Lecture 9 Memory Management II

21 October, 2011

Address Space

Physical Memory





Physical Memory

What really happened during a page fault?

(i) save current execution context and jump to a page fault handler

CPU

Memory

(ii) find out which page is needed and whether the memory access is valid

(iii) determine which frame to load the page into

(iv) if the frame to be replaced is dirty, block faulting process.

(V) write dirty frame to disk and load the faulted page from disk (using DMA)

(vi) upon disk interrupt, update page table, rewind any partially executed instruction, and mark process as ready

(vii) when process is scheduled to run, restore execution context

Global VS. Local Replacement

Dirty VS. Clean Pages

Locked VS. Unlocked Pages

Demand Paging VS. Prepaging

Free when needed VS. Free in background

Swap Partition VS. Page File

major VS. minor page fault

Page Replacement Algorithm which page to evict from the physical memory?

21

Page Requests

1, 4, 6, 0, 2, 3, 4, 4, 12, 10, 2,

Goals: Maximize Hit Rate Simple & Fast

Belady's Algorithm: evict the page which will be accessed furthest into the future

optimal but unrealizable

assuming that pages that haven't been referenced recently will not be reference in near future

Page Requests

1, 4, 6, 0, 2, 3, 4, 4, 12, 10, 2,

Not Recently Used (NRU) evicts pages in the order 1. R = 0, M = 02. R = 0, M = 13. R = 1, M = 04. R = 1, M = 1

First-in-first-out (FIFO) evicts the page that stayed in RAM the longest

Physical Memory

Page Requests

1, 4, 6, 0, 2, 3, 4, 4, 12, 10, 2,

Second Chance evicts the page with R=0 that stayed in RAM the longest

Physical Memory

Page Requests

1, 4, 6, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 12, 10,

p = pages[i]while p.R is 1 p.R = 0i = (i + 1) % N p = pages[i] evict p

This is called the **Clock** Algorithm

Least Recently Used (LRU) evicts the page that hasn't been used the longest time.

LRU implementation with linked list

on referencing frame k: move k to back of list

on evict: evict head of list

LRU implementation with counter

on referencing frame k: frame.t = current time

on evict: evict frame with min t

LRU implementation with matrix

on referencing frame k: set all bits of row k to 1 set all bits of column k to 0

on evict: evict frame with min row

LRU approximation with NFU

periodically page.count += page.R

on evict: evict frame with min count

LRU approximation with aging

periodically

page.count >>= 1 page.count |= (page.R << N)</pre>

on evict: evict frame with min count

locality of reference: process refers to a small number of pages at a time.

working set of a process: set of pages accessed in last T seconds

while noone is evicted i = (i + 1) % N p = pages[i]if p.R is 1 p.R = 0else if p last accessed > T ago if p is dirty schedule p's write to disk else evict p

what if we have gone one round with evicting?

all pages are in working set. evict any clean page.

what if all pages are dirty?

evict current page (or any page)

This is called the **WSClock** Algorithm

NRU **FIFO** SC CLOCK LRU WSCLOCK

What happen when working set of all processes exceed the size of physical memory ?

Thrashing

CPU spend significant amount of time paging in/out, not doing real work

plications Processes S		ervices Pert		formance		Networking	Users	
Image Name		User Nar	ne	CPU	Me	emory (PF [
calibre-parallel eve *32		elfchief		28	1,596.08			
firefox.exe *32	elfchief		00	227,128 K				
calibre.exe *32	elfchief		01	1	15,864 K			
aim6.exe *32		elfchief		00		53,676 K		
dwm.exe	elfchief		00		45,432 K			
explorer.exe	elfchief		00		34,084 K			
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00	17,088 K				
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,088 K	-		
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,088 K	=		
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,088 K			
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,084 K			
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,084 K			
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,084 K			
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,084K			
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,084K			
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,084K			
calibre-parallel.exe	elfchief		00		17,084K	-		
BTStackServer.exe		elfchief		00		6,512 K		
taskeng.exe		elfchief		00		6,416 K		
BTTray.exe	elfchief		00		6,208 K			
sttray64.exe	elfchief		00		5,092 K			
taskeng.exe	elfchief		00	3,896 K				
taskmgr.exe	elfchief		00		3,500 K			
csrss.exe				00		3,468 K		
nvvsvc.exe			00		3,252 K			

	_	_	_	W	uri@kari	ianne: /	~	_	_		
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit	t <u>V</u> iew	<u>T</u> erminal	Ta <u>b</u> s	<u>H</u> elp	D						
wri@karia	anne: ~					🗙 wri@	kariann	ie: ~			×
last pic 57 proce CPU stat Mem: 345 Swap: 98	d: 90813 esses: tes: 2 5M Activ 33M Tota	3; load 1 runni .7% user ve, 29M al, 206M	avera ng, 50 , 0.0 Inact, Used,	ages: 5 sle 0% ni , 94M , 777	eping ce, 13 Wired M Free	, 1.19 .2% sys , 17M (, 20%]	9, 1.0 stem, Cache, Inuse,	4 up 1 0.0% int 59M Buf, 2536K Ir	184+12:0 cerrupt, 976K F 1, 1988F	93:57 23:3 , 84.1% io Free < Out	33:27 🛆 dle
PID US 90659 rd 763 my 621 rd 413 rd	SERNAME oot /sql oot oot	THR 1 5 1 1	PRI N -20 20 96 96	ICE 0 0 5 0	SIZE 476M 57776K 3356K 1388K	RES 358M 1388K 0K 244K	STATE swread kserel select select	TIME 14:59 924:20 25:28 22:00	WCPU 22.80% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	COMMAND ruby mysqld <sshd> svsload</sshd>	
733 rc 627 rc 543 rc	oot oot oot	1 1 1 1	8 96 96	0100	17884K 3400K 4932K	544K 400K 372K	nanslp select select	19:48 11:35 6:43	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	httpd sendmail nmbd	
42295 W 42515 W 42450 W	√₩ √₩ √₩	1 1 1 1	4 4 4 4	0101	L8384K L8356K L8392K		accept accept accept	3:30 3:12 2:52	0.00%	<httpd> <httpd> <httpd> <httpd> <httpd></httpd></httpd></httpd></httpd></httpd>	
42460 W 42781 W 639 rc	ww ww ww pot	1 1 1 1	4 4 8	0101	13388K 18388K 18368K 1312K	0K 0K 0K 176K	accept accept accept nanslp	2:44 2:40 2:37 2:30	0.00%	<httpd> <httpd> <httpd> cron</httpd></httpd></httpd>	
50364 w 547 rd	w oot	1	4 96	01	8336K 8756K	0K 200K	accept select	2:15	0.00%	<httpd> smbd</httpd>	~