STUDENTS' RATINGS ON TEACHER

Faculty Member: ZHAO JIN  
Department: DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)  
Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

Module: PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES - CS3212  
Activity Type: TUTORIAL

Class Size / Response Size / Response Rate : 37 / 31 / 83.78%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qn</th>
<th>Items Evaluated</th>
<th>Fac. Member Avg Score</th>
<th>Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev</th>
<th>Dept Avg Score</th>
<th>Fac. Avg Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.</td>
<td>4.226</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>3.882 (3.783)</td>
<td>3.898 (3.796)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The teacher provides timely and useful feedback.</td>
<td>4.258</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>3.917 (3.818)</td>
<td>3.961 (3.838)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The teacher is approachable for consultation.</td>
<td>4.300</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>4.025 (3.902)</td>
<td>4.056 (3.917)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The teacher has helped me advance my research (if applicable).</td>
<td>4.150</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>3.697 (3.684)</td>
<td>3.743 (3.694)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.</td>
<td>4.129</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>3.732 (3.682)</td>
<td>3.754 (3.684)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The teacher has helped me acquire valuable/relevant knowledge in the field.</td>
<td>4.161</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>3.890 (3.795)</td>
<td>3.913 (3.810)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The teacher has helped me understand complex ideas.</td>
<td>4.290</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>3.881 (3.806)</td>
<td>3.886 (3.797)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average of Qn 1-7**

4.220 | 0.718 | 3.872 (3.789) | 3.896 (3.797)

8 Overall the teacher is effective.

4.323 | 0.653 | 3.948 (3.837) | 3.977 (3.849)

Frequency Distribution of responses for Qn 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEMSCORE</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>13 (41.94%)</td>
<td>15 (48.39%)</td>
<td>3 (9.68%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
<td>0 (.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department

| ITEMSCORE | 118 (19.09%) | 337 (54.53%) | 121 (19.58%) | 28 (4.53%) | 14 (2.27%) |

Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level

| ITEMSCORE | 164 (16.91%) | 557 (57.42%) | 203 (20.93%) | 31 (3.20%) | 15 (1.55%) |
Note:

1. A 5-point scale is used for the scores. The higher the score, the better the rating.
2. **Fac. Member Avg Score**: The mean of all the scores for each question for the faculty member.
3. **Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev**: A measure of the range of variability. It measures the extent to which a faculty member's Average Score differs from all the scores in the faculty member's evaluation. The smaller the standard deviation, the greater the robustness of the number given as average.
4. **Dept Avg Score**:
   - (a) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the department.
   - (b) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 3000) within the department.
5. **Fac. Avg Score**:
   - (c) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the faculty.
   - (d) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 3000) within the faculty.
Faculty Member: ZHAO JIN
Department: DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)
Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING
Module: PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES - CS3212
Activity Type: TUTORIAL

Academic Year: 2005/2006
Semester: 1

Q9 What are the teacher's strengths?
1. can improve the concept very clearly
2. very good at explanation
3. Able to explain the problems in detailed
4. Very friendly and approachable. Willingly to spend more time to explain difficult concepts to us.
5. He is good and always explain the material very clearly. He often help the student to understand difficult concept regarding the material.
6. Very well thought out lesson plan.
7. hardworking ta
8. Very willing to teach
9. The ability to condense materials and delivery them over a clear manner
10. Friendly, easy to approach. Help recap material and have some interesting questions.
11. Very helpful.
12. good summary for every lecture clear explanation
13. good summary for every lecture, clear explanation
14. enthusiastic, explain well.
15. Able to clarify the concepts taught in this module.

Q10 What improvements would you suggest to the teacher?
1. no
2. No comments
3. Keep the good work =)
4. Good if we could go through some questions to enhance our understanding of the module
5. na
6. None.
7. no comments.
8. N.A.
9. N.A.
10. He should understand his responsibility and try to play his part well as a tutor. There was this assignment in which I got 0 marks because the programming program I used was of a later version (the course used
an older one). I called him and told him of this problem, he replied that he will tell the lecturer about this, but if the lecturer do not want to reinstate my marks then I will have to remain with 0. This reply of his was not assuring. I think as a tutor, he should try to fight for my marks, because I am his student and there is nothing wrong I do to deserve 0.

11. nil
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In providing such student feedback, the University does not in any way, expressly or implicitly, endorse the views expressed or the contents thereof.