

Lab Week 9—Efficient Records in rePL

CS 4215: Programming Language Implementation

Martin Henz

March 13, 2012

Generated on Thursday 15 March, 2012, 22:43

New Instructions for Record Construction

New instructions:

$$\frac{s}{\text{LDPS } q.s}$$

$$\frac{s}{\text{RCDS } i.s}$$

where q is a property and i is an integer.

Compilation of Record Construction

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1 \quad \dots \quad E_n \hookrightarrow s_n$$

$$[q_1 : E_1, \dots, q_n : E_n] \hookrightarrow \text{LDPS } q_1.s_1 \dots \text{LDPS } q_n.s_n.\text{RCDS } n$$

Execution of LDPS

$$s(pc) = \text{LDPS } q$$

$$(os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (q.os, pc + 1, e, rs)$$

Execution of RCDS

Consider LDPS $q_1.s_1 \dots \text{LDPS } q_n.s_n$. RCDS n resulting from $[q_1 : E_1, \dots, q_n : E_n]$.

After LDPS $q_1.s_1 \dots \text{LDPS } q_n.s_n$, instruction RCDS n finds association list on operand stack.

$$s(pc) = \text{RCDS } n$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_n.q_n \dots v_1.q_1.os, pc, e, rs) \\ & \quad \quad \quad \Rightarrow_s \\ & (\{(q_1, v_1), \dots, (q_n, v_n)\}.os, pc + 1, e, rs) \end{aligned}$$

Operations on Records

Operations: empty, ".", hasproperty

New instructions:

$$\frac{s}{\text{EMPTY}.s}$$

$$\frac{s}{\text{DOT}.s}$$

$$\frac{s}{\text{HASP}.s}$$

Compilation of Record Operations

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{E \hookrightarrow s}{\text{empty } E \hookrightarrow s.\text{EMPTY}} \\
 \\
 \frac{E \hookrightarrow s}{E \text{ hasproperty } q \hookrightarrow s.\text{LDPS } q.\text{HASP}} \\
 \\
 \frac{E \hookrightarrow s}{E . q \hookrightarrow s.\text{LDPS } q.\text{DOT}}
 \end{array}$$

Executions of Record Operations

$$\frac{s(pc) = \text{EMPTY}}{\text{if } v = \emptyset} \\ (v.os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (true.os, pc + 1, e, rs)$$

$$\frac{s(pc) = \text{EMPTY}}{\text{if } v \neq \emptyset} \\ (v.os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (false.os, pc + 1, e, rs)$$

Executions of Record Operations

$$s(pc) = \text{DOT}$$

$$\frac{}{(q.v.os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (v'.os, pc + 1, e, rs)} \quad \text{if } v(q) = v'$$

$$s(pc) = \text{HASP}$$

$$\frac{}{(q.v.os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (true.os, pc + 1, e, rs)} \quad \text{if } \exists v_i. (q, v_i) \in v$$

$$s(pc) = \text{HASP}$$

$$\frac{}{(q.v.os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (false.os, pc + 1, e, rs)} \quad \text{if } \nexists v_i. (q, v_i) \in v$$

Built-in Exceptions

Division by zero and record access throw exceptions.

Idea: place instructions for raising these two exceptions at the end of the instruction sequence.

$$E \hookrightarrow s_1$$
$$[\text{divisionByZero:true}] \hookrightarrow s_2$$
$$[\text{invalidRecordAccess:true}] \hookrightarrow s_3$$

$$Es_1.\text{DONE}.s_2.\text{THROW}.s_3.\text{THROW}$$

beginning address of s_2 : $\text{addr}_{\text{divisionByZero}}$

beginning address of s_3 : $\text{addr}_{\text{invalidRecordAccess}}$

Primitive Operations Throwing Exceptions

$$s(pc) = \text{DIV}$$

$$(0.i_1.os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (os, addr_{\text{divisionByZero}}, e, rs)$$

$$s(pc) = \text{DOT}$$

$$(q.v.os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (os, addr_{\text{invalidRecordAccess}}, e, rs)$$

if $\nexists v.(q, v') \in v$

Programmer-defined Exception Throws

$$E \hookrightarrow s$$

throw E end \hookrightarrow s.THROW

Use Runtime Stack for Catching Exceptions

- Use runtime stack to keep track of the `catch...with...` part of `try` expressions
- Exception from `try` part will pop stackframes, until it finds the appropriate `catch...with...` part

Translation of try Statement

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1 \quad E_2 \hookrightarrow s_2$$

try E_1 catch x with E_2 end

\hookrightarrow

(TRY x $|s_1| + 3$). s_1 .ENDTRY.(GOTOR $|s_2| + 1$). s_2

Execution of TRY Instruction

$$s(pc) = \text{TRY } x \ i$$

$$(os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (os, pc + 1, e, (\text{catch}, x, pc + i, os, e).rs)$$

Execution of ENDTRY Instruction

$$s(pc) = \text{ENDTRY}$$

$$(os, pc, e, (\text{catch}, x, pc', os, e).rs) \Rightarrow_s (os, pc + 1, e, rs)$$

Throwing of an Exception

$$s(pc) = \text{THROW}$$

$$(os, pc, e, (pc', os', e').rs) \Rightarrow_s (os, pc, e, rs)$$

$$s(pc) = \text{THROW}$$

$$(v.os, pc, e, (\text{catch}, x, pc', os', e').rs) \Rightarrow_s (os', pc', e'[x \leftarrow v], rs)$$

Problems with Records in RVM

- representation of records as a set of pairs is inefficient
- properties are strings

Observations

- Properties always appear literally
- Records are always constructed with `[...]`, which explicitly lists all properties

Representing Properties

- compiler constructs set Q of all properties in a given E
- compiler calculates a bijection idp between Q and $[0 \dots |Q| - 1]$
- compiler replaces every occurrence of a property q in an instruction by $idp(q)$

Compilation of Record Operations (revisited)

$$E \hookrightarrow s$$

$$E . q \hookrightarrow s.\text{LDCI } idp(q).\text{DOT}$$

$$E \hookrightarrow s$$

$$E \text{ hasproperty } q \hookrightarrow s.\text{LDCI } idp(q).\text{HASP}$$

Record Construction

- All records are constructed by [...]
- compiler calculates a bijection idr between the set R of all property sets of records and $[0 \dots |R| - 1]$.
- associate with each property q of each record its alphabetical position in the corresponding property set:
 $p(idr(\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}), idp(q))$, starting with 0. If a record with index m does not have a property with index n , we set $p(m, n) = -1$.

Example

- Let us say compiler assigns the number 13 to the set of properties $\{a, b\}$ (thus $idr(\{a, b\}) = 13$)
- the number 55 to the property a
 $idp(a) = 55$
- the number 77 to the property b
 $idp(b) = 77$
- the position of property a in $[a:5\ b:7]$ is $p(13, 55) = 0$
- For any property identifier $n \neq 55, 77$: $p(13, n) = -1$.

Representing Records

Represent a record with properties q_1, \dots, q_n as a pair consisting of identifier $idr(\{q_1, \dots, q_n\})$ and array that maps the alphabetical position of each q in the corresponding property list.

Example

In the example above, since $p(13, 55) = 0$ and $p(13, 77) = 1$, we can represent the record `[a:5 b:7]` by the pair $(13, [0 : 5, 1 : 7])$.

New Translation of Record Construction

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1 \quad \dots \quad E_n \hookrightarrow s_n$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 [q_1 : E_1, \dots, q_n : E_n] \\
 \hookrightarrow \\
 \text{LDCI } idp(q_1).s_1 \dots \text{LDCI } idp(q_n).s_n.\text{RCD } n \text{ } idr(\{q_1, \dots, q_n\})
 \end{array}$$

Efficient Records in RVM

- compiler passes the table p to RVM
- RCD constructs an array, whose indices corresponding to the record properties are given by p .

New Execution of Record Construction

$$s(pc) = \text{RCD } n \ m$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (v_n \cdot i_n \cdot \dots \cdot v_1 \cdot i_1 \cdot os, pc, e, rs) \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \Rightarrow_s \\
 & ((m, \{(p(m, idp(q_1)), v_1), \dots, (p(m, idp(q_n)), v_n)\}) \cdot os, pc + \\
 & \quad \quad \quad 1, e, rs)
 \end{aligned}$$

New Execution of Record Operations

$$s(pc) = \text{DOT}$$

if

$$(i.(m, a).os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (a(j).os, pc + 1, e, rs)$$

$$p(m, i) = j, j \geq 0$$

$$s(pc) = \text{DOT}$$

if

$$(i.(m, a).os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (os, addr_{invalidRecordAccess}, e, rs)$$

$$p(m, i) = -1$$

New Execution of Record Operations

$$s(pc) = \text{HASP}$$

$$(i.(m, a).os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (true.os, pc + 1, e, rs) \quad \text{if } p(m, i) \geq 0$$

$$s(pc) = \text{HASP}$$

$$(i.(m, a).os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (false.os, pc + 1, e, rs) \quad \text{if}$$

$$p(m, i) = -1$$

Summary of Record Implementation

Constant time record access achieved by:

- representing properties by integers using *idp*
- mapping record property sets to integers using *idr*
- record access through arrays using lookup table *p*

Overview of Next Lecture

- Imperative Programming: The language imPL