Tutorial 10:
A1: Suppose $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ in $P P$ is witnessed by $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ respectively. Consider $M(x)$, which runs $M_{1}(x)$ and $M_{2}(x)$ and accepts iff exactly one of $M_{1}(x)$ and $M_{2}(x)$ accepts. Then, $M(x)$ is correct if both $M_{1}(x)$ and $M_{2}(x)$ are correct outputs, or both are wrong outputs. Thus, $M$ is correct with probability $\left(1 / 2+\alpha_{1}\right)\left(1 / 2+\alpha_{2}\right)+\left(1 / 2-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(1 / 2-\alpha_{2}\right)=1 / 2+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}>1 / 2$, where $M_{1}(x)$ is correct with probability $1 / 2+\alpha_{1}$ and $M_{2}(x)$ is correct with probability $1 / 2+\alpha_{2}$.

A2: It is easy to verify that QBF is in PSPACE (details left to the student).
To show that QBF is PSPACE-hard, suppose $L$ is a PSPACE language as witnessed by Turing Machine $M$ which is $n^{k}$ space bounded, and $2^{n^{k}}$ time bounded (being $c^{n^{k}}$ time bounded can be done similarly).

Let $P_{m}(U, V)$ denote the formula for saying that the machine $M$ can go from ID $U$ to ID $V$ in at most $2^{m}$ steps.
$P_{0}$ is easy to define.

$$
P_{m+1}(U, V)=(\exists Z)(\forall X)(\forall Y)\left[[(U=X \text { and } Y=Z) \text { or }(Z=X \text { and } Y=V)] \Rightarrow P_{m}(X, Y)\right]
$$

equivalently:
$P_{m+1}(U, V)=(\exists Z)(\forall X)(\forall Y)[[\neg(U=X)$ and $\neg(Z=X)]$ or $[\neg(U=X)$ and $\neg(Y=V)]$ or $[\neg(Y=Z)$ and $\neg(Z=X)]$ or $[\neg(Y=Z)$ and $\neg(Y=V)]$ or $\left.P_{m}(X, Y)\right]$

Suppose $U=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{n^{k}}, V=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n^{k}}, X=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n^{k}}, Y=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{n^{k}}, Z=$ $z_{1} z_{2} \ldots z_{n^{k}}$. Now, for example, $\neg(U=X)$ and $\neg(Z=X)$ can be expressed as disjunction of $n^{2 k}$ formulas (for $1 \leq i, j \leq n^{k}$ ) as follows.
$\left(\neg u_{i}\right.$ and $x_{i}$ and $\neg z_{j}$ and $\left.x_{j}\right)$ or $\left(\neg u_{i}\right.$ and $x_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ and $\left.\neg x_{j}\right)$ or ( $u_{i}$ and $\neg x_{i}$ and $\neg z_{j}$ and $\left.x_{j}\right)$ or ( $u_{i}$ and $\neg x_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ and $\neg x_{j}$ ).

The quantifiers in $P_{m}(X, Y)$ can be brought forwards to the beginning using standard methods. Thus, we can express $P_{m}(X, Y)$ in prenex form in length polynomial in $m, n$.

Now, $x \in L$ iff $P_{n^{k}}(S I D, A I D)$, where $S I D$ is starting ID and AID is accepting ID for $M$ on input $x$.

