

3SAT is **NP**-complete

3SAT denotes the following restriction of satisfiability.

INSTANCE: A set of variables, U , and a set of clauses, C , such that each clause contains exactly 3 literals.

QUESTION: Is C satisfiable? i.e. is there a truth assignment to the variables such that all the clauses are satisfied?

In **NP**: guess a satisfying assignment and verify that it indeed satisfies the clauses.

NP-hard:

We show $SAT \leq_m^p 3SAT$.

Suppose (U, C) is an instance of satisfiability.

We construct an instance (U', C') of 3SAT such that, C is satisfiable iff C' is satisfiable (and the reduction can be done in poly time).

Suppose $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$.

For c_i , we will define C'_i and U'_i below.

$$U' = U \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} U'_i$$

$$C' = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} C'_i$$

If $c_i = (l_1)$, then

$U'_i = \{y_i^1, y_i^2\}$, and

$C'_i = \{(l_1 \vee y_i^1 \vee y_i^2), (l_1 \vee \neg y_i^1 \vee y_i^2), (l_1 \vee y_i^1 \vee \neg y_i^2), (l_1 \vee \neg y_i^1 \vee \neg y_i^2)\}$,

where y_i^1 and y_i^2 are NEW variables (which are not in U , and not used in any other part of the construction).

If $c_i = (l_1 \vee l_2)$, then

$$U'_i = \{y_i^1\}, \text{ and}$$

$$C'_i = \{(l_1 \vee l_2 \vee y_i^1), (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee \neg y_i^1)\},$$

where y_i^1 is NEW variable (which is not in U , and not used in any other part of the construction).

If $c_i = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3)$, then

$$U'_i = \emptyset, \text{ and}$$

$$C'_i = \{c_i\}.$$

If $c_i = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee \cdots \vee l_r)$, where $r \geq 4$, then

$$U'_i = \{y_i^1, \cdots, y_i^{r-3}\}, \text{ and}$$

$$C'_i = \{(l_1 \vee l_2 \vee y_i^1), (\neg y_i^1 \vee l_3 \vee y_i^2), \cdots, (\neg y_i^{r-4} \vee l_{r-2} \vee y_i^{r-3}), (\neg y_i^{r-3} \vee l_{r-1} \vee l_r)\},$$

where y_i^1, \cdots, y_i^{r-3} , are NEW variables (which are not in U , and not used in any other part of the construction).

Clearly the transformation can be done in polynomial time.

We claim that C is satisfiable iff C' is satisfiable.

Suppose C is satisfiable. Fix a satisfying assignment of C . We give a corresponding satisfying assignment of C' .

Variables from U : same truth value as in the satisfying assignment of C .

Other variables are given truth values as follows.

(a) $|c_i| \leq 3$: variables in U'_i are assigned arbitrary truth value (clauses in C'_i are already satisfied).

(b) $|c_i| > 3$:

Suppose $c_i = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$. Let l_j be such that l_j is true in the satisfying assignment of C fixed above.

Then let y_i^k be true for $1 \leq k \leq j - 2$, and y_i^k be false for $j - 2 < k \leq r - 3$. It is easy to verify that all the clauses in C'_i are satisfied.

Now suppose C' is satisfiable. Fix a satisfying assignment of C' .

Then we claim that the truth assignment of U' restricted to U must be a satisfying assignment for C .

To see this suppose $c_i = (l_1 \vee \dots \vee l_r)$.

(a) $r \leq 3$: then c_i is clearly true due to construction.

(b) $r > 3$:

If y_i^{r-3} is true, then one of l_{r-1}, l_r must be true.

If y_i^1 is false, then one of l_1, l_2 must be true.

Otherwise pick a k such that y_i^k is true but y_i^{k+1} is false. (Note that there must exist such a k). Then l_{k+2} must be true.

Hence C is satisfiable iff C' is satisfiable.

This completes the proof of 3SAT being **NP**-complete.

3 Dimensional Matching is **NP**-complete

3DM is in **NP**:

To see that 3DM is in **NP** consider the following machine M . Suppose three disjoint sets, X, Y, Z , each of size n , and $S \subseteq X \times Y \times Z$ are given as input to M .

M first “guesses” a subset S' of S of size n . Then M accepts iff S' is a matching.

Clearly M witnesses that 3DM is in **NP**.

3DM is **NP**-hard:

We show that $3\text{SAT} \leq_m^p 3\text{DM}$.

Let $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ be the set of variables and $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ be the set of clauses of an instance of 3SAT.

We construct an instance X, Y, Z, S of 3DM such that C is satisfiable iff S contains a matching.

Construction can be done in polynomial time.

Let $X = \{t_i[j] : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m\} \cup$
 $\{f_i[j] : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$

Let $Y = A \cup S_1 \cup G_1$, where

$$A = \{a_i[j] : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m\},$$

$$S_1 = \{s_1[j] : 1 \leq j \leq m\}, \text{ and}$$

$$G_1 = \{g_1[j] : 1 \leq j \leq m(n - 1)\}.$$

Let $Z = B \cup S_2 \cup G_2$, where

$$B = \{b_i[j] : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m\},$$

$$S_2 = \{s_2[j] : 1 \leq j \leq m\}, \text{ and}$$

$$G_2 = \{g_2[j] : 1 \leq j \leq m(n - 1)\}.$$

Let $S = G \cup (\cup_{1 \leq j \leq m} E_j) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq n} V_i^1) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq n} V_i^2)$, where

$$V_i^1 = \{(f_i[j], a_i[j], b_i[j]) : 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

$$V_i^2 = \{(t_i[j], a_i[j+1], b_i[j]) : 1 \leq j < m\} \cup \{(t_i[m], a_i[1], b_i[m])\}.$$

$$E_j = \{(t_i[j], s_1[j], s_2[j]) : u_i \text{ appears in } c_j\} \cup \{(f_i[j], s_1[j], s_2[j]) : \neg u_i \text{ appears in } c_j\}.$$

$$G = \{(t_i[j], g_1[k], g_2[k]), (f_i[j], g_1[k], g_2[k]) : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq m * (n - 1)\}.$$

We will show later that S has a matching iff C is satisfiable.

Intuition: The set S contains three portions,

- (1) $(\cup_{1 \leq i \leq n} V_i^1) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq n} V_i^2)$: truth assignment portion,
- (2) $(\cup_{1 \leq j \leq m} E_j)$: satisfaction testing portion, and
- (3) G : garbage collection portion.

Truth assignment Portion

Fix i . Note that if $S' \subset S$ “covers” all of $a_i[j], b_i[j]$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, exactly once then either

- (a) S' contains all of V_i^1 and none of V_i^2 OR
- (a) S' contains all of V_i^2 and none of V_i^1 .

This can be considered as assigning a “truth” value to the variable u_i .

We used $t_i[1], \dots, t_i[m]$ (and correspondingly $f_i[1], \dots, f_i[m]$) instead of just using t_i, f_i to give “fan out” of m for the variable u_i , so that one can use different copies in different clauses (see below).

Satisfaction Testing Portion

Note that if $S' \subseteq S$ “covers” $s_1[j], s_2[j]$ exactly once then S' contains exactly one element from E_j . Intuitively, this gives us the literal in c_j which must be “TRUE”.

Garbage Collection Portion

The elements of G are essentially for garbage collection.

Note that we had a fan out of m for each variable (giving us a total of $m * n$ “truth items”).

However only m instances of these are used in the Satisfaction testing component.

Thus we need to do a garbage collection for remaining $m * (n - 1)$ elements.

This is what G is used for.

We now show that C is satisfiable iff S contains a matching.

Suppose S has a matching S' . Then we claim that an assignment of u_i being true iff $V_i^1 \subseteq S'$ shows that C is satisfiable.

Suppose C is satisfiable. Fix a satisfying assignment $t : U \rightarrow \{T, F\}$.

For each j , suppose C_j is true due to u_i being true (false).

Let $w_j[j]$ denote $t_i[j]$ ($f_i[j]$ respectively).

The matching is formed by taking the following three subsets of S .

- (1) $\cup_{t(i)=T} V_i^1 \cup \cup_{t(i)=F} V_i^2$.
- (2) $\{(w_j[j], s_1[j], s_2[j]) : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$.
- (3) G' ,

where G' is an appropriate subset of G , such that all the elements of $\{t_i[j], f_i[j] : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m\} - \{w_j[j] : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ are covered (using each of $g_1[k], g_2[k], 1 \leq k \leq m(n-1)$ exactly once).

Partition is **NP**-complete

In **NP**: Suppose a set A , and corresponding sizes $s(a)$ is given. To see that Partition is in **NP**, one just needs to guess a subset A' of A and verify that $\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = \sum_{a \in A - A'} s(a)$.

NP-hard: We show $3DM \leq_m^p \text{Partition}$.

Suppose three disjoint sets X, Y, Z of size n each, and $S \subseteq X \times Y \times Z$ is an instance of 3DM.

We construct (in polynomial time) an instance of Partition by giving set A , and $s(a), a \in A$, such that S has a matching iff there exists a subset A' of A such that $\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = \sum_{a \in A - A'} s(a)$.

Suppose $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$, and $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$.

Suppose S has k elements m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k .

Then A will have $k + 2$ elements, a_1, \dots, a_{k+2} .

The elements a_1, \dots, a_k will correspond to m_1, \dots, m_k and a_{k+1}, a_{k+2} will be special elements.

If $m_i = (x_{f(i)}, y_{g(i)}, z_{h(i)})$, then

$s(a_i) = 2^{3pn - pf(i)} + 2^{2pn - pg(i)} + 2^{pn - ph(i)}$, where p is such that $2^p > k$. Intuitively one can consider the number $s(a_i)$ as being divided into $3n$ zones, each of p bits as follows.

The number $s(a_i)$ is formed by placing 1 at the rightmost bit corresponding to zones $x_{f(i)}, y_{g(i)}, z_{h(i)}$, and other bits being 0.

Important characteristic: on adding the sizes corresponding to any subset of $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$, there is no “carry over” from one zone to another as long as $2^p > k$.

Thus if we let $B = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq 3n-1} 2^{pj}$, (which is the number formed by placing 1 in the rightmost bit of each zone),

Then any subset $A' \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ will satisfy

$\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = B$, iff $\{m_i : a_i \in A'\}$ is a matching of S .

Let $s(a_{k+1}) = [2 * \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} s(a_i)] - B$.

Let $s(a_{k+2}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} s(a_i) + B$.

Note that the number of bits needed to specify $s(a_{k+1})$ and $s(a_{k+2})$ is a polynomial in k, n .

Claim: there exists a subset $A' \subseteq A$ such that $\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = \sum_{a \in A - A'} s(a)$ iff S has a matching.

Note that $\sum_{a \in A} s(a) = 4\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} s(a_i)$.

Suppose S has a matching S' .

Then clearly, $A' = \{a_i : m_i \in S'\} \cup \{a_{k+1}\}$, gives

$$\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = ([2\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} s(a_i)] - B) + B = 2\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} s(a_i) = \sum_{a \in A - A'} s(a)$$

If there exists $A' \subseteq A$ such that

$$\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = 2\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} s(a_i),$$

then exactly one of a_{k+1} and a_{k+2} must be in A' (otherwise the sum will be $\geq 3\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} s(a_i)$).

Without loss of generality suppose that $a_{k+1} \in A'$.

$$\text{Then } [\sum_{i \in A'} s(a_i)] - s(a_{k+1}) = B.$$

Hence $\{m_i : a_i \in A' - \{a_{k+1}\}\}$ is a matching of S .

This shows that partition is **NP**-complete.

Multi Processor Scheduling is **NP**-complete

The multiprocessor scheduling problem is as follows:

INSTANCE: A finite set A of *tasks*, a *length* $l(a)$ for each $a \in A$, a number m of *processors*, and a deadline D .

A schedule $S = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m)$ is a partition of A into pairwise disjoint sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m . Time taken by a schedule S , denoted $Time(S)$, is $\max \{ \sum_{a \in A_i} l(a) : 1 \leq i \leq m \}$.

QUESTION: Is there a schedule S such that $Time(S) \leq D$?

Clearly, Multiprocessor scheduling problem is in **NP** (one just needs to guess a schedule, S , and verify that $Time(S) \leq D$).

We reduce Partition to Multiprocessor schedule.

Suppose a set A and size $s(a)$, for $a \in A$ is an instance of Partition problem.

Generate the instance of Multiprocessor scheduling as follows.

Let $B = \sum_{a \in A} s(a)$.

If B is odd then let $m = 1$, $A = \{a_1\}$, $l(a_1) = 5$, and $D = 2$.

If B is even, then generate an instance of Multiprocessor scheduling as follows:

$m = 2$.

A (of multiprocessor scheduling problem) = A (of Partition problem).

$l(a) = s(a)$.

$D = B/2$.

It is easy to verify that there exists a subset $A' \subseteq A$ such that $\sum_{a \in A} s(a) = \sum_{a \in A - A'} s(a) = B/2$ iff there exists a schedule such that $Time(S) \leq D = B/2$.