NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING EXAMINATION FOR

SEMESTER 1 2009/2010

CS 3234 - LOGIC AND FORMAL SYSTEMS

December 2009

Time Allowed: 2 Hours

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

This is an open book examination. Any written and printed material may be used during the examination. The examination consists of a paper part, and an automated theorem proving part.

Paper Part (54 marks)

1. Enter your matriculation number here:												
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

- 2. Enter the answers to the questions in this answer book in the provided spaces.
- 3. This examination booklet has 12 pages, including this cover page, and contains 8 questions.
- 4. Answer all questions.
- 5. The maximal marks achievable is indicated for each question.

Automated Theorem Proving Part (36 marks)

- 1. find the questions in the file final.v on the desktop of the computer in front of you.
- 2. Enter your name and matriculation number in the designated section on top of the file.
- 3. Read and follow the instructions in the file.
- 4. Save the file after entering the answers; do not move the file.

_ Do not write below this line ___

Q#	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Α	В	С	D	Σ
Max	5	6	8	3	10	6	11	5	9	9	9	9	90
Sc													

Predicate Calculus

Question 1: (5 marks) Prove the following sequent in predicate calculus:

 $\vdash \forall x ((\forall y P(x, y)) \to P(x, x))$

Question 2: (6 marks) Prove that the following formula in predicate calculus is not valid:

$$\forall x \forall y \exists z_1 \exists z_2 (z_1 \neq z_2 \land P(x, z_1) \land P(x, z_2) \land P(y, z_1) \land P(y, z_2) \land P(y, z_1) \land P(y, z_2) \land \rightarrow x = y)$$

Question 3: (8 marks) Consider the following grammar of a restricted predicate logic syntax:

$$t ::= x | f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

$$\phi ::= P(t_1, \dots, t_m) | (\neg \phi) | (\phi \land \phi) | (\phi \lor \phi) |$$

$$(\phi \to \phi) | (\forall x \phi) | (\exists x \phi) |$$

where n > 0 and m > 0. The restriction excludes constants (nullary function symbols).

A variable occurrence in a formula is a place where a variable x appears as term (not right after \forall or \exists), and a predicate occurrence in a formula is a place where a predicate symbol P appears. For example, the formula

$$(\forall x (P(x, y) \land \exists z (P(y) \lor Q(x, y, z))))$$

has 6 variable occurrences and 3 predicate occurrences.

Prove that every formula ϕ in this language has at least as many variable occurrences as it has predicate occurrences.

Modal Logic

Question 4: (3 marks) Consider the Kripke model depicted in the following diagram.



List all worlds x for which $x \Vdash \Diamond \Box p$ holds. (no proof required)

Linear Time Logic

Question 5: (10 marks) Consider the following additional construct for LTL:

$$\phi ::= \ldots \mid (F_{[n,m]} \phi)$$

where $n \ge 0$, $m \ge 0$ and $\pi \models (F_{[n,m]} \phi)$ iff there is some *i* with $n \le i \le m$ such that $\pi^i \models \phi$.

• (2 marks) Consider the following model \mathcal{M} :



Does $\mathcal{M}, s_0 \models F_{[2,3]}q$ hold? Explain your answer in one sentence.

• (2 marks) Translate the formula $F_{[1,1]}p$ to an equivalent LTL formula without this new construct.

item (2 marks) Translate the formula $F_{[1,2]}p$ to an equivalent LTL formula without this new construct.

- (2 marks) Translate the formula $F_{[5,9]}p$ to an equivalent LTL formula without this new construct.
- (2 marks) Translate the formula $F_{[7,4]}p$ to an equivalent LTL formula without this new construct.

• (4 marks) Complete the following translation function *translate* such that it can be used to automatically translate formulas from the described extended form to an equivalent LTL formula without the new construct.

$$\begin{aligned} translate(\top) &::= \ \top \\ translate(\bot) &::= \ \bot \\ translate(p) &::= \ p \\ translate(\neg \phi) &::= \ \neg translate(\phi) \\ translate(\phi_1 \land \phi_2) &::= \ translate(\phi_1) \land translate(\phi_2) \\ translate(\phi_1 \lor \phi_2) &::= \ translate(\phi_1) \lor translate(\phi_2) \\ translate(\phi_1 \to \phi_2) &::= \ translate(\phi_1) \to translate(\phi_2) \\ translate(X \ \phi) &::= \ X \ translate(\phi) \\ translate(F \ \phi) &::= \ F \ translate(\phi) \\ translate(G \ \phi) &::= \ Translate(\phi) \\ translate(\phi_1 \ U \ \phi_2) &::= \ translate(\phi_1) \ U \ translate(\phi_2) \\ translate(\phi_1 \ W \ \phi_2) &::= \ translate(\phi_1) \ W \ translate(\phi_2) \\ translate(\phi_1 \ W \ \phi_2) &::= \ translate(\phi_1) \ W \ translate(\phi_2) \\ translate(\phi_1 \ R \ \phi_2) &::= \ translate(\phi_1) \ R \ translate(\phi_2) \\ translate(F_{[n,m]} \ \phi) &::= \ \ldots \end{aligned}$$

Question 6: Consider the following LTL model for a lift that goes between two levels.



The proposition up indicates that the lift is going up, the proposition down indicates that the lift is going down, the proposition idle indicates that the lift is idle (not moving), the proposition *one* indicates that the lift is at Level 1, and the proposition two indicates that the lift is at Level 2.

• (2 marks) Formulate the following requirement in LTL and state (without proof), whether the model \mathcal{M} at starting state s_0 satisfies the requirement:

If the lift is at Level 2 and going down, then the lift must reach Level 1 at the next step.

• (2 marks) Formulate the following requirement in LTL and state (without proof), whether the model \mathcal{M} at starting state s_0 satisfies the requirement:

If the lift is idle, it remains idle until it either goes up or down.

• (2 marks) Formulate the following requirement in LTL and state (without proof), whether the model \mathcal{M} at starting state s_0 satisfies the requirement:

If the lift is at Level 1, it must go up in order to reach Level 2.

Question 7: (12 marks) Consider the following program P, written in the Core language of the lectures.

```
z = 0;
b = 0;
x = 0;
while (z <> y) {
    if (b = 0) {
        b = 1
    } else {
        b = 0;
        x = x + 1
    };
    z = z + 1
}
```

Prove the following Hoare triple:

$$\vdash_{par} (\exists n(n+n=y)) P (x+x=y)$$

Question 8: (5 marks) Consider the following proposal for an additional proof rule for Hoare logic:

$$(\phi) P (\psi)$$

$$(\phi \wedge \eta) P (\psi \wedge \eta)$$
[Frame]

Is this a sound rule? Explain your answer.