

INFORMATION DIFFUSION MEETS INVITATION MECHANISM

Shiqi Zhang, Jiachen Sun, Wenqing Lin, Xiaokui Xiao, Yiqian Huang, Bo Tang

May 2024



INVITATION MECHANISM

- Invitation is also everywhere in Tencent games
- The invitation behavior can cascade



HOW TO MODEL INFORMATION DIFFUSION VIA INVITATION MECHANISM?



 Traditional diffusion models ignore the conversion funnel in invitation mechanism.

CONVERSION FUNNEL

- A distillation of a user's journey
- Describe how user behavior changes in multiple stages



INVITATION CONVERSION FUNNEL



Conversion funnel of a user





- •User roles:
 - Inactive state: uninformed (grey)
 - Active states: inviter (red), invitee (yellow), acceptor (orange)



• Given the seeds, a diffusion instance unfolds in discrete steps

- At step 0
 - all seeds \rightarrow initial inviters
 - others \rightarrow uninformed



Given the seeds, a diffusion instance unfolds in discrete stepsAt the subsequent step

- new inviter v_i has a probability $p_{i,j}$ to invite the uninformed friend v_j
- if v_i becomes an invitee, it has a probability β to be an acceptor
- if v_j becomes an acceptor, it has a probability γ to be an inviter



Given the seeds, a diffusion instance unfolds in discrete stepsStops when no new inviters exist

APPLICATION: CASCADE ESTIMATION

- •Objective:
 - Estimate the number of influenced users from a given seed set S
- Solution:
 - Given a diffusion model M, estimate the average number of influenced users from S under M by T simulations
- Model M
 - Our proposal: ICI (treat acceptors as influenced users)
 - 6 competitors: IC, CT-IC, IC-N, LT, LT-C, F-TM (by defaults)

APPLICATION: CASCADE ESTIMATION

Table 1: Dataset statistics	$K = 10^3, M = 10^6$).
-----------------------------	-------------------------

Table 2: The RMSE of estimating overall spreads ($\times 10^3$).

Dataset	$ \mathcal{V} $	3	$ \mathcal{S} $	Spread	Туре
TXG-A	153.0K	2.3M	10.3K	12.8K	Invitation
TXG-B	155.5K	2.5 <i>M</i>	4.9 <i>K</i>	12.6K	Invitation
TXG-C	155.9K	2.5 <i>M</i>	4.4K	11.0K	Invitation
TXG-D	133.9K	2.1 <i>M</i>	12.2K	76.4K	Invitation
Diggs	279.6K	1.5 <i>M</i>	0.6K	8.1 <i>K</i>	Vote
Twitter	456.6K	12.5 <i>M</i>	27.0K	38.7K	Retweet

Model	TXG-A	ТХG-В	TXG-C	TXG-D	Diggs	Twitter
IC	40.6	32.7	32.7	39.7	40.9	13.2
CT-IC	20.9	8.3	8.1	22.9	30.8	42.0
IC-N	23.4	14.8	14.9	23.8	22.0	76.7
LT	97.1	100.0	101.7	88.6	59.6	227.4
LT-C	69.6	71.9	73.6	63.2	42.7	161.1
F-TM	103.1	112.0	113.4	92.2	120.6	241.6
ICI	11.2	1.7	2.1	13.4	7.2	37.1

 ICI outperforms all competitors across all test datasets in terms of RMSE

APPLICATION: DIFFUSION PREDICTION

- •Objective:
 - Predict if each user is (directly/indirectly) influenced by a given seed set S
- Solution:
 - Given a diffusion model M, prediction is

 $\hat{y}_i = \frac{\text{\# times that } v_i \text{ is influenced from S under M over T}}{\text{T simulations}}$

- Model M
 - Our proposal: ICI (treat acceptors as influenced users)
 - 6 competitors: IC, CT-IC, IC-N, LT, LT-C, F-TM (by defaults)

APPLICATION: DIFFUSION PREDICTION

Table 3: The AUC (%) and MAP (%) of different models in diffusion prediction.

Mod	lel	IC	CT-IC	IC-N	LT	LT-C	F-TM	IC+	ICI
TYC-A	AUC	82.11±0.08	79.30±0.10	82.36±0.10	78.29±0.03	77.77±0.07	77.32±0.17	82.58±0.12	83.36±0.06
170-7	MAP	20.07 ± 0.13	18.35 ± 0.08	20.34 ± 0.12	16.51 ± 0.23	16.15±0.19	18.99±0.19	20.69±0.05	20.71±0.12
TYC-B	AUC	81.96±0.05	80.76±0.05	83.06±0.11	74.17±0.04	73.98±0.10	75.95±0.17	83.30±0.15	84.43±0.10
170-0	MAP	19.48 ± 0.06	20.13 ± 0.06	21.05 ± 0.11	12.41 ± 0.12	12.37 ± 0.14	16.10 ± 0.24	$21.54 {\pm} 0.18$	$22.05 {\pm} 0.15$
TYC-C	AUC	82.26±0.09	81.23±0.07	83.35±0.13	73.56 ± 0.06	73.28±0.07	75.06±0.17	83.56±0.13	84.90±0.08
170-0	MAP	18.82 ± 0.12	19.42 ± 0.08	20.43±0.16	11.10 ± 0.21	10.89±0.09	13.83 ± 0.20	20.81±0.11	21.41±0.09
TYC-D	AUC	78.20 ± 0.04	74.30±0.11	78.47±0.08	78.12±0.04	77.11±0.08	75.57±0.21	78.35±0.06	78.98±0.07
170-0	MAP	20.04 ± 0.04	16.43 ± 0.06	20.03 ± 0.03	20.03 ± 0.08	19.14 ± 0.18	20.01 ± 0.14	$20.08 {\pm} 0.04$	$20.11{\pm}0.02$
Diage	AUC	86.65±0.03	82.03±0.04	87.58±0.06	87.82±0.02	87.83±0.03	90.18±0.05	88.06±0.03	89.67±0.06
Diggs	MAP	10.19 ± 0.02	7.25 ± 0.01	11.52 ± 0.12	11.85 ± 0.08	12.02 ± 0.06	26.21±0.14	12.23 ± 0.03	$15.95 {\pm} 0.22$
Twitter	AUC	70.39±0.04	72.37±0.04	72.88±0.03	69.91±0.03	69.29±0.05	68.80±0.06	76.62±0.04	77.97±0.04
I willer	MAP	15.97±0.03	19.12 ± 0.04	18.27 ± 0.06	14.35 ± 0.04	14.59 ± 0.06	15.40 ± 0.04	21.17±0.03	22.40±0.05

ICI outperforms all competitors on all test datasets but Diggs

APPLICATION: FRIEND RANKING

- Objective: recommend existing friends for players to improve engagement
- Solution:
 - Compute each friend's influence spread under IC/ICI model
 - Rank friends based on their spread in descending order
 - Select the top k friends to recommend
- Competitor: Intimacy
 - Rank friends based on the number of historical interactions with the player
 - Select the top k friends to recommend

APPLICATION: FRIEND RANKING

Performance on social lottery events of one Tencent RPG game

Metrics	ICI	IC	Intimacy
Invitation Rate	9.60%	6.24%	7.98%
Pay Rate	35.15%	32.91%	26.71%

Metrics	ICI	IC	Intimacy
Invitation Rate	17.89%	16.85%	16.15%
Pay Rate	30.91%	24.53%	29.80%

APPLICATION: KOL SELECTION

- •Objective: identify k influencers to maximize the event outreach
- Solution:
 - Treat IC/ICI as the diffusion model
 - Invoke the greedy algorithm of influence maximization to select k seeds
- Competitor: degree
 - Select k players with the largest degree centrality

APPLICATION: KOL SELECTION

Performance on viral marketing events of one Tencent battle royale game

Metrics	ICI	IC	Degree
Spread Increment	2286	1923	843
Invition Rate	46.20%	39.64%	32.44%

SUMMARY

- ICI: a new diffusion model considering invitation mechanism
- Better performance on cascade estimation and diffusion prediction
- Have been deployed to friend ranking and KOL selection on Tencent gaming platforms

