Security Considerations for Peerto-Peer Distributed Hash Tables

Emil Sit and Robert Morris Laboratory for Computer Science, MIT

presented by Markku Kekkonen

Introduction

 what problems do large peer-to-peer systems based on hash lookup systems have?

• focus on attacks that prevent data retrieval

presented by examples, proposing defenses

Background

- Lookup protocols have common basic components:
 - a key and node identifier space
 - rules for associating keys to particular nodes and for updating routing tables
 - per-node routing tables
- Storage layer must maintain some invariants
 => responsible for storing, replicating etc. the data

Attacks and defenses

Different kinds of attacks:

- Routing attacks
 - Incorrect lookup routing
 - Incorrect routing updates
 - partition
- Storage and retrieval attacks
- Miscellaneous attacks
 - Inconsistent behaviour
 - Overload of targeted nodes
 - Rapid joins and leaves (churn)

Routing attacks and defenses (1/2)

- In a DHT routing is critical => define verifiable system invariants and verify them
- Attack: Incorrect lookup routing
 - Malicious node could forward lookups to a non-existent or incorrect node
- Defense: Allow the querier to observe the lookup process and assign keys to nodes in a verifiable way (eg. cryptographic hash of its IP address and port)

Routing attacks and defenses (2/2)

- A: Incorrect routing updates
 - Malicious node misleads innocent nodes and causes them to send misdirected queries
- D: Reachability must be confirmed, server selection in routing may be abused
- A: Partition
 - A new node may inadvertantly join a parallel allmalicious network
- D: Cross-check routing tables using *random* queries

Storage and retrieval attacks and defenses

 A: Denying the existence of data or refusing to give data

• D: Avoid single points of responsibility and consult multiple replicas

Miscellaneous attacks and defenses (1/2)

- A: Inconsistent behaviour
 - A node acts maliciously only to part of the network
- D: use of public keys
- A: Overload of targeted nodes (DoS)
- D: node identifiers must be distributed randomly and replicas kept in physically disparate locations

Miscellaneous attacks and defenses (2/2)

- A: Rapid joins and leaves (churn)
 - unnecessary rebalancing => excess traffic
- D: Balancing between replication and overloading
- A: Unsolicited messages
- D: random nonce, digital signatures

Conclusions

- Design principles derived from defenses for discussed attacks:
 - Define verifiable system invariants (and verify them!)
 - Allow the querier to observe lookup process
 - Assign keys to nodes in a verifiable way
 - Server selection in routing may be abused
 - Cross-check routing tables using random queries
 - Avoid single points of responsibility!