### Advanced Automata Theory 2 Finite Automata

Frank Stephan Department of Computer Science Department of Mathematics National University of Singapore fstephan@comp.nus.edu.sg

```
Union:
\mathbf{L} \cup \mathbf{H} = {\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L} \lor \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}};
\{00, 01, 02\} \cup \{01, 11, 21\} = \{00, 01, 02, 11, 21\};
\{0, 00, 000\} \cup \{00, 000, 0000\} = \{0, 00, 000, 0000\}.
Intersection:
\mathbf{L} \cap \mathbf{H} = {\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L} \land \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}};
\{0, 00, 000\} \cap \{00, 000, 0000\} = \{00, 000\};
\{00, 01, 02\} \cap \{01, 11, 21\} = \{01\}.
Set Difference:
\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{H} = {\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L} \land \mathbf{u} \notin \mathbf{H}};
\{00, 01, 02\} - \{01, 11, 21\} = \{00, 02\}.
Concatenation:
000 \cdot 1122 = 0001122;
\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{H} = \{ \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L} \land \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{H} \};
\{0,00\} \cdot \{1,2\} = \{01,001,02,002\}.
```

```
Definition
\mathbf{L}^* = \{\varepsilon\} \cup \mathbf{L} \cup \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cup \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cup \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cup \dots
   = \{\mathbf{w_1} \cdot \mathbf{w_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{w_n} : \mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{0} \land \mathbf{w_1}, \mathbf{w_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n} \in \mathbf{L}\};
\mathbf{L}^+ = \mathbf{L} \cup \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cup \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cup \dots
   = \{\mathbf{w_1} \cdot \mathbf{w_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{w_n} : \mathbf{n} > \mathbf{0} \land \mathbf{w_1}, \mathbf{w_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n} \in \mathbf{L} \}.
Examples
\emptyset^* = \{\varepsilon\}.
\Sigma^* is the set of all words over \Sigma.
\{\mathbf{0}\}^* = \{\varepsilon, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{00}, \mathbf{000}, \mathbf{0000}, \ldots\}.
\{00, 01, 10, 11\}^* are all binary words of even length.
\varepsilon \in \mathbf{L}^+ iff \varepsilon \in \mathbf{L}.
```

Notation Often  $\mathbf{w}^*$  in place of  $\{\mathbf{w}\}^*$ ; Often  $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{L}$  in place of  $\{\mathbf{w}\} \cdot \mathbf{L}$ .

Grammar  $(N, \Sigma, P, S)$  describes how to generate the words in a language; the language L of a grammar consists of all the words in  $\Sigma^*$  which can be generated.

N: Non-terminal alphabet, disjoint to  $\Sigma$ .

 $S \in N$  is the start symbol.

 ${\bf P}$  consists of rules  ${\bf l} \to {\bf r}$  with each rule having at least one symbol of  ${\bf N}$  in the word  ${\bf l}.$ 

 $\mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \mathbf{w}$  iff there are  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  and rule  $\mathbf{l} \rightarrow \mathbf{r}$  in  $\mathbf{P}$  with  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{xly}$  and  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{xry}, \mathbf{v} \Rightarrow^* \mathbf{w}$ : several such steps.

The grammar with  $N = \{S\}, \Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  and  $P = \{S \rightarrow SS, S \rightarrow 0, S \rightarrow 1\}$  permits to generate all nonempty binary strings.

 $\mathbf{S} \Rightarrow \mathbf{SS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{SSS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{0SS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{01S} \Rightarrow \mathbf{011}.$ 

Grammar  $(N, \Sigma, P, S)$  generating L.

CH0: No restriction. Generates all recursively enumerable languages.

CH1 (context-sensitive): Every rule is of the form  $\mathbf{uAw} \rightarrow \mathbf{uvw}$  with  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \Sigma)^*$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \neq \varepsilon$ . Easier formalisation: If  $\mathbf{l} \rightarrow \mathbf{r}$  is a rule then  $|\mathbf{l}| \leq |\mathbf{r}|$ , that is,  $\mathbf{r}$ is at least as long as  $\mathbf{l}$ . Special rule for both in the case that  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{L}$ .

CH2 (context-free): Every rule is of the form  $A \to w$  with  $A \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \Sigma)^*$ .

CH3 (regular): Every rule is of the form  $A \to wB$  or  $A \to w$  with  $A, B \in {\bf N}$  and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .

L is called context-sensitive / context-free / regular iff it can be generated by a grammar of respective type.

# **Multiples of 3**

Check whether decimal number  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$  is a multiple of 3.

```
Easy Algorithm
Scan through the word from a_1 to a_n.
Maintain memory s.
Initialise s = 0.
For m = 1, 2, ..., n Do
Begin Let s = s + a_m modulo 3 End.
If s = 0
Then a_1a_2...a_n is multiple of 3
Else a_1a_2...a_n is not a multiple of 3.
```

Quiz 2.2: Test the algorithm on 1, 20, 304, 2913, 49121, 391213, 2342342, 123454321, 1231231233, 12345654321.

### **Finite Automaton**



## **Automata Working Mod 7**

Automaton  $(\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \delta, 0, \{0\})$  with  $\delta$  given as table.

q	type	$\delta(q,a)$ for $a=0$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	acc	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	1	2
1	rej	3	4	5	6	0	1	2	3	4	5
2	rej	6	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	1
3	rej	2	3	4	5	6	0	1	2	3	4
4	rej	5	6	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	0
5	rej	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	1	2	3
6	rej	4	5	6	0	1	2	3	4	5	6

 $\delta(q, a)$  is the remainder of 10 \* q + a by 7.  $\delta(0, 568) = \delta(\delta(\delta(0, 5), 6), 8) = 1.$ 

## **Automaton as Program**

```
function div257 begin
    var a in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 256\};
    var b in {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
    if exhausted (input) then reject;
    read(b, input); a = b;
     if b == 0 then
       begin if exhausted(input)
         then accept else reject end;
    while not exhausted (input) do
       begin read(b, input);
         a = (a \times 10 + b) \mod 257 \text{ end};
     if a == 0 then accept else reject end.
Automaton checks whether input is multiple of 257.
Automaton rejects leading 0s of decimal numbers.
Important: All variables can only store constantly many
pieces of information during the run of the automaton.
```

### **Finite Automaton - Formal**

A deterministic finite automaton (dfa) is given by a set Q of states, the alphabet  $\Sigma$  used, the state-transition function  $\delta$  mapping  $Q \times \Sigma$  to Q, the starting state  $s \in Q$  and a set  $F \subseteq Q$  of final states.

On input  $a_1a_2 \ldots a_n$ , one can associate to this input a sequence  $q_0q_1q_2 \ldots q_n$  of states of the finite automaton with  $q_0 = s$  and  $\delta(q_m, a_{m+1}) = q_{m+1}$  for all m < n. This sequence is called the run of the dfa on this input.

A dfa accepts a word w iff its run on the input w ends in an accepting state, that is, in a member of F. Otherwise the dfa rejects the word w.

One can inductively extend  $\delta$  to a function from  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{\Sigma}^*$  to  $\mathbf{Q}$  by letting  $\delta(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon) = \mathbf{q}$  and  $\delta(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{wa}) = \delta(\delta(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{a})$ . So the dfa accepts  $\mathbf{w}$  iff  $\delta(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathbf{F}$ .

### Exercise 2.6

Make a finite automaton for the program from the Slide 9.

Use  $Q = \{s, z, r, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{256}\}.$ 

Here s is the starting state, r is an always rejecting state which is never left and z is the state which is reached after reading the first 0. Furthermore, when the word is starting with  $1, 2, \ldots, 9$ , then the automaton should cycle between the states  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{256}$ .

Describe when the automaton is in state  $q_a$  and how the states are updated on **b**. There is no need to write a table for  $\delta$ , it is sufficient to say how  $\delta$  works in each relevant case.

# **Quiz 2.7**

Let  $(\{s, t\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \delta, s, \{t\})$  be a finite automaton with  $\delta(s, a) = t$  and  $\delta(t, a) = s$  for all  $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Determine the language of strings recognised by this automaton.



## **Regular Sets**

Theorem 2.8

The following statements are equivalent for a language L.

- (a) L is recognised by a deterministic finite automaton;
- (b) L is generated by a regular expression;
- (c) L is generated by a regular grammar.

# **Block Pumping Lemma (Thm 2.9)**

If L is a regular set then there is a constant k such that for all strings  $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k$  with  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1}$  not empty and  $u_0u_1 \ldots u_k \in L$  there are i, j with  $0 < i \leq j < k$  and

 $(\mathbf{u_0} \dots \mathbf{u_{i-1}}) \cdot (\mathbf{u_i} \dots \mathbf{u_j})^* \cdot (\mathbf{u_{j+1}} \dots \mathbf{u_k}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}.$ 

So if one splits a word in L into k + 1 parts then one can select some parts in the middle of the word and pump them.

Proof-Idea: Choose dfa and let k be two larger than number of states. Let  $q_h$  be the state after reading  $u_0u_1 \dots u_{h-1}$ . There are i, j + 1 with  $q_i = q_{j+1}$ . Then after reading  $u_0 \dots u_{i-1}$ , one is in state  $q_i$  and can read as many copies of  $u_i \dots u_j$  as one wants without changing the state. Thus the state after reading the whole word is for all words in H the same. So the selected blocks can be pumped up or down.

# **Block Pumping Lemma as a Game**

Player Anke wants to show pumping condition is true; Player Boris wants to show it is false.

- 1. Anke selects a pumping constant  $k \ge 2$ .
- 2. Boris selects words  $\mathbf{u_0}, \mathbf{u_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_k}$  such that

 $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1}$  are not empty and  $u_0u_1 \ldots u_{k-1}u_k \in L$ ; if such words do not exist. Anke has won.

- 3. Anke chooses i,j with  $1\leq i\leq j\leq k-1.$
- 4. Boris selects  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ ; note h = 0 possible.
- 5. If  $\mathbf{u_0} \ldots \mathbf{u_{i-1}} (\mathbf{u_i} \ldots \mathbf{u_j})^{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{u_{j+1}} \ldots \mathbf{u_k} \in \mathbf{L}$

then Anke wins else Boris wins.

The language is block pumpable iff player Anke has a winning strategy, that is, can play such that she always wins the game; it is not block pumpable iff player Boris has a winning strategy. The block pumping lemma says that all regular sets are block pumpable, that is, for all regular sets L, player Anke has a winning strategy.

# Example 2.10

 $\{1,2\}^* \cdot (0 \cdot \{1,2\}^* \cdot 0 \cdot \{1,2\}^*)^*$ : Satisfies Block Pumping Lemma with  $\mathbf{k} = 3$ .

If there are at least two inner blocks, either one of them contains an even number of 0 or two neighbouring blocks contain both an odd number of 0. Thus one can pump one or two neighbouring inner blocks which have together an even number of 0.

 $\{u : u \text{ has a different number of } 0 \text{ s than } 1 \text{ s}\}$ : Does not satisfy the Block Pumping Lemma with any k.

Consider  $0^{k+1}1^{(k+1)!+k+1}$  and cut it in blocks such that each inner block consists of a single 0. Thus the pump, whatever it is, has h symbols with  $1 \le h \le k$  and inserting (k + 1)!/h copies of the pump produces a word with as many 0 as 1.

# **Sequence of Morse and Thue**

### Definition

An infinite sequence  $a_0a_1a_2...$  is square-free if and only if it does not have a subword of the form ww and  $a_0a_1a_2...$  is cube-free if and only if it does not have a subword of the form www.

### Theorem 2.12 [Morse and Thue]

The sequence given by  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_{2n} = a_n$ ,  $a_{2n+1} = 1 - a_n$  is an infinite binary cube-free sequence. There is an infinite ternary square-free sequence.

There is no infinite binary square-free sequence: Such a sequence cannot have the subwords 00 and 11, hence it must alternate at every bit and start with 0101 or 1010.

### Corollary

There are cube-free binary strings of every length.

# **Block Pumping and Regularity**

Theorem 2.11 [Ehrenfeucht, Parikh and Rozenberg] If a language and its complement both satisfy the Block Pumping Lemma then the language is regular.

### Theorem 2.13

The following languages satisfy the Block Pumping Lemma but are not regular:

- $\mathbf{L} = {\mathbf{w} \in {\{0,1\}}^* : \mathbf{w} \text{ contains a cube or the length of } \mathbf{w}}$ is not a power of 10;
- $\mathbf{H} = {\mathbf{w} \in {\{0, 1, 2\}}^* : \mathbf{w} \text{ contains a square or the length}}$ of w is not a power of 10.

The idea is based on the fact that when pumping, all the long repetitions of the pump contain a square and a cube. For small pumping, omitting the pump or repeating it once, one uses the length-constraint to satisfy the Block Pumping Lemma.

# Quiz 2.14

Which of the following languages over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  satisfies the pumping-condition of the Block Pumping Lemma:

- (a)  $\{00, 111, 22222\}^* \cap \{11, 222, 00000\}^* \cap \{22, 000, 11111\}^*,$
- (b)  $\{0^{m}1^{n}2^{o}: m+n+o=5555\},\$
- (c)  $\{0^{m}1^{n}2^{o}: m+n=o+5555\},\$
- (d)  $\{w : w \text{ contains more } 1 \text{ than } 0\}$ ?

### Exercises 2.15 and 2.16

Find the optimal block pumping constants for the following languages.

Exercise 2.15

(a)  $\{w \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}^*$ : at least one nonzero digit a occurs in w at least three times};

(b)  $\{ w \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}^* : |w| = 255 \};$ (c)  $\{ w \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}^* :$  the length |w| is not a multiple of 6 $\}.$ 

Exercise 2.16

(a)  $\{ w \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}^* : w \text{ is a multiple of } 25 \};$ (b)  $\{ w \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}^* : w \text{ is not a multiple of } 3 \};$ (c)  $\{ w \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}^* : w \text{ is a multiple of } 400 \}.$ 

## Derivatives

Given a language L, let  $L_x = \{y : x \cdot y \in L\}$  be the derivative of L at x.

Theorem 2.19 [Myhill and Nerode]. A language L is regular iff L has only finitely many derivatives.

If L has k derivatives, one can make a dfa by selecting strings  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$  representing the derivatives  $L_{x_1}, L_{x_2}, \ldots, L_{x_k}$  and letting  $\delta(x_i, a)$  be the unique  $x_j$  with  $L_{x_j} = L_{x_ia}$ . A state  $x_i$  is accepting iff  $\varepsilon \in L_{x_i}$  iff  $x_i \in L$ .

## Example 2.21

Let  $L = 0^*1^*2^*$ . Now  $L_0 = 0^*1^*2^*$ ,  $L_{01} = 1^*2^*$ ,  $L_{012} = 2^*$ and  $L_{0121} = \emptyset$ . The corresponding automaton is the following.



## Example 2.22

- Let  $\mathbf{L} = \{\mathbf{0^n 1^n} : \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Then  $L_{0^n} = \{0^m 1^{n+m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

The shortest string in  $L_{0^n}$  is  $1^n$ .

If  $n \neq n'$  then  $L_{0^n} \neq L_{0^{n'}}$ . Hence there are infinitely many different derivatives.

The language L cannot be regular.

# Jaffe's Pumping Lemma 2.23

Jaffe was the first to provide a form of the pumping lemma which characterises the regular languages.

#### Lemma 2.23

A language  $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is regular iff  $\exists \mathbf{k} \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Sigma^* \forall \mathbf{y} \in \Sigma^{\mathbf{k}} \ \exists \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  $[\mathbf{v} \neq \varepsilon \text{ and } \mathbf{uvw} = \mathbf{y} \text{ and all } \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ satisfy } \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{xuv^hw}} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{xy}}].$ 

Note that  $L_{xuw} = L_{xy}$  implies that every derivative of a string of length  $\mathbf{k}$  or more is equal to a shorter derivative. Thus there are at most  $(|\Sigma|^k - 1)/(|\Sigma| - 1)$  in the case  $|\Sigma| > 1$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  in the case that  $|\Sigma| = 1$  many derivatives and therefore the language is regular by the Theorem of Myhill and Nerode. The other direction is proven by looking at the dfas.

Quiz. Jaffe's Pumping Lemma as formulated here pumps at the word end. Does the same lemma work when one requires pumping at the word start?

# Jaffe's Pumping Lemma as a Game

Player Anke wants to show pumping condition is true; Player Boris wants to show it is false.

- 1. Anke selects a pumping constant k.
- 2. Boris selects words  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  with  $|\mathbf{y}| = \mathbf{k}$ .
- 3. Anke splits word y into three parts  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  with  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}$  and  $|\mathbf{v}| \ge 1$ .
- 4. Boris selects  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and word z.

5. If  $L(xuv^hwz) = L(xyz)$  then Anke wins else Boris wins.

Player Anke has a winning strategy iff the pumping condition of Jaffe's Pumping Lemma is satisfied iff L is regular. Note that above quantification over z allows to check the derivative condition; that is,  $L_{xy} \neq L_{xuvhw}$  iff player Boris can supply a z with  $L(xyz) \neq L(xuvhwz)$ .

### Exercises 2.24 and 2.25

Exercise 2.24: Assume that the alphabet  $\Sigma$  has 5000 elements. Define a language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  such that Jaffe's Matching Pumping Lemma is satisfied with constant k = 3 while every deterministic finite automaton recognising L has more than 5000 states. Prove your answer.

Exercise 2.25: Find a language which needs for Jaffe's Matching Pumping Lemma at least constant  $\mathbf{k} = 100$  and can be recognised by a deterministic finite automaton with 100 states. Prove your answer.

# **Corollary 2.26**

Jaffe's Pumping Lemma for members of L If L is regular then there is a constant k such that for all  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and  $y \in \Sigma^k$  with  $xy \in L$  there are u, v, w with y = uvw and  $v \neq \varepsilon$  such that, for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L_{xuv^hw} = L_{xy}$ .

Exercise 2.27: Show that L consisting of  $\varepsilon$  and all words  $0^n 1^m 2^k 3$  with n = m or k = 0 is context-free, not regular and satisfies Corollary 2.26.

Exercise 2.28: If L satisfies Corollary 2.26 and H is regular, does  $L \cdot H$  satisfy Corollary 2.26? Prove the answer.

Exercise 2.29: Call L prefix-free iff  $\mathbf{vw} \in \mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{w} \neq \varepsilon$ always implies  $\mathbf{v} \notin \mathbf{L}$ . If L is prefix-free and  $\mathbf{L}^{mi}$  satisfies Theorem 1.19 (a), does L then satisfy Corollary 2.26?

# Example 2.31

Assume that  $\Sigma$  has n elements, n > 0. Let L consist of all strings which contain at least one symbol twice.

- If  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{x}}$  then  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^*$ .
- If  $\varepsilon \notin \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{x}}$  then  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{x}} \cap \mathbf{\Sigma} = \{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \text{ occurs in } \mathbf{x}\}.$

There are  $2^n + 1$  many derivatives of this type; for each subset of  $\Sigma$  one derivative with  $L_x \cap \Sigma$  being that set plus  $\Sigma^*$ .

These are also all the derivatives which exist. A dfa recognising L needs at least  $2^n+1$  states.

## **Non-Deterministic Finite Automaton**

If  $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \delta, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{F})$  is a non-deterministic finite automaton (nfa) then  $\delta$  is a relation and not a function, that is, for  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}$  there can be several  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{Q}$  with  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}) \in \delta$ .

A run of an nfa on a word  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$  is a sequence  $q_0q_1q_2 \dots q_n \in \mathbf{Q}^*$  such that  $q_0 = \mathbf{s}$  and  $(\mathbf{q_m}, \mathbf{a_{m+1}}, \mathbf{a_{m+1}}) \in \delta$  for all  $\mathbf{m} < \mathbf{n}$ .

If  $\mathbf{q_n} \in \mathbf{F}$  then the run is "accepting" else the run is "rejecting".

The nfa accepts a word  $\mathbf{w}$  iff it has an accepting run on  $\mathbf{w}$ ; this is also the case if there exist other rejecting runs.

## **Büchi's Powerset Construction**

#### Theorem 2.34

If L can be recognised by an nfa with m states then L can be recognised by a dfa with  $2^{m}$  states.

#### Construction

Given  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ , let Pow(Q) be the set of all subsets of Q. For  $\tilde{p} \subseteq Q$ , let

$$\mathbf{\Delta}(\mathbf{ ilde{p}},\mathbf{a}) = \{\mathbf{q}\in\mathbf{Q}: \exists\mathbf{p}\in\mathbf{ ilde{p}}\, [(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{a},\mathbf{q})\in\delta]\}.$$

The dfa  $(\mathbf{Pow}(\mathbf{Q}), \Sigma, \Delta, \{s\}, \{\tilde{\mathbf{p}} : \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cap \mathbf{F} \neq \emptyset\})$  recognises the same language L.

#### Idea of Verification

Show that both automata have same acceptance behaviour on words  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$  by induction over word length.

# Example 2.35

Consider nfa ({s,q}, {0,1},  $\delta$ , s, {q}) with  $\delta$ (s, 0) = {s,q},  $\delta$ (s, 1) = {s} and  $\delta$ (q, a) =  $\emptyset$  for all a  $\in$  {0, 1}.

Then the corresponding dfa has the four states  $\emptyset, \{s\}, \{q\}, \{s, q\}$  where  $\{q\}, \{s, q\}$  are the final states and  $\{s\}$  is the initial state. The transition function  $\Delta$  of the dfa is given as

$$\begin{split} &\Delta(\emptyset, \mathbf{a}) = \emptyset \text{ for } \mathbf{a} \in \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}, \\ &\Delta(\{\mathbf{s}\}, \mathbf{0}) = \{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{q}\}, \,\Delta(\{\mathbf{s}\}, \mathbf{1}) = \{\mathbf{s}\}, \\ &\Delta(\{\mathbf{q}\}, \mathbf{a}) = \emptyset \text{ for } \mathbf{a} \in \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}, \\ &\Delta(\{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{q}\}, \mathbf{0}) = \{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{q}\}, \,\Delta(\{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{q}\}, \mathbf{1}) = \{\mathbf{s}\}. \end{split}$$

This automaton can be further optimised: The states  $\emptyset$  and  $\{q\}$  are never reached, hence they can be omitted from the dfa.

## **Exponential Bound**

The language from Example 2.31 has an nfa with n + 2 states while a dfa needs  $2^n + 1$  states; here for n = 4.



### Exercises 2.36 and 2.37

#### Exercise 2.36

Consider the language  $\{0, 1\}^* \cdot 0 \cdot \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ :

- (a) Show that a dfa recognising it needs at least  $2^n$  states;
- (b) Make an nfa recognising it with at most n + 1 states;
- (c) Made a dfa recognising it with exactly  $2^n$  states.

### Exercise 2.37

Find a characterisation when a regular language L is recognised by an nfa only having accepting states. Examples of such languages are  $\{0, 1\}^*$ ,  $0^*1^*2^*$  and  $\{1, 01, 001\}^* \cdot 0^*$ . The language  $\{00, 11\}^*$  is not a language of this type.

## **Set of Initial States**

Assume that  $(\mathbf{Q}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{F})$  has a set  $\mathbf{I}$  of possible initial states and an accepting run is any run starting in one member of  $\mathbf{I}$  and finishing in one member of  $\mathbf{F}$ .

Exercise 2.39 Consider  $\mathbf{L} = \{ \mathbf{w} : \text{some } \mathbf{a} \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \text{ does not occur in } \mathbf{w} \}.$ 

Show that there is an nfa with an initial set of states which recognises L using  $|\Sigma|$  states.

Show that every complete dfa recognising L needs  $2^{|\Sigma|}$  states; here complete means that the dfa never gets stuck.

## **Regular Grammar to NFA**

Given a regular grammar over alphabet  $\Sigma$ . While there is  $A \to w$  with  $w \in \Sigma^+$ , replace rule by  $A \to wC, C \to \varepsilon$  for new non-terminal C. While there is  $A \to vwB$  with  $v, w \in \Sigma^+$ , replace rule by  $A \to vC, C \to wB$  for new non-terminal C. Fix now the so normalised grammar as  $(N, \Sigma, P, S)$ .

NFA is given as  $(\mathbf{N}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \delta, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F})$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a}) &= \{\mathbf{B}\in\mathbf{N}:\mathbf{A}\Rightarrow^*\mathbf{aB}\};\\ \mathbf{F} &= \{\mathbf{B}\in\mathbf{N}:\mathbf{B}\Rightarrow^*\varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

The NFA recognises the same language which the given grammar generates.

### Exercises 2.44 and 2.45

Exercise 2.44. Let the regular grammar  $({S, T}, {0, 1, 2}, P, S)$  with the rules P being  $S \rightarrow 01T|20S$ ,  $T \rightarrow 01|20S|12T$ . Construct a non-deterministic finite automaton recognising the language generated by this grammar.

Exercise 2.45. Consider the regular grammar  $({S}, {0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9}, P, S)$  where the rules in P are all rules of the form  $S \rightarrow aaaaaS$  for some digit a and the rule  $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$  and let L be the language generated by this grammar. What is the minimum number of states of a non-deterministic finite automaton recognising this language L? What is the trade-off of the nfa compared to the minimal dfa for the same language L? Prove the answers.

## **Characterisation of Regular Sets**

Corollary 2.46

The following conditions are equivalent for a language L:

- (a) L is generated by a regular expression;
- (b) L is generated by a regular grammar;
- (c)  $\mathbf{L}$  is recognised by a dfa;
- (d) L is recognised by a nfa;
- (e) L and  $\Sigma^* L$  satisfy the Block Pumping Lemma;
- (f) L satisfies Jaffe's Matching Pumping Lemma;
- (g) L has only finitely many derivatives (Theorem of Myhill and Nerode).

# **Sizes of Expressions versus NFAs**

Example 2.47. L =  $\bigcup_{m < n} (\{0,1\}^m \cdot \{1\} \cdot \{0,1\}^* \cdot \{10^m\})$  can be written down in  $O(n^2)$  symbols as a regular expression but the corresponding dfa has at least  $2^n$  states: if  $x = a_0a_1 \dots a_{n-1}$  then  $10^m \in L_x$  iff  $x10^m \in L$  iff  $a_0a_1 \dots a_{n-1}10^m \in L$  iff  $a_m = 1$ . Thus for  $x = a_0a_1 \dots a_{n-1}$  and  $y = b_0b_1 \dots b_{n-1}$ , it holds that  $L_x = L_y$  iff  $\forall m < n [10^m \in L_x \Leftrightarrow 10^m \in L_y]$  iff  $\forall m < n [a_m = b_m]$  iff x = y. Thus the language L has at least  $2^n$  states.

Theorem 2.48.  $L_n = \{0^{p_1}\}^+ \cap \{0^{p_2}\}^+ \cap \ldots \cap \{0^{p_n}\}^+$  has a regular expression which can be written down with approximately  $O(n^2 \log(n))$  symbols if one can use intersection. However, every nfa recognising  $L_n$  has at least  $2^n$  states and every regular expression for  $L_n$  only using union, concatenation and Kleene star needs at least  $2^n$  symbols.

### Exercises 2.50 and 2.51

#### Exercise 2.50

Assume that a regular expression uses lists of finite sets, Kleene star, union and concatenation and assume that this expression generates at least two words. Prove that the second-shortest word of the language generated by  $\sigma$  is at most as long as  $\sigma$ . Either prove it by structural induction or by an assumption of contradiction as in the proof before; both methods are nearly equivalent.

#### Exercise 2.51

Is Exercise 2.50 also true if one permits Kleene plus in addition to Kleene star in the regular expressions? Either provide a counter example or adjust the proof. In the case that it is not true for the bound  $|\sigma|$ , is it true for the bound  $2|\sigma|$ ? Again prove that bound or provide a further counter example.

# Example 2.52

Ehrenfeucht and Zeiger's Exponential Gap Let  $\Sigma = \{1, 2, ..., n\} \times \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . A complete dfa with n + 1 states recognises the set of all sequences of the form  $(1, a_1), (a_1, a_2), (a_2, a_3), ..., (a_{m-1}, a_m)$  for any numbers  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m$ . Ehrenfeucht and Zeiger showed that any regular expression for this language needs at least  $2^{n-1}$ symbols.

If one would permit intersection, this gap would not be there for this example, as one could write

 $\begin{array}{l} (\{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}\}^* \cdot (\varepsilon \cup \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) : \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}\})) \cap \\ (\{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}\} \cdot \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \\ \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}\}^* \cdot (\varepsilon \cup \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}\})) \end{array}$ 

to obtain the desired expression whose size is polynomial in  $\mathbf{n}$ .

### **Exercises 2.53-2.54**

#### Exercise 2.53.

Assume that an nfa of k states recognises a language L. Show that the language does then satisfy the Block Pumping Lemma with constant k+1, that is, given any words  $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k, u_{k+1}$  such that their concatenation  $u_0u_1 \ldots u_ku_{k+1}$  is in L then there are i, j with  $0 < i < j \leq k+1$  and

 $\mathbf{u_0u_1}\ldots\mathbf{u_{i-1}}(\mathbf{u_iu_{i+1}}\ldots\mathbf{u_{j-1}})^*\mathbf{u_ju_{j+1}}\ldots\mathbf{u_{k+1}}\subseteq \mathbf{L}.$ 

#### Exercise 2.54.

Given numbers n, m with n > m > 2, provide an example of a regular language where the Block pumping constant is exactly m and where every nfa needs at least n states.

## **Find Small NFAs**

Let n be the size of the alphabet  $\Sigma$  and assume  $n \ge 2$ (2.59:  $n \ge 3$ ). Determine size of the smallest dfa in dependence of n and construct good small dfa and nfa for the smallest allowed n.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Exercise 2.55. } \mathbf{H} = \{\mathbf{vawa}: \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}^*, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}\}.\\ \mbox{Exercise 2.56. } \mathbf{I} = \{\mathbf{ua}: \mathbf{u} \in (\mathbf{\Sigma} - \{\mathbf{a}\})^*, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}\}.\\ \mbox{Exercise 2.57. } \mathbf{J} = \{\mathbf{abuc}: \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}^*, \mathbf{c} \in \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}\}.\\ \mbox{Exercise 2.58. } \mathbf{K} = \{\mathbf{avbwc}: \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}^*, \mathbf{c} \in \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}\}.\\ \mbox{c} \notin \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}\}. \end{array}$ 

Exercise 2.59.  $\mathbf{L} = {\mathbf{w} : \exists \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \Sigma [\mathbf{w} \in {\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}^*}]}.$ 

# Jaffe's Pumping Lemma

### For these exercises, $\Sigma = \{0\}$ .

#### Exercise 2.60

Show that an nfa for  $\{0000000\}^* \cup \{00000000\}^*$  needs only 16 states while Jaffe's pumping lemma has constant 56.

#### Exercise 2.61

Generalise the idea of Exercise 2.60 to show that there is a family  $L_n$  of languages such that an nfa for  $L_n$  can be constructed with  $O(n^3)$  states while Jaffe's pumping lemma needs a constant of at least  $2^n$ . Provide the family of the  $L_n$  and explain why it satisfies the corresponding bounds.

#### Exercise 2.62

Determine the constant of Jaffe's pumping lemma and determine the sizes of the minimal nfa and dfa for  $(\{00\} \cdot \{00000\}) \cup (\{00\}^* \cap \{000\}^*).$