4134	Madayan	35	8	10	40

Relational Model – keys and FD

- Functional dependencies
 - Let R be a relation and let X and Y be attribute sets, both subsets of the attribute set of R
 - we say that X functionally determines Y if and only if no two tuples may exist in R with the same value for X but different values for Y
- Primary Keys (entity integrity property)
 - the primary key uniquely identifies each tuple in the relation
 - A primary key cannot contain attributes with null values
 - A relation cannot contain two tuples with the same value for the primary key

Example

- Consider relation Hourly_Emps:
 - Hourly_Emps (<u>ssn</u>, name, lot, rating, hrly_wages, hrs_worked)

- FDs S \rightarrow SNLRWH
 - *ssn* is the key
- FDs give more detail than the mere assertion of a key
 - *rating* determines *hrly_wages*
 - $R \rightarrow W$

S	N	L	R	W	H
123-22-3666	Attishoo	48	8	10	40
231-31-5368	Smiley	22	8	10	40
131-24-3650	Smethurst	35	5	7	30
434-26-3751	Guldu	35	5	7	30
612-67-4134	Madayan	35	8	10	40

MLR Model

- Given a relation, an access class can be associated with:
 - The entire relation
 - Each tuple in the relation
 - This is the common choice in commercial systems
 - Each attribute value of each tuple in the relation
 - In the remainder we consider this case
 - Toward a Multilevel Secure Relational Data Model. Proc 1991 ACM Int'l. Conf. on Management of Data (SIGMOD), 50-59.

Multilevel (ML) relations

A ML relation is characterized by two components

- A state-invariant *relation scheme*
 - R(A1,C1,..., An,Cn, TC) where:
 - Ai is an attribute over some domain Di
 - Ci is a classification attribute for Ai; its domain is the set of access classes that can be associated with values of Ai
 - TC is the classification attribute of the tuple
- A set of state-dependent *relation instances Rc* over R for each access class in the access class lattice. Each instance *Rc* is composed of distinct tuples of the form (a1,c1,..., an,cn, tc), where:
 - ai is a value in domain Di
 - ci is the access class for ai
 - tc is the access class of the tuple determined as the least upper bound of all ci in the tuple
 - Classification attributes cannot assume null values

ML relations - example

Vessel (AK)	Objective	Destination	TC
Micra U	Shipping U	Moon U	U
Vision U	Spying U	Saturn U	U
Avenger C	Spying C	Mars C	С
Logos S	Shipping S	Venus S	S

ML relations - instances

- A given relation may thus have instances at different access classes
- The relation instance at class c contains all data that are visible to subjects at level c
 - It contains all data whose access classes are *dominated* by c
 - All elements with access classes higher than c, or incomparable, are masked by null values
 - Sometimes, to avoid signaling channels, fictitious values (called cover story values) can be used

ML relations - example

Vessel (AK)	Objective	Destination	TC	
Micra U	Shipping U	Moon U	U	
Vision U	Spying U	Saturn U	U	
Avenger C	Spying C	Mars C	С	
Logos S	Shipping S	Venus S	S	

- Level U users see first 2 tuples
- Level C users see first 3 tuples
- Level S users see all tuples

MLS Model

- Entity integrity rule
 - All attributes that are members of the *apparent key* must not be null (i.e., $A_i \in AK \Rightarrow t[A_i] \neq NULL$)
 - All attributes of AK must have the same security classification within each individual tuple (i.e., A_i , $A_j \in AK \Rightarrow t[C_i] = t[C_j]$)
 - For each tuple, the access class associated with the non-key attributes must dominate the access class of the primary key (i.e., $A_i \notin AK \Rightarrow t[C_i] \ge t[C_{AK}]$).
- Null integrity
 - Nulls are classified at the level of the key
 - One tuple does not subsume another (null values subsumed by non-null values)
- Inter-Instance Integrity
 - User can only see portion of relation for which he/she is cleared
 - Data not cleared is set to null
 - Eliminate subsumed tuples

MLS Model - Example

S-user view:

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Talos U	U
Voyager U	Spying S	Mars S	S

U-user view:

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Talos U	U
Voyager U	Null U	Null U	U

ML relations – keys and polyinstantiation

- In the standard relational model, each tuple is uniquely identified, by the values of its key attributes
- When access classes are introduced, there may be the need for the *simultaneous presence of multiple tuples with the same value for the apparent key attributes*!

MLS Insert

- What if a U user wants to insert a tuple with vessel = Avenger?
 - If insert is allowed will there be any problems?
 - We will have 2 Avengers
 - Duplicate primary key violates unique constraints
 - If we reject the insert what will happen?
 - Covert channel U user knows that there is another record with same key value that is not visible to him

Vessel (AK)	Objective	Destination	TC
Micra U	Shipping U	Moon U	U
Vision U	Spying U	Saturn U	U
Avenger C	Spying C	Mars C	С
Logos S	Shipping S	Venus S	S

Polyinstantiation

- Phenomenon where *simultaneous presence* of multiple tuples with the *same value* for the key attributes *with different classification*
- Two situations:
 - A low user inserts data in a field which already contains data at higher or incomparable level *invisible polyinstantiation*
 - A high user inserts data in a field which already contains data at a lower level – visible polyinstantiation

ML relations – invisible polyinstantiation

Suppose a low user asks to insert a tuple with the same primary key as an existing tuple at a higher level; the DBMS has three choices:

- 1) Notify the user that a tuple with the same primary key exists at higher level and reject the insertion
 - signaling channel
- 2) Replace the existing tuple at higher level with the new tuple being inserted at low level
 - allows the low user to overwrite data not visible to him and thus compromising integrity
- 3) Insert the new tuple at low level without modifying the existing tuple at the higher level (i.e. polyinstantiate the entity)
 - is a reasonable choice; as consequence, it introduces a polyinstantiated entity

ML relations – invisible polyinstantiation (Example)

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Micra U	Shipping U	Moon U	U
Vision U	Spying U	Saturn U	U
Avenger U	Shipping U	Mars U	U
Avenger C	Spying C	Mars C	С
Logos S	Shipping S	Venus S	S

A U-user inserts (Avenger, Shipping, Mars) The tuples with primary key "Avenger" are *polyinstantied*

ML relations – visible polyinstantiation

Suppose a high user asks to insert a tuple with the same primary key as an existing tuple at lower level; the DBMS has three choices:

- 1) Notify the user that a tuple with the same primary key exists and reject the insertion
 - does not introduce a signaling channel; however, rejecting the insertion my result in a DoS problem
- 2) Replace the existing tuple at lower level with the new tuple being inserted at the high level
 - would result in removing a tuple at lower level and thus introduce a signaling channel
- 3) Insert the new tuple at high level without modifying the existing tuple at the lower level (i.e. polyinstantiate the entity)
 - is a reasonable choice; as consequence, it introduces a polyinstantiated entity

ML relations – visible polyinstantiation (Example)

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Micra U	Shipping U	Moon U	U
Vision U	Spying U	Saturn U	U
Avenger S	Shipping S	Mars S	S
Avenger C	Spying C	Mars C	С
Logos S	Shipping S	Venus S	S

A S-user inserts (Avenger, Shipping, Mars) The tuples with primary key "Avenger" are *polyinstantied*

MLS Model

Polyinstantiation Integrity

AK, C_{AK} , $C_i \rightarrow A_i$

- Implies Primary key in MLS is:
 - AK U C_{AK} U C_R
 - AK are data in PK, $\rm C_{AK}$ is class of PK data, $\rm C_{R}$ is data not in AK

MLS Model - Updates

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	null U	U

S-user updates Destination to Rigel: ??

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Rigel S	S

OF	2
----	---

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	null U	U
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Rigel S	S



MLS Model - Update

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	null U	U

S-user updates Objective to Spying: ??

Enterprise U Spying S

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC	
Enterprise U	Spying S	null U	S	
	OR			
Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC	
Enterprise U	Exploration U	null U	U	

null U

S

More Update Examples

	\/_\\/'
U	

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	null U	U

S view:

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Rigel S	S

U-user wants to update, set Destination = Talos where Vessel = 'Enterprise"

Update

U view:

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Talos U	U

S View:

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Talos U	U
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Rigel S	S

Suppose S-users want to update, set objective=spying where Vessel = 'Enterprise" and destination ='Rigel'

Update

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Talos U	U
Enterprise U	Spying S	Rigel S	S

What if S-user set objective=spying where Vessel="Enterprise"?

Vessel	Objective	Destination	TC
Enterprise U	Exploration U	Talos U	U
Enterprise U	Spying S	Talos U	S
Enterprise U	Spying S	Rigel S	S

Delete

- Because of the *-property, only tuples that satisfy the predicates AND t[TC] = c are deleted from R_c (R_c is table at classification c)
- To maintain inter-instance integrity, polyinstantiated tuples are also deleted from R_{c'>c}
 - If t[AK] = c, then any polyinstantiated tuples in $R_{c'>c}$ will be deleted from $R_{c'>c}$
 - If t[AK] < c, then the entity will continue to exist in R_{t[AK]} and in R_{c'>t[AK]}

Summary

- MAC protects against TH
- Vulnerable to covert channels
- Subjects and objects have to be classified which may not always be feasible