## STUDENTS’ RATINGS ON TEACHER

**Faculty Member:** CHEW TECK MENG, IVAN  
**Department:** COMPUTER SCIENCE  
**Faculty:** SCHOOL OF COMPUTING  
**Module:** DATA STRUCTURES AND ALGORITHMS I - CS1020  
**Activity Type:** TUTORIAL  

**Academic Year:** 2015/2016  
**Semester:** 1  

**Class Size/Response Size/Response Rate:** 105 / 64 / 60.95%  
**Contact Session/Teaching Hour:** 55 / 85

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qn</th>
<th>Items Evaluated</th>
<th>Fac. Member Avg Score</th>
<th>Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev</th>
<th>Dept Avg Score</th>
<th>Fac. Avg Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.</td>
<td>4.531</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>4.216 (4.269)</td>
<td>4.178 (4.199)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.</td>
<td>4.266</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>4.077 (4.099)</td>
<td>4.048 (4.039)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.</td>
<td>4.453</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>4.248 (4.311)</td>
<td>4.218 (4.243)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.</td>
<td>4.313</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>4.133 (4.173)</td>
<td>NA (NA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The teacher’s attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.</td>
<td>4.281</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>4.125 (4.171)</td>
<td>NA (NA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The teacher cares about student development and learning.</td>
<td>4.469</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>4.199 (4.244)</td>
<td>NA (NA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Q1 to Q6  


**Computed Overall Effectiveness of the Teacher.**  


Notes:  
1. A 5-point scale is used for the scores. The higher the score, the better the rating.  
2. **Fac. Member Avg Score:** The mean of all the scores for each question for the faculty member.  
3. **Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev:** A measure of the range of variability. It measures the extent to which a faculty member's Average Score differs from all the scores in the faculty member's evaluation. The smaller the standard deviation, the greater the robustness of the number given as average.  
4. **Dept Avg Score :**  
   (a) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the department.  
   (b) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 1000) within the department.  
5. **Fac. Avg Score :**  
   (c) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the faculty.  
   (d) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 1000) within the faculty.
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES ON TEACHER

Faculty Member: CHEW TECK MENG, IVAN
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE
Academic Year: 2015/2016
Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING
Semester: 1
Module: DATA STRUCTURES AND ALGORITHMS I - CS1020

Frequency Distribution of responses (Qn 1: The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.)

Self | Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department | Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Faculty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nos. of Respondents</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITEM\SCORE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>37 (57.81%)</td>
<td>24 (37.50%)</td>
<td>3 (4.69%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department</td>
<td>539 (41.72%)</td>
<td>596 (46.13%)</td>
<td>130 (10.06%)</td>
<td>20 (1.55%)</td>
<td>7 (.54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Faculty</td>
<td>555 (38.14%)</td>
<td>673 (46.25%)</td>
<td>198 (13.61%)</td>
<td>20 (1.37%)</td>
<td>9 (.62%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Frequency Distribution of responses (Qn 2: The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td></td>
<td>29 (45.31%)</td>
<td>24 (37.50%)</td>
<td>10 (15.62%)</td>
<td>1 (1.56%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department</td>
<td></td>
<td>474 (36.69%)</td>
<td>530 (41.02%)</td>
<td>240 (18.58%)</td>
<td>38 (2.94%)</td>
<td>10 (.77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Lecture), at the same level within Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td>485 (33.38%)</td>
<td>603 (41.50%)</td>
<td>313 (21.54%)</td>
<td>41 (2.82%)</td>
<td>11 (.76%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Frequency Distribution of responses (Qn 3: The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM\SCORE</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>36 (56.25%)</td>
<td>22 (34.38%)</td>
<td>5 (7.81%)</td>
<td>1 (1.56%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Lecture), at the same level within Department</td>
<td>591 (45.92%)</td>
<td>537 (41.72%)</td>
<td>133 (10.33%)</td>
<td>20 (1.55%)</td>
<td>6 (0.47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Lecture), at the same level within Faculty</td>
<td>613 (42.10%)</td>
<td>620 (42.58%)</td>
<td>194 (13.32%)</td>
<td>22 (1.51%)</td>
<td>7 (0.48%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What are the teacher's strengths? (42 comments)

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal to 4.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher

1. A walking IDE and API documentation. Forces us to learn independently, and goes into fine details for each subject. Really cares about us and wants us to learn the subjects by heart.

2. Always trying to engage with the students. Make sure student understand the concept well. Very initiative in helping students.

3. Challenging our thoughts.

4. Enthusiastic about the content he is teaching, gives everyone a chance to participate

5. He can explain the concepts and tutorial very well and he is very responsible.

6. He is able to break down complex topics into simpler steps.

7. He is able to engage with the students well. Sometimes when students are busy with their phones and laptops, he tried his best to get the student's attention as learning through tutorial questions are important.

8. He is really very passionate in his tutorials and always try to get students to participate. Helpful in trying to answer doubts brought up during tutorials. He is quite friendly and tends to make jokes to lighten the mood of students.

9. He is very knowledgable in the course and willing to help the student all the time.

10. He is very knowledgeable and smart. He is able to clearly explain concepts is ways understandable to students. If it is not clear, he would clarify until we are clear about the topic.

11. He is very very knowledgeable about Java and is able to engage the class. He is also willing to help students improve their understanding if they put in the effort to learn

12. Highly engaging and informative. He explains concepts very well and frequently tests students on the concepts related to the subject matter. He also pushes non-responsive students to improve. It is clear that he enjoys teaching and (sort of) cares about his students. Always stays behind to answer questions.

13. I deeply appreciate ivan's effort to make sure that student understands the concepts and technique that were taught in the module. There is a fundamental different between knowing and understanding, and Ivan did an extraordinary job to pass on his knowledge and making us understand the concepts that will help us greatly in the remaining time i have in NUS.


15. Ivan is a great TA, one of the best even. He is able to explain concepts and ideas clearly in tutorials especially when they are still murky after the lectures. He is probably a much better teacher than the Prof even and is definitely a lot more enthusiastic about teaching.

16. Knows the subject REALLY WELL. Very quick in answering student's questions and very precise in his teaching.
17. Strong Interaction

18. Very knowledgable, helped me to understand certain concepts I was unfamiliar with. Good teaching.

19. Views students' education as important. Insists upon students being proactive in his class, and does not want them to talk among themselves. Explains things well in detail.

20. Clear and concise, passionate about teaching what he loves

21. Explain very well

22. He is very knowledgeable on the subject and would answer all queries promptly.

23. Teaches well

24. Too awesome and funny!

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal 4.0 and less than 4.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher

1. -

2. Able to concisely get to the important points of each topic.

3. Extremely unique teaching style. Hard on students but it generates thinking about subject matter.

4. He is good at making us think and do our tutorials.

5. He is passionate and cares about students

6. He is well prepared for tutorials

7. His method of teaching is very unique and impressive. Pays attention to all the students and connects to them well. Has apt understanding of the module. Gives extra information for some topics which is very interesting.

8. Ivan is a very good tutor. He understands the concepts very well, and he is able to help his students understand the key points in the tutorial questions. His teaching enriches my knowledge.

9. Ivan is really helpful and always willing to help students if they approach him.

10. Ivan is very knowledgeable in the this field of studies. They way he teach encourages student to think critically and participate in class.

11. Knowledgeable

12. Passionate, concern and helpful

13. Tons of questions to test our understanding


Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal 3.5 and less than 4.0 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher

1. Detailed explanation of the concept

2. Strict, knowledgeable

3. Well-versed in his field. Very helpful and firm towards students.
Comments from students who gave an average score less than 3.0 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. knowledgable

What improvements would you suggest to the teacher? (34 comments)

Comments from students who gave an average score less than 3.0 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. dont look down on students from polytechnics, dont play favorites

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal 3.5 and less than 4.0 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. Relax
2. Talk slower
3. There’s a fine line between being firm and angry. Be a little more encouraging would be nice to the students (while maintaining firmness).

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal 4.0 and less than 4.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. Be more friendly :)
2. He may seem a little unapproachable at times.
3. However, the tone he use could be better.
4. Nil
5. Nil.
6. Show more patience with the students
7. Sometimes the pace of the tutorials might be fast. But on an average is okay. I sometimes felt that the difficulty of the tutorials was very high.
8. Talk slower?
9. Too intimidating
10. Try to be more patient and less fierce
11. n/a

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal to 4.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. Longer tutorial time would help us greatly as the current 1 hour is insufficient to cover the topics that were taught
2. -
3. -NIL-
4. Be less intimidating when teaching.
5. Can be quite intimidating sometimes. Always say stuff like "you sure?", or "3, 2, 1, if nobody wants me to go
through this question then im going to the next one" and he counts really fast i dont even have time to react.

6. Can try to be more approachable.

7. He can keep track of timing better.

8. NIL

9. NIL

10. Nil

11. Nil

12. None!

13. None.

14. Sometimes can be little uptight during tutorials. However, I understand the reasons behind it. He just needs to calm down more during tutorials! :)

15. Sometimes might sound a bit impatient.

16. nil

17. nil

18. sometimes you talk a little too fast and we cannot really catch what you're saying.

19. the pace sometimes are quite fast, may slow down a bit
### STUDENTS’ RATINGS ON TEACHER

**Faculty Member:** CHEW TECK MENG, IVAN  
**Department:** COMPUTER SCIENCE  
**Faculty:** SCHOOL OF COMPUTING  
**Module:** DATA STRUCTURES AND ALGORITHMS I - CS1020E  
**Activity Type:** TUTORIAL  
**Class Size/Response Size/Response Rate:** 70 / 34 / 48.57%  
**Contact Session/Teaching Hour:** 44 / 68

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qn</th>
<th>Items Evaluated</th>
<th>Fac. Member Avg Score</th>
<th>Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev</th>
<th>Dept Avg Score</th>
<th>Fac. Avg Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.</td>
<td>4.647</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>4.216 (4.269)</td>
<td>4.178 (4.199)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.</td>
<td>4.382</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>4.077 (4.099)</td>
<td>4.048 (4.039)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.</td>
<td>4.559</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>4.248 (4.311)</td>
<td>4.218 (4.243)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.</td>
<td>4.559</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>4.133 (4.173)</td>
<td>NA (NA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The teacher’s attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.</td>
<td>4.588</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>4.125 (4.171)</td>
<td>NA (NA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The teacher cares about student development and learning.</td>
<td>4.441</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>4.199 (4.244)</td>
<td>NA (NA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average Q1 to Q6</td>
<td>4.529</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>4.166 (4.211)</td>
<td>NA (NA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computed Overall Effectiveness of the Teacher.</td>
<td>4.594</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>4.236 (4.281)</td>
<td>4.203 (4.215)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. A 5-point scale is used for the scores. The higher the score, the better the rating.
2. **Fac. Member Avg Score:** The mean of all the scores for each question for the faculty member.
3. **Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev:** A measure of the range of variability. It measures the extent to which a faculty member's Average Score differs from all the scores in the faculty member's evaluation. The smaller the standard deviation, the greater the robustness of the number given as average.
4. **Dept Avg Score :**
   (a) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the department.
   (b) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 1000) within the department.
5. **Fac. Avg Score :**
   (c) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the faculty.
   (d) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 1000) within the faculty.
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES ON TEACHER

Frequency Distribution of responses (Qn 1: The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM\SCORE</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>24 (70.59%)</td>
<td>8 (23.53%)</td>
<td>2 (5.88%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department</td>
<td>539 (41.72%)</td>
<td>596 (46.13%)</td>
<td>130 (10.06%)</td>
<td>20 (1.55%)</td>
<td>7 (.54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Faculty</td>
<td>555 (38.14%)</td>
<td>673 (46.25%)</td>
<td>198 (13.61%)</td>
<td>20 (1.37%)</td>
<td>9 (.62%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Frequency Distribution of responses (Qn 2: The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.)

![Frequency Distribution Chart]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM\SCORE</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>18 (52.94%)</td>
<td>13 (38.24%)</td>
<td>2 (5.88%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
<td>1 (2.94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department</td>
<td>474 (36.69%)</td>
<td>530 (41.02%)</td>
<td>240 (18.58%)</td>
<td>38 (2.94%)</td>
<td>10 (.77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Lecture), at the same level within Faculty</td>
<td>485 (33.38%)</td>
<td>603 (41.50%)</td>
<td>313 (21.54%)</td>
<td>41 (2.82%)</td>
<td>11 (.76%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Frequency Distribution of responses (Qn 3: The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM\SCORE</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>20 (58.82%)</td>
<td>13 (38.24%)</td>
<td>1 (2.94%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department</td>
<td>591 (45.92%)</td>
<td>537 (41.72%)</td>
<td>133 (10.33%)</td>
<td>20 (1.55%)</td>
<td>6 (.47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Lecture), at the same level within Faculty</td>
<td>613 (42.10%)</td>
<td>620 (42.58%)</td>
<td>194 (13.32%)</td>
<td>22 (1.51%)</td>
<td>7 (.48%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What are the teacher's strengths? (26 comments)

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal to 4.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher

1. Clear explanation be very patient
2. Clear in his teaching :D Nice whispers comment :D
3. Clear of content. Responsible. Interactive in class. Able and will help students when needed
4. Good at teaching
5. He can explain the questions and solutions very well
6. He is a really good tutor. one of the best that i have gotten in my 2.5 yrs in NUS. Very engaging and a really smart guy yet able to explain the topics simply. teaches with heart and not just going through with the motion.
7. He is well knowledgeable on the relevant areas in CS.
8. He tries to make jokes at times. He makes time for students if they need consultations
9. High level of engagement with students both during and after tutorials via the IVLE forum, always touching base with students to find out what's their current level of understanding and adjusting his lesson speed accordingly
10. Ivan has been a very helpful tutor. While the module is very challenging and the lectures are at times too fast for me to follow, Ivan has always managed to break down the concepts into simpler problems, thus helping us to better understand the different data structures and algorithms. Moreover, he has always encouraged us to think of better ways to solve different problems, by asking us to not wait for answers, but to do our own test and trials in order to deepen our understanding of the different problems. He is also a very prompt in replying emails, which has been very helpful.
11. Passionate about teaching
12. SMART.
13. Very engaging and sets up additional help sessions and consultations. Active on the module forum too with hints and suggestions on how to do the take-home labs and other assignments.
14. detailed and clear instruction
15. he obviously knows his contents very well and is able to teach these concepts in a clear and understandable way to his students. his consultations are also very effective and alot of enlightenment is gained, (a lot). I also like how he always present his solutions in a visual form so that it is easier for students to understand. he is also very passionate about helping students as he always organise help sessions and is very active on the forum.
16. provides extra knowledge to help understand the concepts better

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal 4.0 and less than 4.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. Funny -Strongly encourages student participation
2. Always very quick to reply to queries, and always looking for the student to learn more than what is necessary
3. Handsome and fast in response
4. He explains concepts well, help to clarify misconceptions and give detailed solutions
5. He is very helpful in providing additional support to student who need help coping with the module.
6. Ivan encourages us to share our opinions during tutorials to help us understand the concepts. He is helpful and warm-hearted to clear our doubts. share some useful problem solving skills to help us learning
7. Very friendly and kind, very professional and know students' names!
8. Knowledgeable

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal 3.0 and less than 3.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. Knowledgeable

Comments from students who gave an average score less than 3.0 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. He goes through with us overall concepts in class

What improvements would you suggest to the teacher? (17 comments)
Comments from students who gave an average score less than 3.0 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. He needs to be more thorough and basic in his explanation of questions.

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal 3.0 and less than 3.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. Don't be so condescending towards your students

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal 4.0 and less than 4.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. -
2. NIL
3. perfect alr hahaha
4. rather kurt
5. talk too fast for me to follow

Comments from students who gave an average score greater than or equal to 4.5 for the computed overall effectiveness of the teacher
1. -
2. Be more patient with less strong students.
3. Could be more tactful when chiding students for not reading up or self-studying concepts beforehand
4. Go through the questions a little bit slower
5. Jiayou
6. NIL
7. NIL
8. can he take the sit in lab as well?
9. more patient
10. not at the moment
STUDENTS’ NOMINATIONS FOR BEST TEACHING

Faculty Member: CHEW TECK MENG, IVAN  
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE  
Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING  
Academic Year: 2015/2016  
Semester: 1  
Module Code: CS1020  
No of Nominations: 15

1. Well versed in the subject taught. Clear and straightforward, Would love to have him as my tutor for future modules.
2. good,good,good
3. Brilliant knowledge of the course. Tutorials are fun to attend. Interacts well with everyone.
4. He understands his topic very well and is able to bring his understanding across to the students.
5. He clearly cares about his students and wants everybody to perform sufficiently well. He even goes to emails to push students who are not doing their homework. He explains questions well and goes on to explain topics related to it. He also stays behind to answer all the questions.
6. His understanding and knowledge in CS1020 is exceptional. He makes an effort to help students understand better and engages students to be proactive during lessons. This aids the learning process as well, since we get to clarify the misconceptions or enforce the right concepts at the same time.
7. Very good teaching, challenges the concepts students have.
8. He is very active in helping student. He is very initiative by organizing help sessions to help student who has problems with the module.
9. He is a very passionate tutor. Serious and strict at times, making sure that his students commit to doing their tutorials and participate in tutorials.
10. he would always provide additional info to us during tutorials which helps us better understand
11. Ivan did an extraordinary job in making sure student understand the concepts that were taught rather simply "knowing" it. His ability to illustrate the concepts that were taught was second to none to say the least. He make an effort to care for the weaker student, offering help session to those who need it.
12. He did well in explaining the concepts of each topics before the start of the tutorial. This is a good approach as students who did not understood the recent lectures might get an idea of what he has taught during tutorial classes.

Module Code: CS1020E  
No of Nominations: 11

1. A really good tutor who is passionate and very interested in teaching the concepts to us. Prepares a lot of each tutorial and tries to make sure everyone is engaged.
2. He’s the best!
3. He is a very dedicated teacher who always organises help sessions and consultation to cater to the weaker students. His teaching is also very clear as he often uses visual representation so that students can understand the concepts better. He is always there to answer student's queries, even though they may be at the last minute, or after office hours, or even on the ivle forum. Lastly, when he marks our exam papers, he makes the effort to comment where we went wrong or what we did right. this is helpful in our revision for the finals.
4. Nice teaching style and ensures that the class is following
5. Great at explaining Concepts for c++. Very Helpful and approachable
6. full of passion. i like. keep it up
7. Very responsible teacher who is willing to go extra miles to help the students
8. He is always well-prepared, knowledgeable and helpful. I really learn a lot of useful things in his tutorials. He is caring for student's feelings and feedback.
9. Be very responsible and responsive to students' enquires All the teaching material are well prepared