A Novel Contextualization Approach to Proteomic Profile Analysis

Limsoon Wong

Global-COE Workshop on Engineering/Information Science for Integrated Life Science and Predictive Medicine 28 February 2012





Diagnosis Using Proteomics



Image credit: Kall and Vitek, PLoS Comput Biol , 7(12): e1002277, 2011





- Common issues in proteomic profile analysis
- Improving consistency
- Improving coverage

Common Issues in Proteomic Profile Analysis





Peptide & protein identification by MS is still far from perfect

 "... peptides with low scores are, nevertheless, often correct, so manual validation of such hits can often 'rescue' the identification of important proteins."

> Steen & Mann. The ABC's and XYZ's of peptide sequencing. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 5:699-711, 2004

Issues in Proteomic Profiling

Low Threshold



6

Coverage \bullet

Patient 2

Consistency

Patient 3

\Rightarrow Thresholding

- Somewhat arbitrary
- Potentially wasteful
 - By raising threshold, some info disappears



Image credit: Wilson Goh

Patient 1

Improving Consistency in Proteomic Profile Analysis





Intuitive Example

Present but undetected



- Suppose the failure to form a protein complex causes a disease
 - If any component protein is missing, the complex can't form
- \Rightarrow Diff patients suffering from the disease can have a diff protein component missing
 - Construct a profile based on complexes?



"Threshold-free" Principle of PSP



NUS

National University of Singapore

Global COE Workshop, 27-28 February 2012



10

Applying PSP to a HCC Dataset





11

Consistency: Samples segregate by their classes with high confidence

12 au bo 2 8 ø 100 100 Height 100 2 0 99 mod 200_mod 31 mod poor 55 poor [26_poor 120 poor 203 mod 207_poor 215_poor 87 poor 5

Cluster dendrogram with AU/BP values (%)

Distance: euclidean Cluster method: ward



Feature Selection



Global COE Workshop, 27-28 February 2012



Top-Ranked Complexes

Cluster_ID	p_val	mod_score	poor_score	cluster_name
5179	0.000300541	0.513951977	3.159758312	NCOA6-DNA-PK-Ku- PARP1 complex
5235	0.000300541	0.513951977	3.159758312	WRN-Ku70-Ku80-PARP1 complex
1193	0.000300541	0.513951977	3.159758312	Rap1 complex
159	0	0	2.810927655	Condensin I-PARP-1- XRCC1 complex ESR1-CDK7-CCNH-
2657	0.008815869	0	2.55616281	MNAT1-MTA1-HDAC2 complex
3067	0.00911641	0	2.55616281	RNA polymerase II complex, incomplete (CDK8 complex), chromatin structure modifying
1226	0.013323983	0.715352108	2.420592827	H2AX complex I
5176	0	0.513951977	2.339059313	MGC1-DNA-PKcs-Ku complex
1189	0	0.513951977	2.339059313	DNA double-strand break end-joining complex
5251	0	0.513951977	2.339059313	Ku-ORC complex
2766	0	0.513951977	2.339059313	TERF2-RAP1 complex

Global COE Workshop, 27-28 February 2012



Top-Ranked GO Terms

GO ID	Description	No. of clusters
GO:0016032	viral reproduction	36
GO:0000398	nuclear mRNA splicing, via spliceosome	34
GO:0000278	mitotic cell cycle	28
GO:000084	S phase of mitotic cell cycle	28
GO:0006366	transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	26
GO:0006283	transcription-coupled nucleotide-excision repair	22
GO:0006369	termination of RNA polymerase II transcription	22
GO:0006284	base-excision repair	21
GO:000086	G2/M transition of mitotic cell cycle	21
GO:0000079	regulation of cyclin-dependent protein kinase activity	20
GO:0010833	telomere maintenance via telomere lengthening	20
GO:0033044	regulation of chromosome organization	19
GO:0006200	ATP catabolic process	18
GO:0042475	odontogenesis of dentine-containing tooth	18
GO:0034138	toll-like receptor 3 signaling pathway	17
GO:0006915	apoptosis	17
GO:0006271	DNA strand elongation involved in DNA replication	17

Improving Coverage in Proteomic Profile Analysis





Basic Approach

Rescue undetected proteins from high-scoring
 protein complexes

• Why?

Let A, B, C, D and E be the 5 proteins that function as a complex and thus are normally correlated in their expression. Suppose only A is not detected and all of B–E are detected. Suppose the screen has 50% reliability. Then, A's chance of being false negative is 50%, & the chance of B–E all being false positives is $(50\%)^4=6\%$. Hence, it is almost 10x more likely that A is false negative than B– E all being false positives.

Shortcoming: Databases of known complexes are still small

Goh et al. A Network-based pipeline for analyzing MS data---An application towards liver cancer. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 10(5):2261--2272, May 2011

PFP



- Map high-confidence proteins to PPIN
- Extract immediate neighbourhood & predict protein complexes using CFinder
- Rescue undetected proteins from high-ranking
 predicted complexes
- Reason: Exploit powerful protein complex
 prediction methods
- Shortcoming: Hard to predict protein complexes
 Do we need to know all the proteins a complex?

MaxLink



- Map high-confidence proteins ("seeds") to PPIN
- Identify proteins that talk to many seeds but few non-seeds
- Rescue these proteins
- Reason: Proteins interacting with many seeds are likely to be part of the same complex as these seeds
- Shortcoming: Likely to have more false-positives



An Experiment

- HCC (Hepatocellular carcinoma)
 - Classified into 3 phases: differentiated, moderately differentiated and poorly differentiated
- Mass Spectrometry
 - iTRAQ (Isobaric Tag for Relative and Absolute Quantitation)
 - Coupled with 2D LC MS/MS
 - Popular because of ability to run 8 concurrent samples in one go



Global COE Workshop, 27-28 February 2012

Goh et al. A Network-based pipeline for analyzing MS data---An application towards liver cancer. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 10(5):2261--2272, 2011



21

Expansion to include neighbors greatly improves coverage



Global COE Workshop, 27-28 February 2012

Copyright 2012 © Limsoon Wong



"Validation" of Rescued Proteins

- Direct validation
 - Use the original mass spectra to verify the quality of the corresponding y- and b-ion assignments
 - Immunological assay, etc.
- Indirect validation
 - Check whether recovered proteins have GO terms that are enriched in the list of seeds
 - Check whether recovered proteins show a pattern of differential expression betw disease vs normal samples that is similar to that shown by the seeds



Returning to Mass Spectra

- Test set: Several proteins (ACTR2, CDC42, GNB2L1, KIF5B, PPP2R1A, PKACA and TOP1) from top 34 clusters not detected by Paragon
- The test: Examine their GPS and Mascot search results and their MS/MS-to-peptide assignments
- Assessment of MS/MS spectra of their top ranked peptides revealed accurate y- and b-ion assignments and were of good quality (p < 0.05)
 ⇒ In silico expansion verified

Goh et al. Journal of Proteome Research, 10(5):2261--2272, 2011







What have we learned?

- Contextualization (into complexes and pathways) can deal with consistency issues in proteomics
- GO term analysis also indicates that contextbased methods select clusters that play integral roles in cancer
- Context-based methods reveal many potential clusters and are not constrained by any prior arbitrary filtering which is a common first step in conventional analytical approaches



Acknowledgements & References

 This talk is based on joint work with



- [PSP] Goh et al. Proteomics signature profiling (PSP): A novel contextualization approach for cancer proteomics. Journal of Proteome Research, in press
- [PEP] Goh et al. A Networkbased pipeline for analyzing MS data---An application towards liver cancer. J Proteome Research, 10(5):2261-2272, 2011
- [MaxLink] Goh et al. A Networkbased maximum-link approach towards MS. APBC 2012