Review: RBAC

- RBAC supports both Static Separation of Duty (SSoD) and Dynamic Separation of Duty (DSoD). Let {RS, n} denote a constraint that no user should be assigned to n or more of the roles in the set RS. For simplicity, assume that there is no role hierarchy defined.
- Let {(r1, r2, r3, r4), 3} be a defined SSoD. Which of the following UA (User Assignment) sets are valid?
 - $UA1 = \{(u1, r1), (u2, r1), (u3, r1), (u1, r2), (u4, r2), (u5, r2), (u1, r3), (u2, r3), (u3, r3), (u4, r4)\}$
 - $UA2 = \{(u1, r1), (u3, r1), (u5, r1), (u1, r2), (u2, r2), (u3, r2), (u5, r2), (u2, r3), (u4, r3)\}$

• UA1 = {(u1, r1), (u2, r1), (u3, r1), (u1, r2), (u4, r2), (u5, r2), (u1, r3), (u2, r3), (u3, r3), (u4, r4)}

- Invalid UA since u1 has 3 roles

• UA2 = {(u1, r1), (u3, r1), (u5, r1), (u1, r2), (u2, r2), (u3, r2), (u5, r2), (u2, r3), (u4, r3)}

- valid UA since no users has 3 or more roles

What are the implications of having both the following pairs of SSoD and DSoD present in a system at the same time?

• $(\{r1, r2, r3, r4\}, 3) \in SSoD$ and $(\{r1, r2, r3, r4\}, 3) \in DSoD$

- Fine although one of them is redundant.

• $(\{r1, r2, r3, r4\}, 3) \in SSoD$ and $(\{r1, r2, r3, r4\}, 2) \in DSoD$

- Fine since DSoD is more stringent.

• $(\{r1, r2, r3, r4\}, 2) \in SSoD$ and $(\{r1, r2, r3, r4\}, 3) \in DSoD$

- Fine though DSoD is redundant because it will never happen.