NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING MIDTERM EXAMINATION FOR Semester 1 AY2007/2008

CS5229 Advanced Computer Networks

September 2007

Time Allowed 1.5 hours

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- 1. This examination paper contains FIVE (5) questions and comprises FOUR (4) printed pages, including this page.
- 2. Answer ALL questions. State any additional assumption you make clearly.
- 3. Write **ALL** your answers in the answer book provided.
- 4. This is an **OPEN BOOK** examination.

- 1. i. (2 points) Why did the early Internet designers separate IP and TCP into two different protocols?
 - ii. (3 points) Filtering of unsolicited emails, or spam, can be done either at the mail server or at the mail client. Consider the following two options: (i) Filter spams at the server by blocking the spams and prevent them from reaching a user's mailbox. (ii) Deliver all emails (including possible spams) to the user and let the mail client decides which ones are spams.

Use end-to-end argument to argue which of the above two options is a better design choice.

- 2. i. (2 points) Should probe packets in Packet Bunch Mode (PBM) be sent over TCP? Why, or why not?
 - ii. (1 point) Two PBM probe packets of size 1 kB are sent back-to-back over a path with a bottleneck bandwidth of 100 kBps. Assuming that there are no other flows on the path, what should the inter-arrival time between the two probe packets be at the receiver?
 - iii. (2 points) In part (ii) above, if we have a clock resolution of 10 ms, what is the minimum number of probe packets we need to send back-to-back so that the maximum error in bandwidth measurement drops below 10%? The measurement error is defined as

|measured value - actual value| actual value

- 3. i. (2 points) Draw a figure similar to Figure 5 in Chiu and Jain's paper [1] and show that the multiple increase additive decrease (MIAD) scheme never converges.
 - ii. (3 points) Suppose we use a AIMD scheme with the same multiplicative decreasing factor (b_D) for both User 1 and User 2, but User 1's additive increasing factor (a_I) is twice the additive increasing factor of User 2. Use a figure similar to Figure 5 in Chiu and Jain's paper [1] to explain why resource allocation will converge to a fairness value of 0.9.



Figure 1: Simulation Trace for TCP NewReno

- 4. i. (2 points) Figure 1 shows a trace of TCP NewReno with four packet losses, taken from Fall and Floyd's paper [2]. How many partial ACKs are shown in the figure? Approximately what time (give the value of the x-axis) does TCP NewReno exit from the fast recovery phase in the figure?
 - ii. (3 points) TCP NewReno improves over TCP Reno by reducing the possibility of timeouts when multiple packets are lost within a window. Timeouts, however, can still occur in TCP NewReno. Give two scenarios where TCP NewReno can timeout during fast recovery.



Figure 2: Left: Evolution of window size for TCP Tahoe. Right: Packets sent during a triple duplicate period. Shaded packets are lost packets.

- 5. In this question you will develop a formulation to estimate the throughput for TCP Tahoe, considering triple duplicate ACKs as the only type of loss indications. Recall that TCP Tahoe drops cwnd to 1 and sstresh to half of cwnd when fast retransmit occurs, and goes into slow start after that. Figure 2 shows the evolution of congestion window over time. Note that a triple duplicate period now consists of both slow start and congestion avoidance phase. Using a similar set of assumptions from Padhye et al. [3] and from our class notes,
 - i. (2 points) relate W and p in an equation of the form $apW^2 + bpW + c = 0$ for some constants a, b, and c.
 - ii. (3 points) show that

$$B = \frac{1}{RTT\left(\frac{p}{2}\log_2\frac{1}{p} + \sqrt{\frac{2p}{3}}\right)}$$

The variables p, W, RTT, and B denote the same quantity as in class (see class notes for Lecture 5). For simplicity, you may assume that W is close to a power of 2.

END OF PAPER

References

- D.-M. Chiu and R. Jain. Analysis of the increase and decrease algorithms for congestion avoidance in computer networks. *Computer Networks and ISDN System*, 17(1):1–14, 1989.
- [2] Kevin Fall and Sally Floyd. Simulation-based comparisons of Tahoe, Reno and SACK TCP. Computer Communication Review, 26(3):5–21, July 1996.
- [3] J. Padhye, V. Firoiu, D. Towsley, and J. Krusoe. Modeling TCP throughput: A simple model and its empirical validation. *Proceedings of SIGCOMM '98*, pages 303–314, 1998.