

11—Program Verification (Part II)

CS 3234: Logic and Formal Systems

Martin Henz and Aquinas Hobor

October 28, 2010

Generated on Thursday 28th October, 2010, 11:44

- 1 Review: Partial Correctness
- 2 Proof Calculus for Total Correctness
- 3 Programming by Contract

Framework for Software Verification

Convert informal description R of *requirements* for an application domain into formula ϕ_R .

Framework for Software Verification

Convert informal description R of *requirements* for an application domain into formula ϕ_R .

Write program P that meets ϕ_R .

Framework for Software Verification

Convert informal description R of *requirements* for an application domain into formula ϕ_R .

Write program P that meets ϕ_R .

Prove that P satisfies ϕ_R .

Framework for Software Verification

Convert informal description R of *requirements* for an application domain into formula ϕ_R .

Write program P that meets ϕ_R .

Prove that P satisfies ϕ_R .

Each step provides risks and opportunities.

Expressions in Core Language

Expressions come as arithmetic expressions E :

$$E ::= n \mid x \mid (-E) \mid (E + E) \mid (E - E) \mid (E * E)$$

Expressions in Core Language

Expressions come as arithmetic expressions E :

$$E ::= n \mid x \mid (-E) \mid (E + E) \mid (E - E) \mid (E * E)$$

and boolean expressions B :

$$B ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid (!B) \mid (B \& B) \mid (B \parallel B) \mid (E < E)$$

Commands in Core Language

Commands cover some common programming idioms.
Expressions are components of commands.

$$C ::= x = E \mid C; C \mid \text{if } B \{C\} \text{ else } \{C\} \mid \text{while } B \{C\}$$

Example

Consider the factorial function:

$$\begin{aligned} 0! &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 \\ (n+1)! &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (n+1) \cdot n! \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that after the execution of the following Core program, we have $y = x!$.

```
y = 1;  
z = 0;  
while (z != x) { z = z + 1; y = y * z; }
```

Assertions on Programs

Shape of assertions

$$\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$$

Assertions on Programs

Shape of assertions

$$\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$$

Informal meaning

If the program P is run in a state that satisfies ϕ , then the state resulting from P 's execution will satisfy ψ .

Example

What program P meets this tiple

$$\{x > 0\} P \{y \cdot y < x\}$$

One correct answer: $P =$

```
y = 0;  
while (y * y < x) {  
    y = y + 1;  
}  
y = y - 1;
```

Hoare Triples

Definition

An assertion of the form $\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ is called a Hoare triple.

- ϕ is called the precondition, ψ is called the postcondition.
- A state of a Core program P is a function I that assigns each variable x in P to an integer $I(x)$.
- A state I satisfies ϕ if $\mathcal{M} \models_I \phi$, where \mathcal{M} contains integers and gives the usual meaning to the arithmetic operations.
- Quantifiers in ϕ and ψ bind only variables that do *not* occur in the program P .

Partial Correctness

Definition

We say that the triple $\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ is *satisfied under partial correctness* if, for all states which satisfy ϕ , the state resulting from P 's execution satisfies ψ , provided that P terminates.

Partial Correctness

Definition

We say that the triple $\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ is *satisfied under partial correctness* if, for all states which satisfy ϕ , the state resulting from P 's execution satisfies ψ , provided that P terminates.

Notation

We write $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$.

Total Correctness

Definition

We say that the triple $\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ is *satisfied under total correctness* if, for all states which satisfy ϕ , P is guaranteed to terminate and the resulting state satisfies ψ .

Total Correctness

Definition

We say that the triple $\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ is *satisfied under total correctness* if, for all states which satisfy ϕ , P is guaranteed to terminate and the resulting state satisfies ψ .

Notation

We write $\models_{\text{tot}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$.

Strategy

We are looking for a proof calculus that allows us to establish

$$\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ \phi \} P \{ \psi \}$$

Strategy

We are looking for a proof calculus that allows us to establish

$$\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$$

where

- $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ holds whenever $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$
(correctness)

Strategy

We are looking for a proof calculus that allows us to establish

$$\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$$

where

- $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ holds whenever $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ (correctness), and
- $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ holds whenever $\models_{\text{par}} \{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$ (completeness).

Rules for Partial Correctness

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\eta\} \quad \{\eta\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1; C_2 \{\psi\}} \text{[Composition]}$$

Rules for Partial Correctness (continued)

$$\frac{}{\{[x \rightarrow E]\psi\} x = E \{\psi\}} \text{[Assignment]}$$

Rules for Partial Correctness (continued)

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge B\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg B\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } B \{ C_1 \} \text{ else } \{ C_2 \} \{\psi\}} \text{[If-statement]}$$

Rules for Partial Correctness (continued)

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge B\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg B\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } B \{ C_1 \} \text{ else } \{ C_2 \} \{\psi\}} \text{[If-statement]}$$

$$\frac{\{\psi \wedge B\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\psi\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\psi \wedge \neg B\}} \text{[Partial-while]}$$

Rules for Partial Correctness (continued)

$$\frac{\vdash_{\text{AR}} \phi' \rightarrow \phi \quad \{\phi\} \mathbf{C} \{\psi\} \quad \vdash_{\text{AR}} \psi \rightarrow \psi'}{\{\phi'\} \mathbf{C} \{\psi'\}} \text{[Implied]}$$

Factorial Example

We shall show that the following Core program Fac1 meets this specification:

```
y = 1;  
z = 0;
```

```
while (z != x) { z = z + 1; y = y * z; }
```

Thus, to show:

$$\{\top\} \text{Fac1} \{y = x!\}$$

Partial Correctness of Fac1

\vdots	
$\{y = z!\}$	
while ($z \neq x$) {	
$\{y = z! \wedge z \neq x\}$	Invariant
$\{y \cdot (z + 1) = (z + 1)!\}$	Implied
$z = z + 1;$	
$\{y \cdot z = z!\}$	Assignment
$y = y * z;$	
$\{y = z!\}$	Assignment
}	
$\{y = z! \wedge \neg(z \neq x)\}$	Partial-while
$\{y = x!\}$	Implied

How To Discover an Invariant?

$$\frac{\{ \eta \wedge B \} C \{ \eta \}}{\{ \eta \} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{ \eta \wedge \neg B \}} \text{[Partial-while]}$$

How To Discover an Invariant?

$$\frac{\{\eta \wedge B\} C \{\eta\}}{\{\eta\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\eta \wedge \neg B\}} \text{[Partial-while]}$$

To be proven: $\{\phi\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\psi\}$

How To Discover an Invariant?

$$\frac{\{\eta \wedge B\} C \{\eta\}}{\{\eta\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\eta \wedge \neg B\}} \text{[Partial-while]}$$

To be proven: $\{\phi\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\psi\}$

1 $\vdash_{\text{AR}} \phi \rightarrow \eta$

How To Discover an Invariant?

$$\frac{\{\eta \wedge B\} C \{\eta\}}{\{\eta\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\eta \wedge \neg B\}} \text{[Partial-while]}$$

To be proven: $\{\phi\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\psi\}$

- 1 $\vdash_{\text{AR}} \phi \rightarrow \eta$
- 2 $\vdash_{\text{AR}} \eta \wedge \neg B \rightarrow \psi$

How To Discover an Invariant?

$$\frac{\{\eta \wedge B\} C \{\eta\}}{\{\eta\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\eta \wedge \neg B\}} \text{[Partial-while]}$$

To be proven: $\{\phi\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\psi\}$

- 1 $\vdash_{\text{AR}} \phi \rightarrow \eta$
- 2 $\vdash_{\text{AR}} \eta \wedge \neg B \rightarrow \psi$
- 3 $\{\eta \wedge B\} C \{\eta\}$

Partial Correctness of Fac1

$\{\top\}$	
$\{(1 = 0!)\}$	Implied
$y = 1;$	
$\{y = 0!\}$	Assignment
$z = 0;$	
$\{y = z!\}$	Assignment
$\text{while } (z \neq x) \{$	
\vdots	
$\}$	
$\{y = z! \wedge \neg(z \neq x)\}$	Partial-while
$\{y = x!\}$	Implied

- 1 Review: Partial Correctness
- 2 Proof Calculus for Total Correctness**
- 3 Programming by Contract

Ideas for Total Correctness

- The only source of non-termination is the `while` command.
- If we can show that the value of an integer expression decreases in each iteration, but never becomes negative, we have proven termination.

Ideas for Total Correctness

- The only source of non-termination is the `while` command.
- If we can show that the value of an integer expression decreases in each iteration, but never becomes negative, we have proven termination.
Why?

Ideas for Total Correctness

- The only source of non-termination is the `while` command.
- If we can show that the value of an integer expression decreases in each iteration, but never becomes negative, we have proven termination.
Why? Well-foundedness of natural numbers

Ideas for Total Correctness

- The only source of non-termination is the `while` command.
- If we can show that the value of an integer expression decreases in each iteration, but never becomes negative, we have proven termination.
Why? Well-foundedness of natural numbers
- We shall include this argument in a new version of the `while` rule.

Rules for Partial Correctness (continued)

$$\frac{\{\psi \wedge B\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\psi\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\psi \wedge \neg B\}} \text{[Partial-while]}$$

$$\frac{\{\psi \wedge B \wedge 0 \leq E = E_0\} C \{\psi \wedge 0 \leq E < E_0\}}{\{\psi \wedge 0 \leq E\} \text{ while } B \{ C \} \{\psi \wedge \neg B\}} \text{[Total-while]}$$

Factorial Example (Again!)

```
y = 1;  
z = 0;  
while (z != x) { z = z + 1; y = y * z; }
```

What could be a good variant E ?

Factorial Example (Again!)

```
y = 1;  
z = 0;  
while (z != x) { z = z + 1; y = y * z; }
```

What could be a good variant E ?

E must strictly decrease in the loop, but not become negative.

Factorial Example (Again!)

$y = 1;$

$z = 0;$

while $(z \neq x) \{ z = z + 1; y = y * z; \}$

What could be a good variant E ?

E must strictly decrease in the loop, but not become negative.

Answer:

$$x - z$$

Total Correctness of Fac1

\vdots	
$\{y = z! \wedge 0 \leq x - z\}$	
while ($z \neq x$) {	
$\{y = z! \wedge z \neq x \wedge 0 \leq x - z = E_0\}$	Invariant
$\{y \cdot (z + 1) = (z + 1)! \wedge 0 \leq x - (z + 1) < E_0\}$	Implied
$z = z + 1;$	
$\{y \cdot z = z! \wedge 0 \leq x - z < E_0\}$	Assignment
$y = y * z;$	
$\{y = z! \wedge 0 \leq x - z < E_0\}$	Assignment
}	
$\{y = z! \wedge \neg(z \neq x)\}$	Total-while
$\{y = x!\}$	Implied

Total Correctness of Fac1

$\{x \leq 0\}$	
$\{(1 = 0! \wedge 0 \leq x - 0)\}$	Implied
$y = 1;$	
$\{y = 0! \wedge 0 \leq x - 0\}$	Assignment
$z = 0;$	
$\{y = z! \wedge 0 \leq x - z\}$	Assignment
while ($z \neq x$) {	
\vdots	
}	
$\{y = z! \wedge \neg(z \neq x)\}$	Total-while
$\{y = x!\}$	Implied

- 1 Review: Partial Correctness
- 2 Proof Calculus for Total Correctness
- 3 Programming by Contract**

Programming by Contract

Consider

$$\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$$

Obligation for consumer of P

Only run P when ϕ is met.

Obligation for producer of P

Make sure ψ is met after every run of P , assuming that ϕ is met before the run.

Contracts as Documentation

```
int factorial (x: int) { ... return y; }
```

Method name: factorial
Input: x of type int
Assumes: $0 \leq x$
Guarantees: $y = x!$
Output: y
Modifies only: y