03b—Propositional Logic

CS 3234: Logic and Formal Systems

Martin Henz and Aquinas Hobor

August 26, 2009

Generated on Thursday 26th August, 2010, 15:53

Beyond Traditional Logic

Not just sets

How to express this using traditional logic?

- “$1 + 1 = 3$”
- “The sun is shining today.”
- “Earth has more mass than Mars.”

Beyond Traditional Logic

Not just sets

How to express this using traditional logic?

- "$1 + 1 = 3$"
- "The sun is shining today."
- "Earth has more mass than Mars."

Arguments as Propositions

How to formalize a proposition of the form

If p_1 *then* p_2?

Atoms

Anything goes

We allow any kind of proposition, for example “The sun is shining today”.

Atoms

Anything goes

We allow any kind of proposition, for example “The sun is shining today”.

Convention

We usually use p, q, p_1, etc, instead of sentences like “The sun is shining today”.

Atoms

Anything goes

We allow any kind of proposition, for example “The sun is shining today”.

Convention

We usually use p, q, p_1, etc, instead of sentences like “The sun is shining today”.

Atoms

More formally, we fix a set A of propositional atoms.

Meaning of Atoms

Models assign truth values

A *model* assigns truth values (F or T) to each atom.

Meaning of Atoms

Models assign truth values

A *model* assigns truth values (F or T) to each atom.

More formally

A model for a propositional logic for the set A of atoms is a mapping from A to $\{T, F\}$.

Meaning of Atoms

Models assign truth values

A *model* assigns truth values (F or T) to each atom.

More formally

A model for a propositional logic for the set A of atoms is a mapping from A to $\{T, F\}$.

How do you call them?

Models for propositional logic are called *valuations*.

Examples

Example

Some valuation Let $A = \{p, q, r\}$. Then a valuation v_1 might assign p to T, q to F and r to T.

Examples

Example

Some valuation Let $A = \{p, q, r\}$. Then a valuation v_1 might assign p to T, q to F and r to T.

More formally

$p^{v_1} = T$, $q^{v_1} = F$, $r^{v_1} = T$.

Examples

Example

Some valuation Let $A = \{p, q, r\}$. *Then a valuation* v_1 *might assign* p *to* T, q *to* F *and* r *to* T.

More formally

$p^{v_1} = T, q^{v_1} = F, r^{v_1} = T$.
write $v_1(p)$ instead of p^{v_1}

Building Propositions

We would like to build larger propositions, such as arguments, out of smaller ones, such as propositional atoms. We do this using *operators* that can be applied to propositions, and yield propositions.

Unary Operators

Let p be an atom.

All possibilities

The following options exist:

1. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$.

Unary Operators

Let p be an atom.

All possibilities

The following options exist:

1. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.

Unary Operators

Let p be an atom.

All possibilities

The following options exist:

1. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.
2. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = T$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.

Unary Operators

Let p be an atom.

All possibilities

The following options exist:

1. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.
2. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = T$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.
3. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.

Unary Operators

Let p be an atom.

All possibilities

The following options exist:

1. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.
2. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = T$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.
3. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.
4. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = T$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.

Unary Operators

Let p be an atom.

All possibilities

The following options exist:

1. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.
2. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = T$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.
3. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.
4. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = T$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.

Unary Operators

Let p be an atom.

All possibilities

The following options exist:

1. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.
2. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = T$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.
3. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = F$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = T$.
4. $p^v = F$: $(op(p))^v = T$. $p^v = T$: $(op(p))^v = F$.

The fourth operator *negates* its argument, T becomes F and F becomes T. We call this operator *negation*, and write $\neg p$ (pronounced “not p”).

Nullary Operators are Constants

The constant $\top$

The constant $\top$ always evaluates to T, regardless of the valuation.

Nullary Operators are Constants

The constant $\top$

The constant $\top$ always evaluates to T, regardless of the valuation.

The constant $\bot$

The constant $\bot$ always evaluates to F, regardless of the valuation.

Binary Operators: 16 choices

p	q	$op_1(p, q)$	$op_2(p, q)$	$op_3(p, q)$	$op_4(p, q)$
F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T

Binary Operators: 16 choices (continued)

p	q	$op_5(p,q)$	$op_6(p,q)$	$op_7(p,q)$	$op_8(p,q)$
F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T

Binary Operators: 16 choices (continued)

p	q	$op_9(p,q)$	$op_{10}(p,q)$	$op_{11}(p,q)$	$op_{12}(p,q)$
F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T

Binary Operators: 16 choices (continued)

p	q	$op_{13}(p, q)$	$op_{14}(p, q)$	$op_{15}(p, q)$	$op_{16}(p, q)$
F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T

Three Famous Ones

op_2 : $op_2(p, q)$ is T when p is T *and* q is T, and F otherwise.

Three Famous Ones

op_2 : $op_2(p, q)$ is T when p is T *and* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *conjunction*, denoted $p \land q$.

Three Famous Ones

op_2 : $op_2(p, q)$ is T when p is T *and* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *conjunction*, denoted $p \wedge q$.

op_8 : $op_8(p, q)$ is T when p is T *or* q is T, and F otherwise.

Three Famous Ones

op_2 : $op_2(p, q)$ is T when p is T *and* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *conjunction*, denoted $p \wedge q$.

op_8 : $op_8(p, q)$ is T when p is T *or* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *disjunction*, denoted $p \vee q$.

Three Famous Ones

op_2 : $op_2(p, q)$ is T when p is T *and* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *conjunction*, denoted $p \wedge q$.

op_8 : $op_8(p, q)$ is T when p is T *or* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *disjunction*, denoted $p \vee q$.

op_{14} : $op_{14}(p, q)$ is T when p is F *or* q is T, and F otherwise.

Three Famous Ones

op_2 : $op_2(p, q)$ is T when p is T *and* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *conjunction*, denoted $p \land q$.

op_8 : $op_8(p, q)$ is T when p is T *or* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *disjunction*, denoted $p \lor q$.

op_{14} : $op_{14}(p, q)$ is T when p is F *or* q is T, and F otherwise. Called *implication*, denoted $p \rightarrow q$.

Inductive Definition

Definition

For a given set A of propositional atoms, the set of *well-formed formulas in propositional logic* is the least set F that fulfills the following rules:

- The constant symbols $\bot$ and $\top$ are in F.
- Every element of A is in F.
- If ϕ is in F, then $(\neg\phi)$ is also in F.
- If ϕ and ψ are in F, then $(\phi \wedge \psi)$ is also in F.
- If ϕ and ψ are in F, then $(\phi \vee \psi)$ is also in F.
- If ϕ and ψ are in F, then $(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ is also in F.

Example

$$(((\neg p) \land q) \rightarrow (\top \land (q \lor (\neg r))))$$

is a well-formed formula in propositional logic.

More Compact in BNF

$$\phi ::= p \mid \bot \mid \top \mid (\neg\phi) \mid (\phi \wedge \phi) \mid (\phi \vee \phi) \mid (\phi \rightarrow \phi)$$

(Backus Naur Form)

Convention

The negation symbol $\neg$ binds more tightly than $\wedge$ and $\vee$, and $\wedge$ and $\vee$ bind more tightly than $\rightarrow$. Moreover, $\rightarrow$ is *right-associative*: The formula $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$ is read as $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$.

Convention

The negation symbol $\neg$ binds more tightly than $\wedge$ and $\vee$, and $\wedge$ and $\vee$ bind more tightly than $\rightarrow$. Moreover, $\rightarrow$ is *right-associative*: The formula $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$ is read as $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$.

Example

$$(((\neg p) \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \wedge (q \vee (\neg r))))$$

can be written as

$$\neg p \wedge q \rightarrow p \wedge (q \vee \neg r)$$

Negating Truth Values

Definition

Function $\backslash : \{F, T\} \rightarrow \{F, T\}$ given in truth table:

B	$\backslash B$
F	T
T	F

Conjunction of Truth Values

Definition

Function $\& : \{F, T\} \times \{F, T\} \rightarrow \{F, T\}$ given in truth table:

B_1	B_2	$B_1 \& B_2$
F	F	F
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	T

Disjunction of Truth Values

Definition

Function $|: \{F, T\} \times \{F, T\} \to \{F, T\}$ given in truth table:

B_1	B_2	$B_1 \mid B_2$
F	F	F
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	T

Implication of Truth Values

Definition

Function $\Rightarrow$: $\{F, T\} \times \{F, T\} \rightarrow \{F, T\}$ given in truth table:

B_1	B_2	$B_1 \Rightarrow B_2$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	F
T	T	T

Evaluation of Formulas

Definition

The result of *evaluating* a well-formed propositional formula ϕ with respect to a valuation v, denoted $v(\phi)$ is defined as follows:

- If ϕ is the constant $\bot$, then $v(\phi) = F$.
- If ϕ is the constant $\top$, then $v(\phi) = T$.
- If ϕ is an propositional atom p, then $v(\phi) = p^v$.
- If ϕ has the form $(\neg\psi)$, then $v(\phi) = \backslash v(\psi)$.
- If ϕ has the form $(\psi \wedge \tau)$, then $v(\phi) = v(\psi) \& v(\tau)$.
- If ϕ has the form $(\psi \vee \tau)$, then $v(\phi) = v(\psi) \mid v(\tau)$.
- If ϕ has the form $(\psi \rightarrow \tau)$, then $v(\phi) = v(\psi) \Rightarrow v(\tau)$.

Valid Formulas

Definition

A formula is called *valid* if it evaluates to T with respect to every possible valuation.

Examples

Example

Is

$$(((\neg p) \land q) \rightarrow (\top \land (q \lor (\neg r))))$$

valid?

Examples

Example

Is

$$(((\neg p) \land q) \to (\top \land (q \lor (\neg r))))$$

valid?

Example

Find a valid formula that contains the propositional atoms p, q, r and w.

Sequents

Definition

A sequent consists of propositional formulas $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n$, called *premises*, where $n \geq 0$, and a propositional formula ψ called *conclusion*. We write a sequent as follows:

$$\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$$

and say "ψ is provable using the premises $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n$".

Introducing $\top$

$$\frac{}{\top}\,[\top i]$$

Rules for Conjunction

$$\frac{\phi \qquad \psi}{\phi \land \psi}\,[\land i]$$

Rules for Conjunction

$$\frac{\phi \qquad \psi}{\phi \wedge \psi}[\wedge i]$$

$$\frac{\phi \wedge \psi}{\phi}[\wedge e_1]$$

Rules for Conjunction

$$\frac{\phi \qquad \psi}{\phi \land \psi}[\land i]$$

$$\frac{\phi \land \psi}{\phi}[\land e_1]$$

$$\frac{\phi \land \psi}{\psi}[\land e_2]$$

Example

$$p \land q, r \vdash q \land r$$

Example

$$p \land q, r \vdash q \land r$$

Proof (graphical notation):

$$\cfrac{\cfrac{p \land q}{q}\,[\land e_2] \qquad r}{q \land r}\,[\land i]$$

Example

$$p \wedge q, r \vdash q \wedge r$$

Example

$$p \wedge q, r \vdash q \wedge r$$

Proof (text-based notation):

1	$(p \wedge q)$	premise
2	q	$\wedge$e 1
3	r	premise
4	$q \wedge r$	$\wedge$i 2,3

Double Negation Elimination

$$\frac{\neg\neg\phi}{\phi}\;[\neg\neg i]$$

Implication Elimination

$$\frac{\phi \qquad \phi \to \psi}{\psi}\,[\to e]$$

We would like...

...to be able to prove:

$$p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$$

A proof should look like this

$$p \to q \vdash \neg\neg p \to q$$

1	$p \to q$	premise
2	$\neg\neg p$	assumption
3	p	$\neg\neg e$ 2
4	q	$\to e$ 1, 3
5	$\neg\neg p \to q$	$\to_i$ 2–4

Implication Elimination

$$\dfrac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c}\phi \\ \vdots \\ \psi\end{array}}}{\phi \to \psi}\,[\to i]$$

Rules for Disjunction

$$\frac{\phi}{\phi \lor \psi}\,[\lor i_1] \qquad \frac{\psi}{\phi \lor \psi}\,[\lor i_2]$$

Rules for Disjunction

$$\frac{\phi}{\phi \lor \psi}\,[\lor i_1] \qquad \frac{\psi}{\phi \lor \psi}\,[\lor i_2]$$

$$\frac{\phi \lor \psi \qquad \boxed{\begin{array}{c}\phi\\ \vdots\\ \chi\end{array}} \qquad \boxed{\begin{array}{c}\psi\\ \vdots\\ \chi\end{array}}}{\chi}\,[\lor e]$$

Axioms for ⊥ and Negation

$$\frac{\bot}{\phi}\;[\bot e]$$

Axioms for ⊥ and Negation

$$\frac{\bot}{\phi}\,[\bot e] \qquad \frac{\phi \qquad \neg\phi}{\bot}\,[\neg e]$$

Axioms for ⊥ and Negation

$$\frac{\bot}{\phi}\,[\bot e] \qquad \frac{\phi \qquad \neg\phi}{\bot}\,[\neg e]$$

$$\frac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c}\phi\\ \vdots\\ \bot\end{array}}}{\neg\phi}\,[\neg i]$$

Double Negation Introduction

Lemma ($\neg\neg i$)

The following sequent holds for any formula ϕ:

$$\phi \vdash \neg\neg\phi$$

Double Negation Introduction

Lemma ($\neg\neg i$)

The following sequent holds for any formula ϕ:

$$\phi \vdash \neg\neg\phi$$

Proof:

1	ϕ	premise
2	$\neg\phi$	assumption
3	$\bot$	$\neg$e 1,2
4	$\neg\neg\phi$	$\neg$i 2–3

Double Negation Introduction

Lemma ($\neg\neg i$)

The following sequent holds for any formula ϕ:

$$\phi \vdash \neg\neg\phi$$

can be written like an axiom:

$$\frac{\phi}{\neg\neg\phi}\,[\neg\neg i]$$

Law of Excluded Middle

Lemma (LEM)

$$\frac{}{\phi \lor \neg\phi}\,[LEM]$$

1. Atoms and Propositions
2. Semantics of Propositional Logic
3. Proof Theory
4. Soundness and Completeness (preview)
   - Entailment
   - Soundness and Completeness

Entailment

Definition

If, for all valuations in which all $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n$ evaluate to $\mathtt{T}$, the formula ψ evaluates to $\mathtt{T}$ as well, we say that $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n$ semantically entail ψ, written:

$$\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \models \psi$$

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem (Soundness of Propositional Logic)

Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n$ and ψ be propositional formulas. If $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$, then $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \models \psi$.

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem (Soundness of Propositional Logic)

Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n$ and ψ be propositional formulas. If $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$, then $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \models \psi$.

Theorem (Completeness of Propositional Logic)

Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n$ and ψ be propositional formulas. If $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \models \psi$, then $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$.

Admin

- Coq Homework 1: due 27/8, 9:30pm
- Assignment 2: out on module homepage; due 2/9, 11:00am
- Monday, Wednesday: Office hours
- Tuesday: Tutorials (Assignments 1 and 2)
- Wednesday: Labs (Coq Homework 1; Quiz 1)
- Thursday: Lecture on Predicate Logic