

SAMPLED SIMULATION OF MULTI-THREADED APPLICATIONS

TREVOR E. CARLSON, WIM HEIRMAN, LIEVEN EECKHOUT

HTTP://WWW.SNIPERSIM.ORG Monday, April 22nd, 2013



OVERVIEW

- How can we help the hero save the princess?
- How can we create a representative sample of a multi-threaded application?

- Prior Work
- Key Contributions of this Work
- Results

DEMANDS ON SIMULATION ARE INCREASING

Increasing cache sizes

- Need a large working set to fully exercise a large cache
- Scaled-down applications do not exhibit the same behavior

Increasing core counts

- Linear increase in simulator workload
- Single-threaded simulator sees a rising gap
 - workload: increasing target cores
 - available processing power: near-constant single-thread performance of host machine
- Multi-threaded workloads
 - Not reproducible with traces requiring a number of simulation runs
- New solutions are needed

WORKLOAD REDUCTION IS THE KEY

- Many workload reduction techniques exist today
 - Sampling
 - SimPoint
 - SMARTS
 - FlexPoints
 - Reduction
 - Smaller input sizes
 - Reduced numbers of iterations
- Current sampling techniques are not sufficient
 - Using CPI as a proxy for runtime does not hold for multithreaded applications
 - Invalidates assumptions of previous work
 - Waiting for locks and barriers and other synchronization primitives

FLEXPOINTS

- Overview
 - Supports sampling multi-threaded throughput (server) applications
 - Creates a sample based on a number of sampling units to minimize CPI variation
 - Not applicable to applications where threads synchronize or communicate



MULTI-THREADED SAMPLING

• Goals:

- Accurately predict application runtime of synchronizing multi-threaded applications
 - (not just average CPI)
- Periodically sample a multi-threaded application to reduce amount of detailed simulation time

• Examples of synchronizing mechanisms

- Barriers, mutexes
 - OMP-style parallelism
 - Pipelined parallelism
- LOCKed instructions, compare-and-swap

INITIAL SAMPLING PROCESS

- Sampling Overview
 - Detailed = all components enabled (warmup+simulation)
 - Fast-forward = memory-hierarchy enabled
- Key Insights
 - Independent IPCs for each individual thread
 - Keeping track of wait/wake during fast-forwarding



SAMPLE SELECTION



APPLICATIONS ARE PERIODIC



npb-ft, class A, 8 threads

APPLICATION PERIODICITY AFFECTS ACCURACY



Sampling at exactly one period would produce excellent results

Sampling at more than one period can produce a sampling error

IDENTIFY PERIODICITIES

 We wanted to identify application periodicities in a micro-architectural independent manner



npb-ft, class A, 8 threads, with 550k and 1.14M insn periodicities

OMP Call Structure

npb-lu, class A, 8 threads with high variability (not used)

11

SAMPLING PROCESS

 Sampling sufficiently above or below the period will minimize error



RESULTS

- Predicted Most-Accurate Results
 - Average speedup of 2.9x, maximum of 5.8x
 - Average absolute error of 3.5%



RESULTS

- Predicted Fastest Results
 - Average speedup of 3.8x, maximum of 8.4x
 - Average absolute error of 5.1%



MULTI-THREADED SAMPLING

Key Contributions

- Understanding application phase behavior is key to effective sampling
- Modeling inter-thread interactions during fastforwarding is important for multi-threaded sampling accuracy
- Predicted Most-Accurate Results
 - Average speedup of 2.9x, maximum of 5.8x
 - Average absolute error of 3.5% across applications
- Predicted Fastest Results
 - Average speedup of 3.8x, maximum of 8.4x
 - Average absolute error of 5.1% across applications



SAMPLED SIMULATION OF MULTI-THREADED APPLICATIONS

TREVOR E. CARLSON, WIM HEIRMAN, LIEVEN EECKHOUT

HTTP://WWW.SNIPERSIM.ORG Monday, April 22nd, 2013

