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Software construction
- From a design model
  - In safety-critical domains — automotive, avionics.
  - D0 178C – software in airborne systems.
- Or, hand-constructed
  - Usual practice — audio, video and other domains.
  - UML models only for guidance.

Model-driven engineering

No model may be available.

The art of debugging

“A software bug (or just "bug") is an error, flaw, mistake, ... in a computer program that prevents it from behaving as intended (e.g., producing an incorrect result) ... Reports detailing bugs in a program are commonly known as bug reports, fault reports, ... change requests, and so forth.”
--- Wikipedia
More on the art...

“Even today, debugging remains very much of an art. Much of
the computer science community has largely ignored the
debugging problem…. over 50 percent of the problems
resulted from the time and space chasm between symptom
and root cause or inadequate debugging tools.” (Halfpern &
Santhanam, IBM Sys Jnl, 41(1), 2002)

-> Need methods and tools to trace back to the root
cause of bug from the manifested error
-> What about the current tools?

Tools?

We should automatically
produce the bug report via
analysis of program and/or
execution trace
Bug report is a small
fragment of the program.

Organization

- **Dynamic** checking of programs
  - Dynamic slicing
  - Hierarchical slicing
  - Fault Localization

What is dynamic checking?

- Check program executions, not source code.
- How to generate program executions?
  - Testing (coverage based)
  - Testing (specification based)
- How to check program executions
  - Data and control dependencies (slicing)
  - By comparing against other program executions
    (fault localization).

SW Debugging: Social aspects

Software-controlled devices are ubiquitous —
automotive control, avionics control and consumer electronics
Many of these software are safety-critical
⇒ should be validated extensively.

SW Debugging: Economics

- How often do bugs appear ?
- How many of them are critical?
- How much money does a company gain by
  using sophisticated debugging tools?
- Could it be avoided simply by sparing one
  more programmer?
SW Debugging: Economics

- SW project with 5 million LOC (note: Windows Vista is 50 million LOC !!)
  - Assume linear scaling up of errors
  - Actually could be more errors — we make more mistakes as the SW grows long and arduous.
- 1 hr to fix each major error
- Actually much more
- $40K salary per year

\[
\frac{65,000 \text{ bugs}}{44 \text{ weeks}} \times \frac{1477 \text{ weeks}}{50 \text{ teens}} \approx 30 \text{ years} \times $1.2 \text{ M}
\]

SW Debugging: tools

"Even today, debugging remains very much of an art. Much of the computer science community has largely ignored the debugging problem. ... over 50 percent of the problems resulted from the time and space chasm between symptom and root cause or inadequate debugging tools.“ (Hallpern & Santhanam, IBM Sys Jnl, 41(1), 2002)

> Need methods and tools to trace back to the root cause of bug from the manifested error
> What about the current tools?

jdb on windows XP

VB watch debugger

So, what did we see?

- Command line tool for Java
  - User can set breakpoints, and
  - Replay an execution, and
  - Watch it at the breakpoints.
- Lack of GUI is not the issue here.
  - Can easily collect and visualize more program info.
- Lack of automation is the problem!
  - Need automated trace analysis.

Program Slicing

```
1  b=1;
2  y=1;
3  if (a>1){
4      if (b>1){
5          x=2;
6          printf ("%d", x);
7      }
8  }
9
10
```
Program Slicing

```c
1 b=1;
2 y=1;
3 if (a>1){
4 if (b>1){
5 x=2;
}
6 printf ("%d", x);
```

Control Dependence

Data Dependence

Slicing Criterion

---

Program Slicing

```c
1 b=2;
2 y=1;
3 if (a>1){
4 if (b>1){
5 x=2;
}
6 printf ("%d", x);
```

Control Dependence

Data Dependence

Slicing Criterion

---

Program Dependence Graph

Constructed for the program. Node can be statements or instructions. Edges denote control and data dependencies.

---

Program Slicing

Static vs Dynamic Slicing

- **Static Slicing**
  - source code
  - statement
  - static dependence
- **Dynamic Slicing (useful for debugging)**
  - a particular execution
  - statement instance
  - dynamic dependence
Dynamic Slicing for Debugging

Dynamic Slice
- Set slicing criterion
  - (Variable v at first instance of line 70)
  - The value of variable v at first instance of line 70 is unexpected.
- Dynamic slice
  - Closure of
    - Data dependencies &
    - Control dependencies
  - from the slicing criterion.

Dynamic data dependencies

Static Control dependencies

Static control dependencies

Dynamic control dependencies
- X is dynamically control dependent on Y if
  - Y occurs before X in the execution trace
  - X’s stmt. is statically control dependent on Y’s stmt.
  - No statement Z between Y and X is such that X’s stmt. is statically control dependent on Z’s stmt.
- Captures the intuition:
  - What is the nearest conditional branch statement that allows X to be executed, in the execution trace under consideration.
Dynamic Slice

1. void setRunningVersion(boolean runningVersion)
2. if (runningVersion) {
3.     savedValue = value;
4. } else {
5.     savedValue = "";
6. }
7. this.runningVersion = runningVersion;
8. System.out.println(savedValue);

Jslice: a dynamic slicing tool

- GUI (Eclipse plug-in)
- Execute the program
- Select Kaffe JVM
- Instrument
- Bug Report
- Compact bytecode trace
- Criterion = (Inp, Var, Line#)
- Dynamic Slicing
- Set of bytecodes
- (Stack simulation)
- Reverse Translate
- Class Files

Issues for such a slicing tool

- Online trace compression – beyond conventional string compression.
  - Full trace is never stored.
- Program dependence analysis on compressed trace – no decompression.
- Analysis at low-level (byte-code) to support third-party software.
  - Managing stack architecture.

Organization

- Dynamic checking of programs
- Dynamic slicing
- Hierarchical slicing
- Fault Localization

Problem with dynamic slicing

- Huge overheads
  - Backwards slicing requires trace storage.
    - Jslice tool for Java
      - Online trace compression & traversal
  - Dynamic Slice is still too large …
    - … for human comprehension
    - Now

An example

```java
public static void main(String[] args) {
    // ...
    init( db );
    operate( db );
    output( db );
    return;
}
```

http://jslice.sourceforge.net
Divide trace into phases

1. public static void main(String[] args) {
   ....
2.   init(db);
3.   operate(db);
4.   output(db);
5.   return;
}

Report inter-phase dependencies

Intra-phase control and data dependencies are suppressed. Inter-phase dependencies form input-output relationships.

Parallel Dependence Chains

Programmer zooms into ...

... one phase by inspecting the phase outputs
-> (may/may not involve re-executing program)

Hierarchical dynamic slicing

- Compute “phases” of an exec. trace
- Control structure boundaries
- Augment dynamic slicing algorithm
  - Mark inter-phase dependencies
  - Compute only reachable nodes from selected inter-phase dependency.
- Programmer intervention
  - Select the first suspicious inter-phase dep.
  - Comprehension guides computation.

Phase Detection

- Divide an exec. trace at boundaries of
  - Loops
  - Method calls
  - Loop iterations
  - ...
  - and recursively again at these control structure boundaries.
Program Slicing

- Static Slicing
- Dynamic Slicing
  - One of the oldest debugging methods around.
  - Hierarchical Dynamic Slicing
    - Tackling the large dynamic slice in real-life programs.

So far

Now …

- Relevant Slicing (why?)
  - While dynamic slice is large, it may still leave out some statements which are useful for explaining a given observable error.

Relevant Slicing

```
1 b=10;
2 x=1;
3 if (a>1){
4   if (b>1){
5     x=2;
6   }
7  }
8 printf ("%d", x);
```

```
1 b=1;
2 x=1;
3 if (a>1){
4   if (b>1){
5     x=2;
6   }
7  }
8 printf ("%d", x);
```

```
1 b=1;
2 x=1;
3 if (a>1){
4   if (b>1){
5     x=2;
6   }
7  }
8 printf ("%d", x);
```

```
1 b=1;
2 x=1;
3 if (a>1){
4   if (b>1){
5     x=2;
6   }
7  }
8 printf ("%d", x);
```

Source of Failure

Dynamic Slice

Execution is omitted

Input: a=2

Potential Dependence

```
1 b=1;
2 x=1;
3 if (a>1){
4   if (b>1){
5     x=2;
6   }
7  }
8 printf ("%d", x);
```

Input: a=2
More on debugging

- Dynamic slicing analyzes the problematic execution trace.
  - Problematic: output is unexpected
  - OK: output is as expected.
- Alternatively:
  - We could compare a given problematic trace with an OK trace to localize the source of error.

Fault Localization: overview

- Dynamic checking of programs
  - Dynamic slicing
  - Hierarchical slicing
  - Fault Localization

Program Slicing

Program Slice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Static</th>
<th>Dynamic</th>
<th>Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b=1;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>x=1;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>if (a&gt;1){</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>if (b&gt;1){</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>x=2;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>printf(&quot;%d&quot;, x);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential Dependence

Dynamic Data Dependence

Relevant Slice

input: a=2

Dynamic checking of programs
- Dynamic slicing
- Hierarchical slicing
- Fault Localization

Alternatively:
- We could compare a given problematic trace with an OK trace to localize the source of error.
Comparing executions

1. m=...
2. if (m >= 0) {
3.     ...
4.     lastm = m;
5. }
6. ...... if ((m >= 0) && (lastm!=m))

Failing run
Successful run

Fault localization

Successful Run Pool
Testing
Generate
Choose
Change Failing Input
Failing Run
Successful Run
Compare Execution
Difference Metric
Difference As bug report

Example program

1. if (a)
2. i = i + 1;
3. if (b)
4. j = j + 1;
5. if (c)
6. if (d)
7. k = k + 1;
8. else
9. k = k + 2;
10. printf(“%d”, k);

Program

1. if (a)
2. i = i + 1;
3. if (b)
4. j = j + 1;
5. if (c)
6. if (d)
7. k = k + 1;
8. else
9. k = k + 2;
10. printf(“%d”, k);

Set of statements

- S = Set of statements executed in $\pi$
  - $\{1,3,5,6,7,10\}$
- $S_1$ = Set of statements executed in $\pi_1$
  - $\{1,3,4,5,6,9,10\}$
- If $\pi$ is faulty and $\pi_1$ is OK
  - Bug report = $S - S_1 = \{4,7\}$
- Choice of the execution run to compare with is very important.
  - We will see a method in the next lecture to take care of this problem!
Do not take “statement sets”

\[
\text{while}(\ldots)\{
\begin{align*}
&\text{if (c1)} \\
&S1; \\
&\text{else} \\
&S2; \\
&S3;
\end{align*}
\}
\]

\[
\text{Stmts(Trace 1)} - \text{Stmts(Trace 2)} = \phi
\]

Another difference metric

Failing Run
Successful Runs
\[
\begin{align*}
&n \\
&nl, n2
\end{align*}
\]

- Number of Branches
- Location of Branches

Trace alignment and differences

Comparison of differences

For more …

- Dynamic Slicing & Relevant Slicing
- Hierarchical Dynamic Slicing
- Software Fault Localization