

## 04—Typing and Denotational Semantics of simPL

CS4215: Programming Language Implementation

Martin Henz

February 3, 2012

Generated on Thursday 2 February, 2012, 18:17

- 1 Typing of simPL
  - Type Environments
  - Typing Relation for simPL
  - Type Safety of simPL
- 2 Denotational Semantics of simPL

## Example

Is  $x + 3$  well-typed?

# Type Environments

A *Type environment*, denoted by  $\Gamma$ , keeps track of the type of identifiers appearing in the expression.

$\Gamma(x)$  returns the type that is known by environment  $\Gamma$  for the identifier  $x$ .

## Environment Extension

If  $\Gamma[x \leftarrow t]\Gamma'$ , then  $\Gamma'$  behaves like  $\Gamma$ , except that the type of  $x$  is  $t$ .

## Example

Let  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ .

$\emptyset[\text{AboutPi} \leftarrow \text{int}]\Gamma'$

$\Gamma'(\text{AboutPi}) = \text{int}$

$\Gamma'[\text{Square} \leftarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}]\Gamma''$

$\text{dom}(\Gamma'') = \{\text{AboutPi}, \text{Square}\}$

## Typing Relation

The set of well-typed expressions is defined by the ternary *typing relation*, written  $\Gamma \vdash E : t$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a type environment such that  $E \bowtie X$  and  $X \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ .

“The expression  $E$  has type  $t$ , under the assumption that its free identifiers have the types given by  $\Gamma$ .”

## Examples

- $\Gamma' \vdash \text{AboutPi} * 2 : \text{int}$
- $\Gamma'' \vdash \text{fun}\{\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}\} x \rightarrow \text{AboutPi} * (\text{Square } 2) \text{ end} : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

## Examples

$$\Gamma' \vdash \text{fun } \{\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}\} x \rightarrow \text{AboutPi} * (\text{Square } 2) \text{ end} : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$$

does not hold, because `Square` occurs free in the expression, but the type environment  $\Gamma'$  to the left of the  $\vdash$  symbol is not defined for `Square`.

## Definition of Typing Relation

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)} \text{[VarT]}$$

If  $\Gamma(x)$  is not defined, then this rule is not applicable. In this case, we say that there is no type for  $x$  derivable from the assumptions  $\Gamma$ .

## Definition of Typing Relation (cont'd)

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \text{int}} \text{[NumT]}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}} \text{[TrueT]}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}} \text{[FalseT]}$$

## Definition of Typing Relation (cont'd)

For each primitive operation  $p$  that takes  $n$  arguments of types  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  and returns a value of type  $t$ , we have exactly one rule of the following form.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : t_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash E_n : t_n}{\Gamma \vdash p[E_1, \dots, E_n] : t} \text{ [PrimT]}$$

## Definition of Typing Relation (cont'd)

$p$	$t_1$	$t_2$	$t$
+	int	int	int
-	int	int	int
*	int	int	int
/	int	int	int
&	bool	bool	bool
	bool	bool	bool
\	bool		bool
=	int	int	bool
<	int	int	bool
>	int	int	bool

## Definition of Typing Relation (cont'd)

$$\Gamma \vdash E : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_1 : t \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : t$$

---

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{if } E \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 \text{ end} : t$$

## Definition of Typing Relation (cont'd)

$$\Gamma_1[x_1 \leftarrow t_1]\Gamma_2 \cdots \Gamma_n[x_n \leftarrow t_n]\Gamma_{n+1} \quad \Gamma_{n+1} \vdash E : t$$

---


$$\Gamma_1 \vdash \text{fun } \{t_1 * \cdots * t_n \rightarrow t\} x_1 \dots x_n \rightarrow E \text{ end} : t_1 * \cdots * t_n \rightarrow t$$

## Definition of Typing Relation (cont'd)

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma[f \leftarrow t_1 * \dots * t_n \rightarrow t] \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_1[x_1 \leftarrow t_1] \Gamma_2 \dots \Gamma_n[x_n \leftarrow t_n] \Gamma_{n+1} \\ \Gamma_{n+1} \vdash E : t \end{array}$$

---


$$\Gamma \vdash \text{recfun } f \{t_1 * \dots * t_n \rightarrow t\} x_1 \dots x_n \rightarrow E \text{ end} : t_1 * \dots * t_n \rightarrow t$$

## Definition of Typing Relation (cont'd)

$$\Gamma \vdash E : t_1 * \dots * t_n \rightarrow t \quad \Gamma \vdash E_1 : t_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash E_n : t_n$$

---


$$\Gamma \vdash (E E_1 \dots E_n) : t$$

## Well-Typedness

An expression  $E$  is well-typed, if there is a type  $t$  such that  $E : t$ .

## Example Proof

---


$$\emptyset \vdash 2 : \text{int}$$


---


$$\emptyset \vdash 3 : \text{int}$$


---


$$\emptyset \vdash 2 * 3 : \text{int}$$


---


$$\emptyset \vdash 7 : \text{int}$$


---


$$\emptyset \vdash 2 * 3 > 7 : \text{bool}$$

## Example Proof

$$\emptyset[x \leftarrow \text{int}]\Gamma \quad \frac{\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \text{int}} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 1 : \text{int}}}{\Gamma \vdash x+1 : \text{int}}}{\Gamma \vdash x+1 : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{}{\emptyset \vdash \text{fun } \{\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}\} x \rightarrow x+1 \text{ end} : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \quad \frac{}{\emptyset \vdash 2 : \text{int}}$$

$$\emptyset \vdash (\text{fun } \{\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}\} x \rightarrow x+1 \text{ end } 2) : \text{int}$$

## Unique Type

### Lemma

*For every expression  $E$  and every type assignment  $\Gamma$ , there exists at most one type  $t$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash E : t$ .*

## More Properties of Typing Relation

### Lemma

*Typing is not affected by “junk” in the type assignment. If  $\Gamma \vdash E : t$ , and  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$ , then  $\Gamma' \vdash E : t$ .*

### Lemma

*Substituting an identifier by an expression of the same type does not affect typing. If  $\Gamma[x \leftarrow t']\Gamma'$ ,  $\Gamma' \vdash E : t$ , and  $\Gamma \vdash E' : t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash E'' : t$ , where  $E[x \leftarrow E']E''$ .*

# Type Safety

Type safety is a property of a given language with a given static and dynamic semantics. It says that if a program of the language is well-typed, certain problems are guaranteed not to occur at runtime.

What do we consider as “problems”?

## Components of Type Safety

**Progress.** Well-typed expressions are values or can be further evaluated.

**Preservation.** Well-typed expressions do not change their type during evaluation.

## Definition of Type Safety

A programming language with a given typing relation  $\dots \vdash \dots : \dots$  and one-step evaluation  $\mapsto$  is called type-safe, if the following two conditions hold:

- 1 **Preservation.** If  $E$  is a well-typed program with respect to  $\dots \vdash \dots : \dots$  and  $E \mapsto E'$ , then  $E'$  is also a well-typed program with respect to  $\vdash$ .
- 2 **Progress.** If  $E$  is a well-typed program, then either  $E$  is a value or there exists a program  $E'$  such that  $E \mapsto E'$ .

## Preservation in simPL

If for a simPL expression  $E$  and some type  $t$  holds  $E : t$  and if  $E \mapsto_{\text{simPL}} E'$ , then  $E' : t$ .

## Progress in simPL

Let  $\text{simPL}'$  be  $\text{simPL}$  without division.

If for a  $\text{simPL}'$  expression  $E$  holds  $E : t$  for some type  $t$ , then either  $E$  is a value, or there exists an expression  $E'$  such that  $E \mapsto_{\text{simPL}'} E'$ .

## Is perfect typing possible?

The type safety of simPL' ensures that evaluation of a well-typed simPL' expression does not get stuck.

Can we say the reverse by claiming that any expression for which the dynamic semantics produces a value is well-typed?

## Is perfect typing possible?

Unfortunately, some expressions produce a value although they are not well-typed. Example:

```
if true then 1 else false end
```

Interesting to note: Perfect typing is not possible for languages such as simPL'.

## Stepping back

### Summary so far

- Typing allows us to focus on well-typed programs
- Well-typed programs “behave well” (progress, preservation)

### Outlook

We will focus on well-typed programs and develop a semantics that eliminates many of the efficiency and engineering issues encountered with dynamic semantics.

- 1 Typing of simPL
- 2 Denotational Semantics of simPL
  - Denotational Semantics of simPL0
  - Denotational Semantics of simPL1
  - Denotational Semantics of simPL2
  - Denotational Semantics of simPL3
  - simPL4

## A Critique of Current Approach

- Contraction relies substitution; mathematically rather complex.
- Primitive operations that are not total functions, such as division, make the evaluation process get stuck. We want a more explicit way of handling runtime errors.
- simPL contains many “unreasonable” programs, which complicates the definition of a dynamic semantics
- Dynamic semantics cannot be extended easily to other language paradigms such as imperative programming.

# A Glimpse of Denotational Semantics

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{+[E_1, E_2] \mapsto v_1 + v_2}$$

- syntactic domains
- semantic domains
- semantic functions

## Sublanguages of `simPL`

- `simPL0`; integer and boolean expressions
- `simPL1`; add `let` and `if`
- `simPL2`; add division
- `simPL3`; add functions
- `simPL4`; add recursive functions

## Syntactic Domain of simPL0

$$\frac{}{n}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{true}}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{false}}$$

$$\frac{E_1 \quad E_2}{p[E_1, E_2]} \quad p \in \{ |, \&, +, -, *, =, >, < \}$$

$$\frac{E}{p[E]} \quad p \in \{ \backslash \}$$

## Semantic Domains

Domain name	Definition	Explanation
<b>Bool</b>	$\{true, false\}$	ring of booleans
<b>Int</b>	$\{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$	ring of integers
<b>EV</b>	<b>Bool + Int</b>	expressible values

Expressible values **EV** are values that are the result of evaluating an expression.

# Semantic Function

The semantic function

$$\cdot \mapsto \cdot : \mathbf{simPL0} \rightarrow \mathbf{EV}$$

expresses the meaning of elements of `simPL0`, by defining the value of each element.

## Semantic Rules

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \frac{}{\text{true} \mapsto \text{true}} & \frac{}{\text{false} \mapsto \text{false}} & \frac{n \mapsto_{\mathbf{N}} i}{n \mapsto i}
 \end{array}$$

The function  $\mapsto_{\mathbf{N}}$  transforms the simPL0 integer syntax into an element of **Int**.

## Semantic Rules (cont'd)

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{E_1 + E_2 \mapsto v_1 + v_2}$$

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{E_1 - E_2 \mapsto v_1 - v_2}$$

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{E_1 * E_2 \mapsto v_1 \cdot v_2}$$

## Semantic Rules (cont'd)

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{E_1 \& E_2 \mapsto v_1 \wedge v_2}$$

$$\frac{E \mapsto v}{\backslash E \mapsto \neg v}$$

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{E_1 | E_2 \mapsto v_1 \vee v_2}$$

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{E_1 = E_2 \mapsto v_1 \equiv v_2}$$

## Semantic Rules (cont'd)

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{E_1 > E_2 \mapsto v_1 > v_2}$$

$$\frac{E_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad E_2 \mapsto v_2}{E_1 < E_2 \mapsto v_1 < v_2}$$

## Example

$1 + 2 > 3 \mapsto \text{false}$  holds because  $1 + 2 \mapsto 3$   
and  $3 > 3$  is *false*.

# simPL1

Add the following to simPL0:

- conditionals,
- identifiers,
- let

# Syntactic Domain of simPL1

$$E \quad E_1 \quad E_2$$


---

if  $E$  then  $E_1$  else  $E_2$  end

$$E \quad E_1 \quad \dots \quad E_n$$


---

$x$

---

let  $x_1 = E_1 \dots x_n = E_n$  in  $E$  end

## Motivation: Semantic Domains of simPL1

We need to introduce environments that allow us to keep track of the binding of identifiers. These environments map identifiers to *denotable values*.

# Semantic Domains of simPL1

Semantic domain	Definition	Explanation
<b>Bool</b>	$\{true, false\}$	ring of booleans
<b>Int</b>	$\{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$	ring of integers
<b>EV</b>	<b>Bool + Int</b>	expressible values
<b>DV</b>	<b>Bool + Int</b>	denotable values
<b>Id</b>	alphanumeric string	identifiers
<b>Env</b>	<b>Id</b> $\rightsquigarrow$ <b>DV</b>	environments

## Operations on Environments

We introduce an operation  $\Delta[x \leftarrow v]$ ,  
which denotes an environment that works like  $\Delta$ ,  
except that  $\Delta[x \leftarrow v](x) = v$

# Semantic Functions for `simPL1`

Define  $\cdot \rightsquigarrow \cdot$  using auxiliary semantic function  $\cdot \Vdash \cdot \rightsquigarrow \cdot$  that gets an environment as additional argument.

$\cdot \rightsquigarrow \cdot : \mathbf{simPL1} \rightarrow \mathbf{EV}$

$$\emptyset \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow v$$


---


$$E \rightsquigarrow v$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function for `simPL1`

$\cdot \Vdash \cdot \rightsquigarrow \cdot : \mathbf{Env} * \mathbf{simPL1} \rightarrow \mathbf{EV}$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function for `simPL1` (cont'd)

---


$$\Delta \Vdash \text{true} \rightsquigarrow \text{true}$$

$$n \rightsquigarrow_{\mathbf{N}} i$$


---


$$\Delta \Vdash n \rightsquigarrow i$$


---


$$\Delta \Vdash \text{false} \rightsquigarrow \text{false}$$


---


$$\Delta \Vdash x \rightsquigarrow \Delta(x)$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function for simPL1 (cont'd)

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 + E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 + v_2$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 - E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 - v_2$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 * E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \cdot v_2$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function for simPL1 (cont'd)

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \& E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \wedge v_2$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \mid E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \vee v_2$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow v$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash \backslash E \rightsquigarrow \neg v$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 = E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \equiv v_2$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function for simPL1 (cont'd)

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 > E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 > v_2$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 < E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 < v_2$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function for simPL1 (cont'd)

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow true \quad \Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1$$


---


$$\Delta \Vdash \text{if } E \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow v_1$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow false \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$


---


$$\Delta \Vdash \text{if } E \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function for simPL1 (cont'd)

$$\Delta[x_1 \leftarrow v_1] \cdots [x_n \leftarrow v_n] \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow v \quad \Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \cdots \quad \Delta \Vdash E_n \rightsquigarrow v_n$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash \text{let } x_1 = E_1 \cdots x_n = E_n \text{ in } E \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow v$$

## Example

...

---


$$\emptyset[\text{AboutPi} \leftarrow 3] \Vdash \text{AboutPi} + 2 \rightsquigarrow 5$$


---


$$\emptyset \Vdash \text{let AboutPi} = 3 \text{ in AboutPi} + 2 \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow 5$$


---


$$\text{let AboutPi} = 3 \text{ in AboutPi} + 2 \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow 5$$

## Syntactic Domain of simPL2

The language simPL2 adds division to simPL1.

$$\frac{E_1 \quad E_2}{E_1/E_2}$$

The difficulty lies in the fact that division on integers is a partial function, not being defined for 0 as second argument.

## Semantic Domains of simPL2

Domain name	Definition	Explanation
<b>Bool</b>	$\{true, false\}$	ring of booleans
<b>Int</b>	$\{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$	ring of integers
<b>EV</b>	<b>Bool + Int + <math>\{\perp\}</math></b>	expressible values
<b>DV</b>	<b>Bool + Int</b>	denotable values
<b>Id</b>	alphanumeric string	identifiers
<b>Env</b>	<b>Id <math>\rightsquigarrow</math> DV</b>	environments

## Modify Auxiliary Semantic Function

The semantic function  $\cdot \Vdash \cdot \mapsto \cdot$  is modified to take the occurrence of the error value  $\perp$  into account.

## Auxiliary Semantic Function (cont'd)

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

---

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 + E_2 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

---

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 + E_2 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---

if  $v_1, v_2 \neq \perp$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 + E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 + v_2$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function (cont'd)

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

---

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1/E_2 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

---

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1/E_2 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow 0$$

---

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1/E_2 \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

---

if  $v_1, v_2 \neq \perp$  and  $v_2 \neq 0$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1/E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1/v_2$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function (cont'd)

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow \perp$$


---

$$\Delta \Vdash \text{if } E \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow \text{true} \quad \Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1$$


---

$$\Delta \Vdash \text{if } E \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow v_1$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow \text{false} \quad \Delta \Vdash E_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2$$


---

$$\Delta \Vdash \text{if } E \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow v_2$$

## Auxiliary Semantic Function (cont'd)

$$\Delta \Vdash E_i \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

for  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\Delta \Vdash \text{let } x_1 = E_1 \cdots x_n = E_n \text{ in } E \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow \perp$$

$$\Delta[x_1 \leftarrow v_1] \cdots [x_n \leftarrow v_n] \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow v \quad \Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \cdots \Delta \Vdash E_n \rightsquigarrow v_n$$

$$\Delta \Vdash \text{let } x_1 = E_1 \cdots x_n = E_n \text{ in } E \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow v$$

otw'

## Example

For any environment  $\Delta$ ,  
 $\Delta \Vdash 5+(3/0) \rightsquigarrow \perp$ , since  
 $\Delta \Vdash 3/0 \rightsquigarrow \perp$ .

## Syntactic Domain of simPL3

Add (non-recursive) function definition and application.

$$\frac{E}{\text{fun } \{ t \} x_1 \dots x_n \rightarrow E \text{ end}}$$

$$\frac{E \ E_1 \ \dots \ E_n}{(E \ E_1 \ \dots \ E_n)}$$

## Semantic Domains of simPL3

Domain name	Definition	Explanation
<b>Bool</b>	$\{true, false\}$	ring of booleans
<b>Int</b>	$\{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$	ring of integers
<b>EV</b>	<b>Bool</b> + <b>Int</b> + $\{\perp\}$ + <b>Fun</b>	expressible values
<b>DV</b>	<b>Bool</b> + <b>Int</b> + <b>Fun</b>	denotable values
<b>Id</b>	alphanumeric string	identifiers
<b>Env</b>	<b>Id</b> $\rightsquigarrow$ <b>DV</b>	environments
<b>Fun</b>	<b>DV</b> * $\dots$ * <b>DV</b> $\rightsquigarrow$ <b>EV</b>	function values

## Auxiliary Semantic Function (cont'd)

---

$\Delta \Vdash \text{fun } \{ t \} x_1 \dots x_n \rightarrow E \text{ end} \rightsquigarrow f$

$f$  is function such that

$f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = v$ , where

$\Delta[x_1 \leftarrow y_1] \cdots [x_n \leftarrow y_n] \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow v$

## Evaluation of Function Application

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightsquigarrow f \quad \Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \quad \dots \quad \Delta \Vdash E_n \rightsquigarrow v_n$$

---


$$\Delta \Vdash (E \ E_1 \ \dots \ E_n) \rightsquigarrow f(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

## Syntactic Domain of `simPL4`

$$E$$

---

$$\text{recfun } f \{ t \} x_1 \dots x_n \rightarrow E \text{ end}$$

## Discussion simPL4

We would like to add the following rule to our definition of  $\mapsto$ .

---


$$\Delta \Vdash \text{recfun } g \{ t \} x_1 \dots x_n \rightarrow E \text{ end } \mapsto v$$

$f$  is function such that

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = v, \text{ where}$$

$$\Delta[x_1 \leftarrow y_1] \cdots [x_n \leftarrow y_n]$$

$$[g \leftarrow f] \Vdash E \mapsto v$$

## Problem

The symbol  $f$  occurs on the right hand side of the definition of  $f$ .  
 How do we know that such a function  $f$  exists? Some expressions  
 have unique solutions for  $f$ , others have multiple solutions.

Example:

```
(recfun f {int -> int} x -> (f x) end 0)
```

Theory of fixpoints ...

## Overview of Next Lecture

Friday 10/2:

- A Virtual Machine for simPL