



NUS

School of Computing

# A Specification Logic for Termination and Non-Termination Reasoning

---

TON-CHANH LE

JOINT WORK WITH C. GHERGHINA, A. HOBOR AND W-N. CHIN

# Motivation

---

```
int fact (int n)
  requires n ≥ 0
  variance n
  ensures res = n!;
{ if (n == 0) return 1;
  else return n * fact(n-1); }
```

```
fact(-1);
```

```
int i = user_input();
```

```
fact(i);
```

# Motivation

---

```
int fact (int n)
  requires  $n < 0 \wedge \text{Loop} \vee n \geq 0 \wedge \text{Term}[n]$ 
  ensures  $\text{res} = n!$ ;
```

## Temporal Constraints

- Definite Termination: **Term M**
- Definite Non-termination: **Loop**
- Possible Non-termination: **MayLoop**

# Termination Logic Model

---

- Execution capacity  $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{J}^l, \mathcal{J}^u)$  is a pair of bounds in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  tracking the *minimum* and *maximum* length of execution allowed.
- Model for the temporal constraints  $\vartheta$

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{J}) \models \vartheta \text{ iff } \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{L}(\vartheta)$$

- $\mathcal{L}(\text{Term } M) = (0_f, \mathcal{O}(M))$
- $\mathcal{L}(\text{Loop}) = (\infty_f, \infty_f)$
- $\mathcal{L}(\text{MayLoop}) = (0_f, \infty_f)$

# Termination Logic Model

---

- Model for the temporal constraints  $\vartheta$ 
  - $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, (\infty, \infty)) \models \text{Loop}$
  - $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, (0, c)) \models \text{Term } M$ , where  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \models \mathcal{O}(M) = c$
  - $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, (0, \infty)) \models \text{MayLoop}$
- Model for formulae with temporal constraints

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \Psi_1 \vee \Psi_2 &\equiv (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \Psi_1 \text{ or } (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \Psi_2 \\(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \Psi_1 \wedge \Psi_2 &\equiv (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \Psi_1 \text{ and } (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \Psi_2 \\(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \exists x_i^* \cdot \Psi &\equiv \exists \nu_i^* \cdot (\mathcal{S}[(x_i \mapsto \nu_i)^*], \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \Psi \\(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \rho &\equiv (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \models \rho \\(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}) \models \vartheta &\equiv (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \models \mathcal{L}(\vartheta) = \mathcal{I}\end{aligned}$$

# Temporal Entailment

---

- Execution Splitting Operator  $\triangleright$ :  $\vartheta_1 \triangleright \vartheta_2$  holds for any state from which the program can take as many steps as  $\vartheta_1$  prescribes and end in a state satisfying  $\vartheta_2$ .
- Temporal Entailment  $\vartheta \vdash \vartheta_1 \triangleright \vartheta_2$

MayLoop  $\vdash$  MayLoop  $\triangleright$  MayLoop

Loop  $\vdash$  MayLoop  $\triangleright$  Loop

MayLoop  $\vdash$  Loop  $\triangleright$  MayLoop

Loop  $\vdash$  Loop  $\triangleright$  MayLoop

MayLoop  $\vdash$  Term X  $\triangleright$  MayLoop

Loop  $\vdash$  Term X  $\triangleright$  Loop

$$\frac{\rho \implies Y <_d X}{\rho \wedge \text{Term X} \vdash \text{Term Y} \triangleright \text{Term X} -_d \text{Y}}$$

# Logical Entailment

---

- Integrating the temporal entailment into the entailment system  $\psi \vdash \phi \rightsquigarrow \phi_r$  of underlying logics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\rho_a \vdash \rho_c \rightsquigarrow \Phi_r} \\ \boxed{\rho_a \wedge \Phi_r \wedge \vartheta_a \vdash \vartheta_c \triangleright \vartheta_r} \end{array}}{\rho_a \wedge \vartheta_a \vdash \rho_c \wedge \vartheta_c \rightsquigarrow (\Phi_r \wedge \vartheta_r)}$$

# Example

---

```
data node { int val; node next; }  
pred ll(self, n) = self=null  $\wedge$  n=0  
   $\vee \exists r. self \mapsto node(\_, r) * ll(r, n-1)$ 
```

```
int length (node x)  
requires ll(x, n)  $\wedge$  Term[n]  
ensures res=n;  
{  
  if (x == null) return 0;  
  else return 1 + length(x.next);  
}
```



# Example

---

$\text{ll}(x, n) \wedge x \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{Term}[n] \vdash x \mapsto \text{node}(\_, y) * \text{ll}(y, m) \wedge \text{Term}[m]$

$\text{ll}(x, n) \wedge x \neq \text{null} \vdash x \mapsto \text{node}(\_, y) * \text{ll}(y, m) \approx n = m + 1$

$n = m + 1 \wedge \text{Term}[n] \vdash \text{Term}[m] \triangleright \text{Term}[1]$

# Summary

---

- A logical framework for specifying and proving asserts about program termination and non-termination
- Soundness proofs for both termination and non-termination reasoning with an operational semantics instrumented by the execution capacity
- An integration of termination and non-termination reasoning into a separation logic based verification system. The implementation and the detailed paper are available at

<http://www.comp.nus.edu.sg/~chanhle/>