Tutorial 10:

A1: Suppose  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  in PP is witnessed by  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. Consider M(x), which runs  $M_1(x)$  and  $M_2(x)$  and accepts iff exactly one of  $M_1(x)$  and  $M_2(x)$  accepts. Then, M(x) is correct if both  $M_1(x)$  and  $M_2(x)$  are correct outputs, or both are wrong outputs. Thus, M is correct with probability  $(1/2 + \alpha_1)(1/2 + \alpha_2) + (1/2 - \alpha_1)(1/2 - \alpha_2) = 1/2 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 > 1/2$ , where  $M_1(x)$  is correct with probability  $1/2 + \alpha_1$  and  $M_2(x)$  is correct with probability  $1/2 + \alpha_2$ .

A2: It is easy to verify that QBF is in PSPACE (details left to the student).

To show that QBF is PSPACE-hard, suppose L is a PSPACE language as witnessed by Turing Machine M which is  $n^k$  space bounded, and  $2^{n^k}$  time bounded (being  $c^{n^k}$  time bounded can be done similarly).

Let  $P_m(U, V)$  denote the formula for saying that the machine M can go from ID U to ID V in at most  $2^m$  steps.

 $P_0$  is easy to define.

 $P_{m+1}(U,V) = (\exists Z)(\forall X)(\forall Y)[[(U = X \text{ and } Y = Z) \text{ or } (Z = X \text{ and } Y = V)] \Rightarrow P_m(X,Y)]$ 

equivalently:

 $P_{m+1}(U,V) = (\exists Z)(\forall X)(\forall Y)[[\neg(U=X) \text{ and } \neg(Z=X)] \text{ or } [\neg(U=X) \text{ and } \neg(Y=V)] \text{ or } [\neg(Y=Z) \text{ and } \neg(Z=X)] \text{ or } [\neg(Y=Z) \text{ and } \neg(Y=V)] \text{ or } P_m(X,Y)]$ 

Suppose  $U = u_1 u_2 \dots u_{n^k}$ ,  $V = v_1 v_2 \dots v_{n^k}$ ,  $X = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n^k}$ ,  $Y = y_1 y_2 \dots y_{n^k}$ ,  $Z = z_1 z_2 \dots z_{n^k}$ . Now, for example,  $\neg (U = X)$  and  $\neg (Z = X)$  can be expressed as disjunction of  $n^{2k}$  formulas (for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n^k$ ) as follows.

 $(\neg u_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ and } \neg z_j \text{ and } x_j)$  or  $(\neg u_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ and } z_j \text{ and } \neg x_j)$  or  $(u_i \text{ and } \neg x_i \text{ and } \neg z_j \text{ and } x_j)$  or  $(u_i \text{ and } \neg x_i \text{ and } z_j \text{ and } \neg x_j)$ .

The quantifiers in  $P_m(X, Y)$  can be brought forwards to the beginning using standard methods. Thus, we can express  $P_m(X, Y)$  in prenex form in length polynomial in m, n.

Now,  $x \in L$  iff  $P_{n^k}(SID, AID)$ , where SID is starting ID and AID is accepting ID for M on input x.