# 08—The Ugly Corners of Math, Logic and Computation

The Importance of Being Formal

Martin Henz

March 12, 2014

- Infinity
- 2 Decidability
- (In)completeness
- 4 Undefinability

- Infinity
  - Finite Sets
  - Countable and Uncountable Sets
  - The Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein Theorem
- 2 Decidability
- (In)completeness
- 4 Undefinability

#### Finite sets

There is a finite number that represents the *cardinality* of the set.

#### Finite sets

There is a finite number that represents the *cardinality* of the set.

#### Example

 $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ : The number 5 is the cardinality of S.

#### Finite sets

There is a finite number that represents the *cardinality* of the set.

#### Example

 $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ : The number 5 is the cardinality of S.

#### How about this set?

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots\}$$

#### Finite sets

There is a finite number that represents the *cardinality* of the set.

#### Example

 $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ : The number 5 is the cardinality of S.

#### How about this set?

 $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots\}$  What is the cardinality of  $\mathbb{N}$ ?



### Counting

We count finite sets by establishing a function that is one-to-one and onto between the set and the numbers  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ .

We say the two sets are equinumerous.

### **Equinumerous Sets**

#### Definition

Suppose A and B are sets. We say that A is *equinumerous* with B if there is a function  $f:A\longrightarrow B$  that is one-to-one and onto, denoted  $A\sim B$ . For each natural number n, let  $I_n=\{i\in\mathbb{Z}^+|i\le n\}$ .

#### Definition

A set *A* is called *finite* if there is a natural number *n* such that  $A \sim \{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ | i < n\}$ 

The Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein Theorem

#### $\mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ are equinumerous

$$\mathbb{Z}^+ \sim \mathbb{Z}$$

#### Proof

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1-n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

### **Even More Surprising**

$$\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$$
 and  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  are equinumerous

$$\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \sim \mathbb{Z}^+$$

### Equinumerosity is an Equivalence Relation

#### Theorem

For any sets A, B, C:

- $\bigcirc$   $A \sim A$
- 2 If  $A \sim B$  then  $B \sim A$ .
- If  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ , then  $A \sim C$ .

#### Finite Sets

Countable and Uncountable Sets
The Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein Theorem

### Denumerability, Countability

#### **Definition**

A set A is called *denumerable* if  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \sim A$ .

#### **Definition**

A set A is called *countable* if it is either finite or denumerable.

#### Countable Sets

#### Theorem

Suppose A and B are countable sets. Then:

- $\bullet$   $A \times B$  is countable.
- $\bigcirc$   $A \cup B$  is countable.

#### Theorem

The union of countably many countable sets is countable.

#### Theorem

Let *A* be a countable set. The set of all finite sequences of elements of *A* is countable.



#### Cantor's Theorem

 $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$  is uncountable.

### Corollary

 $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable.

### **Domination**

#### Definition

We say *B* dominates *A*, written  $A \lesssim B$ , if there is a function  $f: A \longrightarrow B$  that is one-to-one.

#### Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein Theorem

Suppose *A* and *B* are sets. If  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ , then  $A \sim B$ .

Corollary 
$$\mathbb{R} \sim \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$$

### Continuum Hypothesis

#### Hypothesis

There is no set *X* such that  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \prec X \prec \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Impossibility of Proof

Gödel and Cohen proved that it is impossible to prove the continuum hypothesis, and it is also impossible to disprove it.

Q

If Term is countable, is its Traditional Logic countable?

Q

If Term is countable, is its Traditional Logic countable?

Α

yes

Q

If Term is countable, is its Traditional Logic countable?

Α

yes

Q

If A is countable, is its Propositional Logic countable?



If Term is countable, is its Traditional Logic countable?

Α

yes

Q

If A is countable, is its Propositional Logic countable?

Α

yes



If Term is countable, is its Traditional Logic countable?

Α

yes

Q

If A is countable, is its Propositional Logic countable?

Α

yes

Other countable sets

predicate logic, modal logic, all proofs in natural deduction

- Infinity
- 2 Decidability
- (In)completeness
- 4 Undefinability

#### **Decision Problems**

#### Definition

A *decision problem* is a question in some formal system with a yes-or-no answer.

#### **Decision Problems**

#### **Definition**

A *decision problem* is a question in some formal system with a yes-or-no answer.

#### Examples

The question whether a given propositional formula is satisifiable (unsatisfiable, valid, invalid) is a decision problem.

The question whether two given propositional formulas are equivalent is also a decision problem.



#### How to Solve the Decision Problem?

#### Question

How do you decide whether a given propositional formula is satisfiable/valid?

### How to Solve the Decision Problem?

#### Question

How do you decide whether a given propositional formula is satisfiable/valid?

#### The good news

We can construct a truth table for the formula and check if some/all rows have  ${\tt T}$  in the last column.

### How to Solve the Decision Problem?

#### Question

How do you decide whether a given propositional formula is satisfiable/valid?

#### The good news

We can construct a truth table for the formula and check if some/all rows have  ${\tt T}$  in the last column.

#### **Algorithm**

A precise step-by-step procedure for solving a problem is called an *algorithm* for the problem.



### Decidability

#### Definition

Decision problems for which there is an algorithm computing "yes" whenever the answer is "yes", and "no" whenever the answer is "no", are called *decidable*.

### Decidability

#### Definition

Decision problems for which there is an algorithm computing "yes" whenever the answer is "yes", and "no" whenever the answer is "no", are called *decidable*.

#### An algorithm for satisifiability

Using a truth table, we can implement an *algorithm* that returns "yes" if the formula is satisifiable, and that returns "no" if the formula is unsatisfiable.

### Decidability

#### Definition

Decision problems for which there is an algorithm computing "yes" whenever the answer is "yes", and "no" whenever the answer is "no", are called *decidable*.

#### An algorithm for satisifiability

Using a truth table, we can implement an *algorithm* that returns "yes" if the formula is satisifiable, and that returns "no" if the formula is unsatisfiable.

#### Decidability of satisfiability

The question, whether a given propositional formula is satisifiable, is decidable.



## Is termination of algorithms decidable?

#### The Halting Problem

For a given algorithm (program) *P* and a given input data *D*, decide whether *P* terminates on *D*.

### Is termination of algorithms decidable?

#### The Halting Problem

For a given algorithm (program) *P* and a given input data *D*, decide whether *P* terminates on *D*.

#### The bad news

The Halting Problem is not decidable

### Is termination of algorithms decidable?

#### The Halting Problem

For a given algorithm (program) *P* and a given input data *D*, decide whether *P* terminates on *D*.

#### The bad news

The Halting Problem is not decidable

#### Language does not matter

It does not matter whether you decide to use JavaScript or C or a Turing Machine or the lambda calculus



### **Decidability of Propositional Logic**

#### Theorem

The decision problem of validity in propositional logic is decidable: There are algorithms which, given any formula  $\phi$  of propositional logic, decides whether  $\models \phi$ .

## **Decidability of Propositional Logic**

### Theorem

The decision problem of validity in propositional logic is decidable: There are algorithms which, given any formula  $\phi$  of propositional logic, decides whether  $\models \phi$ .

### Proof

One such algorithm builds the full truth table for the given formula and then checks whether the last column has no F.

#### Theorem

The decision problem of validity in predicate logic is undecidable: no program exists which, given any language in predicate logic and any formula  $\phi$  in that language, decides whether  $\models \phi$ .

### Theorem

The decision problem of validity in predicate logic is undecidable: no program exists which, given any language in predicate logic and any formula  $\phi$  in that language, decides whether  $\models \phi$ .

### Proof sketch

 Establish that the Post Correspondence Problem (PCP) is undecidable

### Theorem

The decision problem of validity in predicate logic is undecidable: no program exists which, given any language in predicate logic and any formula  $\phi$  in that language, decides whether  $\models \phi$ .

- Establish that the Post Correspondence Problem (PCP) is undecidable
- Translate an arbitrary PCP, say C, to a formula  $\phi$ .

### Theorem

The decision problem of validity in predicate logic is undecidable: no program exists which, given any language in predicate logic and any formula  $\phi$  in that language, decides whether  $\models \phi$ .

- Establish that the Post Correspondence Problem (PCP) is undecidable
- Translate an arbitrary PCP, say C, to a formula  $\phi$ .
- Establish that  $\models \phi$  holds if and only if *C* has a solution.



### Theorem

The decision problem of validity in predicate logic is undecidable: no program exists which, given any language in predicate logic and any formula  $\phi$  in that language, decides whether  $\models \phi$ .

- Establish that the Post Correspondence Problem (PCP) is undecidable
- Translate an arbitrary PCP, say C, to a formula  $\phi$ .
- Establish that  $\models \phi$  holds if and only if *C* has a solution.
- Conclude that validity of predicate logic formulas is undecidable.



- Infinity
- 2 Decidability
- (In)completeness
- 4 Undefinability

## Natural Deduction in Propositional Logic

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \models \psi$$
iff
$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$$

- "

  ": Show that each proof rule does the right thing, semantically. Structural induction.
- "⇒": Construct a proof based on the truth table (tedious).

## Natural Deduction in Predicate Logic

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \models \psi$$
iff
$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$$

proven by Kurt Gödel, in 1929 in his doctoral dissertation

## Second-order Predicate Logic

### Definition

Second-order predicate logic has the same definition as first order predicate logic, but after  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  predicate symbols are allowed.

## Second-order Predicate Logic

## Definition

Second-order predicate logic has the same definition as first order predicate logic, but after  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  predicate symbols are allowed.

## Example

$$\forall P \forall x (P(x) \lor \neg P(x))$$

## Incompleteness of Second-order Logic

There is no deductive system (that is, no notion of provability) for second-order formulas that simultaneously satisfies the following:

Soundness: Every provable second-order sentence is universally valid, i.e., true in every model.

Completeness: Every universally valid second-order formula, under standard semantics, is provable.

Effectiveness: There is a proof-checking algorithm that can correctly decide whether a given sequence of symbols is a valid proof or not.

## Gödel's First Incompleteness Result

### **Theorem**

No consistent system of axioms whose theorems can be listed by an algorithm is capable of proving all truths about the relations of the natural numbers (arithmetic).

### Proof sketch

Represent formulas by natural numbers. Express provability as a property of these numbers. Construct a *bomb*: "This formula is valid, but not provable."

## Gödel's Second Incompleteness Result

### Theorem

For any formal effectively generated theory T including basic arithmetical truths and also certain truths about formal provability, if T includes a statement of its own consistency then T is inconsistent.

# Tarski's Undefinability Result

#### Theorem

Given some formal arithmetic, the concept of truth in that arithmetic is not definable using the expressive means that arithmetic affords.