

## MA 5219 - Logic and Foundations of Mathematics 1

Homework due in Week 7, Tuesday.

**Frank Stephan.** Departments of Computer Science and Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 10 Lower Kent Ridge Road, S17#07-04.

Email [fstephan@comp.nus.edu.sg](mailto:fstephan@comp.nus.edu.sg)

Webpage <http://www.comp.nus.edu.sg/~fstephan/mathlogic.html>

Telephone office 65162759

Office hours Thursday 14.00-15.00h

Hand in each starred homework; 1 mark per homework (if it is correct), up to 10 marks in total for homework.

**7.1\* Logical Implication.** Here let  $e$  is a constant and  $v, w, x, y, z$  are variables. Let

$X$	contain	$\forall x, y, z [x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z], \forall x [x \circ e = x], \forall x [x \circ x = e]$
$\alpha$	be	$v \circ w = w \circ v,$
$\beta$	be	$v \circ (v \circ v) = v$ and
$\gamma$	be	$v \circ (v \circ v) = e.$

Do  $X \models \alpha$ ,  $X \models \beta$  and  $X \models \gamma$  hold? Justify for each of the formulas  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  your answers.

**7.2\* Henkin Sets.** Let the logical language  $\mathcal{L}$  contain first-order formulas over variables  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  and constants  $c_0, c_1, \dots$  and one predicate symbol  $P$ . Check whether the following sets  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are Henkin sets. Explain why you think that the corresponding sets are Henkin sets or not.

$X$  contains for all distinct  $i, j$  the formula  $c_i \neq c_j$  as well as for every  $k$  the formulas  $x_k = c_k$  and  $P(c_k)$ .

$Y$  contains the same formulas as  $X$  plus the formula  $\forall x_0 [P(x_0)]$ .

$Z$  contains the same formulas as  $X$  plus the formula  $\exists x_0 [\neg P(x_0)]$ .

**7.3 Countable models.** Assume that  $X$  is a Henkin set and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a model of  $X$ . Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a substructure  $\mathcal{B}$  which is a countable model of  $X$ .

**7.4 Rules.** Enlarge the rule sets on page 92 such that it also deals with or ( $\vee$ ), implication ( $\rightarrow$ ) and existential quantification ( $\exists$ ). Use this enlarged rule system together with the axioms for a groups (where  $e$  is the constant denoting the neutral element) in order to prove the following sentence:

$$\forall x [x \circ (x \circ x) = e] \rightarrow \forall y, z [y \circ (z \circ (y \circ (z \circ y))) = z \circ z].$$