

## Verification Methods

Verification methods may be classified according to the following main criteria:

- **Proof-based vs. model-based** - if a soundness and completeness theorem holds, then:
  - proof = valid formula = true in **all** models;
  - model-based = check satisfiability in **one** model
- **Degree of automation** - fully automated, partially automated, or manual
- **Full- vs. property-verification** - a single property vs. full behavior
- **Domain of application** - hardware or software; sequential or concurrent; reactive or terminating; etc.
- **Pre- vs. post-development**

Slide 1

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Program Verification — Where it Stands

Used to verify sequential programs with infinite state and complex data.

- Proof based
- Semi-automatic — some steps cannot be carried out algorithmically by a computer.
- Property-oriented
- Application domain: Sequential, transformational programs
- Pre/post development: the methods can be used during the development process to create small proofs that can be subsequently combined into proofs of larger program fragments.

Slide 2

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Why should we specify and verify code

- A formal specification is less ambiguous.
- Experience has shown that verifying programs w.r.t. formal specifications can significantly cut down the duration of software development and maintainance by eliminating most errors in the planning phase.
- Makes debugging easier
- Software built from formal specifications is easier to reuse.
- Verification of safety-critical software *guarantees* safety; testing does not.
- Many examples of software-related catastrophies due to lack of verification.
  - Arienne rocket exploded immediately after launch
  - Lost control of Martian probe
  - Y2K problem

Slide 3

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## A Framework for Software Verification

As a software developer, you may get an order from a customer, which provides an informal description of your task.

- Convert the informal description  $D$  of an application domain into an “equivalent” formula  $\Phi_D$  of some symbolic logic.
- Write a program  $P$  which is meant to realize  $\Phi_D$  in the programming environment required by the customer.
- Prove that  $P$  satisfies  $\Phi_D$ .

Slide 4

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## A Core Programming Language

We use a language with simple integer and boolean expressions, and simple commands: assignment, if, and while commands.

$$\begin{aligned} E &::= n \mid x \mid (-E) \mid (E+E) \mid (E-E) \mid (E * E) \\ B &::= \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid (!B) \mid (B \& B) \mid (B \mid \mid) \mid E < E \\ C &::= x = E \mid C; C \mid \text{if } B \{ C \} \text{ else } \{ C \} \mid \text{while } B \{ C \} \end{aligned}$$

Example:

```
y = 1;
z = 0;
while (z != x) {
  z = z + 1;
  y = y * z;
}
```

Slide 5

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Hoare Triples

We need to be able to express the following statement: “If the execution of a program fragment  $P$  starts in a state satisfying  $\Phi$ , then the execution of  $P$  ends in a state satisfying  $\Psi$ . We denote this by:

$$\{\Phi\} P \{\Psi\}$$

and we call this construct a **Hoare triple**.  $\Phi$  is called the **precondition**, and  $\Psi$  is called the **postcondition**.

**Example:** Assume that the specification of a program  $P$  is “to calculate a number whose square is less than  $x$ .” Then, the following assertion should hold:

$$\{x > 0\} P \{y \cdot y < x\}$$

**It means:** if we start execution in a state where  $x > 0$ , then the execution of  $P$  ends with a state where  $y^2 < x$ .

What happens if the execution starts with  $x \leq 0$ ? We don't know!

Slide 6

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Examples

Both these examples realize the specification  $\langle x > 0 \rangle P \langle y \cdot y < x \rangle$ .

$\langle x > 0 \rangle$ $y = 0$ $\langle y \cdot y < x \rangle$	$\langle x > 0 \rangle$ $y = 0$ $\text{while } (y * y < x) \{$ $y = y + 1$ $\}$ $y = y - 1$ $\langle y \cdot y < x \rangle$
---	---

Slide 7

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Partial and Total Correctness

- **Partial correctness:** we *do not require* the program to terminate.
- **Total correctness:** we *do require* the program to terminate.

**Definition (partial correctness):** We say that the triple  $\langle \Phi \rangle \parallel \langle \Psi \rangle$  is satisfied under partial correctness if, for all states which satisfy  $\Phi$ , the state resulting from  $P$ 's execution satisfies the postcondition  $\Psi$ , provided that  $P$  actually terminates. In this case we write

$$\models_{par} \langle \Phi \rangle \parallel \langle \Psi \rangle$$

**Definition (total correctness):** We say that the triple  $\langle \Phi \rangle \parallel \langle \Psi \rangle$  is satisfied total partial correctness if, for all states in which  $P$  is executed and which satisfy the precondition  $\Phi$ ,  $P$  is guaranteed to terminate, and the state resulting from  $P$ 's execution satisfies the postcondition  $\Psi$ . In this case we write

$$\models_{tot} \langle \Phi \rangle \parallel \langle \Psi \rangle$$

Slide 8

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Examples

The following statement

$$\models_{par} \langle \Phi \rangle \text{ while true } \{ x = 0; \} \langle \Psi \rangle$$

holds for all  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ . The corresponding total correctness statement does not hold.

<p>Succ:</p> $a = x + 1;$ $\text{if } (a - 1 == 0) \{$ $y = 1;$ $\} \text{ else } \{$ $y = a;$ $\}$	<p>We have:</p> $\models_{par} \langle \top \rangle \text{ Succ } \langle y = x + 1 \rangle$ <p>and</p> $\models_{tot} \langle \top \rangle \text{ Succ } \langle y = x + 1 \rangle$
---	--

**Remark:**  $\models_{tot} \langle \Phi \rangle P \langle \Psi \rangle$  implies  $\models_{par} \langle \Phi \rangle P \langle \Psi \rangle$ .

Slide 9

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Program Variables and Logical Variables

Consider the examples:

<p>Fac2:</p> $y = 1;$ $\text{while } (x \neq 0) \{$ $y = y * x;$ $x = x - 1;$ $\}$	<p>Sum:</p> $z = 0;$ $\text{while } (x > 0) \{$ $z = z + x;$ $x = x - 1;$ $\}$
--	--

The values of  $y$  and  $z$  are functions of *the original* values of  $x$ . That value is no longer available as a program variable at the end of the program. We introduce logical variables to handle this situation.

$$\models_{tot} \langle x = x_0 \wedge x \geq 0 \rangle \text{ Fac2 } \langle y = x_0! \rangle$$

$$\models_{tot} \langle x = x_0 \wedge x > 0 \rangle \text{ sum } \left( \left| z = \frac{x_0(x_0 + 1)}{2} \right| \right)$$

Slide 10

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Proof Calculus for Partial Correctness

$$\frac{\langle \phi \rangle C_1 \langle \eta \rangle \quad \langle \eta \rangle C_2 \langle \psi \rangle}{\langle \phi \rangle C_1; C_2 \langle \psi \rangle} \text{Composition}$$

$$\frac{}{\langle \psi[E/x] \rangle x = E \langle \psi \rangle} \text{Assignment}$$

$$\frac{\langle \phi \wedge B \rangle C_1 \langle \psi \rangle \quad \langle \phi \wedge \neg B \rangle C_2 \langle \psi \rangle}{\langle \phi \rangle \text{ if } B \{ C_1 \} \text{ else } \{ C_2 \} \langle \psi \rangle} \text{If-statement}$$

$$\frac{\langle \psi \wedge B \rangle C \langle \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi \rangle \text{ while } B \{ C \} \langle \psi \wedge \neg B \rangle} \text{Partial-while}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \phi' \rightarrow \phi \quad \langle \phi \rangle C \langle \psi \rangle \quad \vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi'}{\langle \phi' \rangle C \langle \psi' \rangle} \text{Implied}$$

Slide 11

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

## Proof Trees (1)

$$\frac{\frac{\langle 1 = 1 \rangle y = 1 \langle y = 1 \rangle_i \quad \langle y = 1 \wedge 0 = 0 \rangle z = 0 \langle y = 1 \wedge z = 0 \rangle}{\langle \top \rangle y = 1 \langle y = 1 \rangle} \quad \frac{\langle y = 1 \rangle z = 0 \langle y = 1 \wedge z = 0 \rangle}{\langle \top \rangle y = 1; z = 0 \langle y = 1 \wedge z = 0 \rangle} \quad c}{\langle \top \rangle y = 1; z = 0 \langle y = 1 \wedge z = 0 \rangle} \quad c$$

Slide 12

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

### Proof Trees (2)

$$\frac{\frac{(y \cdot (z+1) = (z+1)!) \ z = z+1 \ (y \cdot z = z!)}{(y = z! \wedge z \neq x) \ z = z+1 \ (y \cdot z = z!)} \ i \quad (y \cdot z = z!) \ y = y * z \ (y = z!) \ c}{(y = z! \wedge z \neq x) \ z = z+1; \ y = y * z \ (y = z!)} \ c$$

$$\frac{(y = z!) \ \text{while} \ (z \neq x) \ \{z = z+1; \ y = y * z\} \ (y = z! \wedge z = x) \ w}{(y = 1 \wedge z = 0) \ \text{while} \ (z \neq x) \ \{z = z+1; \ y = y * z\} \ (y = x!)} \ i$$

Slide 13

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

### Proof Trees (3)

Using the rule for composition, we get

$$(T) \ y = 1; \ z = 0; \ \text{while} \ (z \neq x) \ \{z = z+1; \ y = y * z\} \ (y = x!)$$

Slide 14

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

### Proof Tableaux

The rule for sequential composition suggests a more convenient way of presenting proofs in program logic: *proof tableaux*. We can think of any program of our core programming language as a sequence.

Corresponding tableau:

$$\begin{array}{l} C_1; \\ C_2; \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\Phi_0) \\ C_1; \\ (\Phi_1) \quad \text{justification} \\ C_2; \\ (\Phi_2) \quad \text{justification} \\ \vdots \\ (\Phi_{n-1}) \quad \text{justification} \\ C_n; \\ (\Phi_n) \quad \text{justification} \end{array}$$

Each of the transitions

$$(\Phi_i) \ C_{i+1} \ (\Phi_{i+1})$$

appeals to one of the proof rules

Slide 15

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

### Examples: Assignment

We show  $\vdash_{\text{par}} (y = 5) \ x = y + 1 \ (x = 6)$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} (y = 5) \\ (y + 1 = 6) \quad \text{Implied} \\ x = y + 1 \\ (x = 6) \quad \text{Assignment} \end{array}$$

We prove  $\vdash_{\text{par}} (y < 3) \ y = y + 1 \ (y < 4)$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} (y < 3) \\ (y + 1 < 4) \quad \text{Implied} \\ y = y + 1; \\ (y < 4) \quad \text{Assignment} \end{array}$$

Slide 16

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

### Example: If Statement

$$\begin{array}{l} (T) \\ ((x+1-1=0 \rightarrow 1=x+1) \wedge (\neg(x+1-1=0) \rightarrow x+1=x+1)) \quad \text{Implied} \\ a = x + 1; \\ ((a-1=0 \rightarrow 1=x+1) \wedge (\neg(a-1=0) \rightarrow a=x+1)) \quad \text{Assignment} \\ \text{if } (a - 1 == 0) \{ \\ \quad (1 = x + 1) \quad \text{If-Statement} \\ \quad y = 1; \quad \text{Assignment} \\ \quad (y = x + 1) \quad \text{Assignment} \\ \} \ \text{else} \{ \\ \quad (a = x + 1) \quad \text{If-Statement} \\ \quad y = a; \quad \text{Assignment} \\ \quad (y = x + 1) \quad \text{Assignment} \\ \} \\ (y = x + 1) \quad \text{If-Statement} \end{array}$$

Slide 17

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

### Invariant

**Definition:** An *invariant* of the while-statement  $\text{while } B \{C\}$  having guard  $B$  and body  $C$  is a formula  $\eta$  such that  $\models_{\text{par}} (\eta \wedge B) \ C \ (\eta)$ ; i.e., if  $\eta$  and  $B$  are true in a state and  $C$  is executed and terminates, then  $\eta$  is again true in the resulting state.

Example:

```

y = 1;
z = 0;
while (z != x) {
  z = z + 1;
  y = y * z;
}
    
```

iteration	z	y	B
0	0	1	true
1	1	1	true
2	2	2	true
3	3	6	true
4	4	24	true
5	5	120	true
6	6	720	false

Invariant:  $y = z!$

Slide 18

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 08 — 21/1004

### Example

$(\top)$	
$(1 = 0!)$	Implied
$y = 1;$	
$(y = 0!)$	Assignment
$z = 0;$	
$(y = z!)$	Assignment
<b>while</b> $(z != x)$ {	
$(y = z! \wedge z \neq x)$	Invariant Hyp. $\wedge$ guard
$(y \cdot (z + 1) = (z + 1)!)$	Implied
$z = z + 1;$	
$(y \cdot z = z!)$	Assignment
$y = y * z;$	
$(y = z!)$	Assignment
}	
$(y = z! \wedge \neg(z \neq x))$	Partial-while
$(y = x!)$	Implied

Slide 19

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 06 — 21/1004

### While Rule for Total Correctness

$$\frac{\langle \eta \wedge B \wedge 0 \leq E = E_0 \rangle C \langle \eta \wedge 0 \leq E < E_0 \rangle}{\langle \eta \wedge 0 \leq E \rangle \text{ while } B \{ C \} \langle \eta \wedge \neg B \rangle} \text{ Total-while}$$

Slide 20

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 06 — 21/1004

### Example

$(x \geq 0)$	
$(1 = 0! \wedge 0 \leq x - 0)$	Implied
$y = 1;$	
$(y = 0! \wedge 0 \leq x - 0)$	Assignment
$z = 0;$	
$(y = z! \wedge 0 \leq x - z)$	Assignment
<b>while</b> $(x != z)$ {	
$(y = z! \wedge x \neq z \wedge 0 \leq x - z = E_0)$	Invariant Hyp. $\wedge$ guard
$(y \cdot (z + 1) = (z + 1)! \wedge 0 \leq x - (z + 1) < E_0)$	Implied
$z = z + 1;$	
$(y \cdot z = z! \wedge 0 \leq x - z < E_0)$	Assignment
$y = y * z;$	
$(y = z! \wedge 0 \leq x - z < E_0)$	Assignment
}	
$(y = z! \wedge x = z)$	Total-while
$(y = x!)$	Implied

Slide 21

CS3234 — Logic and Formal Systems — Lecture 06 — 21/1004