## **CONFIDENTIAL**



## School of Computing Continual Assessment – Supervisor CP4101 / BT4101 / XFC4101 / CP3209 / CP4106

Please tick the relevant button for the chosen level of achievement for each sub-criteria in the tables below.

## Note:

- 1. Tick achievement level High or High +, only if you can fully justify. Please provide the justification in table 3.
- 2. This evaluation counts 15 % towards the final grade.

Table 1: Understanding of the problem, and Technical Achievement

	Sub-criteria						Level of Achie	evement				
		F	D	D+	С	C+	B-	В	B+	Α-	Α	A+
					0			0			0	
	Motivation and objectives		nisunderstood or he project scope es.	l	the project	derstanding of and objectives	Objectives are understanding motivation for	g of the proble	, but superficial em and	Shows clear und the project and objectives.	-	or the motivation for outlined the
		0	•	0		•	0		•	•	0	•
Understanding of the problem (30%)	Issues, Constraints and assumptions	any of the iss	nable to articulate ues, constraints ions in the project.	Little awaren assumptions involved and problem. Sho project.	. No idea o how to sol	n issues ve the	Poses some que mainly based visualize the a made to arrive	on previous w ssumptions th	ork, but cannot nat have to be	for further inqu shortcomings/c	iry. Well awa onstraints of opose new c	current/own work hanges to improve
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	
	Literature survey and review of previous works	Review of ex missing or co irrelevant.	isting work is mpletely	Amount of re inadequate. lack currency	References	are outdated,	•	• •	but relevance of ject is not made	Literature revieup-to-date and	•	ous project works is
				0	0	0		0		•	0	
	Formulation and problem statement	Formulation statement is incomplete c	•	definition of	the problei not formul	m. Problem ated clearly or	Has formulate and is still put some viable so difficulties end	ting some effo olutions/plans			•	blem statement with
Tashuisal		0	•		0	•		0		•		
Technical Achievement (40%)	Research/design methodology	No research/ investigative all.	-	l	is inadequa	n or design of te; method is						t investigation/design earch/design method
		0		0	0			0			0	
	Resources/tools required/used, demos/analysis of initial results	problem is m	and details of the issing.	Inadequate o	details on th ion strateg	y; No idea on	on the implen	nentation stra	oroblem; Details tegy is sketchy; dentified but not	obtained. Some	initial proto	d accuracy of results type developed/ lysis given to support

Table 2: Effort/Initiative and Report

	Sub-criteria							Level of Achie	evement					
	Sub-criteria	F		D	D+	С	C+	B-	В	B+	A-	Α	A+	
	Attitude	Mostly uncontactable. Not interested in the project.			Excuses to meet supervisor; Comes				Comes to the	re intermittent meetings with k fix.	Regular meetings with the supervisor. Generally shows initiative and self-direction; Explores and generates some questions for further inquiry.			
				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Effort and Initiative (20%)	Effort	No effort	or work do	one.	Hardly demonstrates any effort and shows little interest/diligence in the project.			goals/targets	and planning; rompted but	nsibility for setting Demonstrates not exert more e.	g Highly motivated and gives maximal effort; Demonstrates perseverance when difficulties arose or when a solution was not immediately obvious.			
	Initiative			0										
		requests f	from super	even after visor. Does ity for own	Progress repo from supervis work without supervisor.	sor; Does n	ot do any own	project on his	own; Shows i	s report on the motivation for minded to stay on	considerable di	ligence and i	ne project; Shows ndependence in red.	
				0	0	0	0		0	0	•	0	0	
	Writing style	Report is i	missing or te.		Writing is disc read and und	Ü	nd difficult to		•	ning that makes fficient and largely	/ Writing is clear,	, concise, and	I comprehensive.	
Report				0	0	0			0		•	0		
(10%)	Content	Report is i	•		Report is sket minute effort trivial or not performed.	. Work rep	orted is either	Work reported thoughts on d	•	me preliminary gation.	Work reported the work perfor preliminary tho also includes ju design/investig	rmed. Not on ughts on des stification fo	ly presents ign/investigation, but r chosen	

_	_		<b>~</b>	 ١	- 4		1			
	2 N	0	٧.		ICT	ITI	ca	rı.	$\alpha$	a -

If you have ticked achievement level High or High + for any of the assessed criteria above, please provide reasons to justify the assessment
Feedback to the Student (please be concise and write one or two sentences for each)
Todasak to the otagent (please be contact and write one of two sometions for each)
Please provide constructive feedback to the student to enable him/her to make progress in the right direction by indicating the scope of the work the student is expected to complete within the project time frame, weakness in the work done so far, and areas for improvement. This will be sent to the student.
Student's understanding of the problem
Work done and amount of effort invested so far
Areas of weakness
Areas for improvement
What is expected at the end of the project
Other comments
Is this project worth considering for award, such as "Outstanding Undergraduate Researcher Prize (OURP)", "Best FYP/UROP/Computing Project", SoC Innovation Prize, etc.? (please provide justification in the table 3 above)
NO YES
Project Information
Should student continue with this FYP? Yes No
You may update the project title, keywords or project nature if the scope differs from the original proposal now.

Title



# School of Computing Continual Assessment – Main Evaluator CP4101 / BT4101 / XFC4101 / CP3209 / CP4106

Please tick the relevant button for the chosen level of achievement for each sub-criteria in the tables below.

## Note:

- 1. Tick achievement level High or High +, only if you can fully justify. Please provide the justification in table 3.
- 2. This evaluation counts 15% towards the final grade.

Table 1: Understanding of the problem, and Technical Achievement

	Sub-criteria		Level of Achievement													
		F	D	D+	С	C+	B-	В	B+	A-	Α	A+				
Understanding of the problem (30%)		•	•	0				0		•	0					
	Motivation and objectives	Completely misu unaware of the and objectives.			the project	_	Objectives are el understanding o motivation for th	f the proble	•	Shows clear und the project and l objectives.	-	or the motivation for utlined the				
		•		0				0								
	Issues, Constraints and assumptions	Completely unal any of the issues and assumption	s, constraints	involved and	No idea or how to sol	n issues ve the	Poses some ques mainly based on visualize the assi made to arrive a	previous w umptions th	ork, but cannot at have to be	for further inqui	ry. Well awa instraints of pose new c	current/own work hanges to improve				
	Literature survey and review of previous works	•	•	•	0	0	•	0	0	•	0					
		Review of existing missing or compirelevant.	-	Amount of re inadequate. I lack currency	References	are outdated,	Adequate literat literature survey clear.	• •		Literature reviev up-to-date and o	•	us project works is				
		•		•	0			0	•	•	0					
	Formulation and problem statement	Formulation or p statement is mis incomplete or ir	ssing,	definition of	the probler not formul	n. Problem ated clearly or	Has formulated and is still puttin some viable solu difficulties encou	g some effo tions/plans	rts towards	Good formulatio	•	blem statement with				
		0	•	0	0	0	•	0	•	•	0					
Technical Achievement (40%)	Research/design methodology	No research/des investigative me all.	U		s inadequa	n or design of te; method is			•		_	t investigation/design earch/design method				
		0	•	0	0	0	•	0	•	0	0	•				
	Resources/tools required/used, demos/analysis of initial results	Specification an problem is missi Implementation	ng.	No clear spec Inadequate d implementat resources/to	letails on th ion strateg	y; No idea on	Partial specificat on the implement Some tools/reso all.	ntation strat	egy is sketchy;	obtained. Some	initial proto	d accuracy of results type developed/ lysis given to support				

Table 2: Effort/Initiative and Report

	Sub-criteria						Level of Achiev	ement					
	Jub-criteria	F	D	D+	С	C+	В-	В	B+	Α-	Α	A+	
Project and Resource Management (10%)		•			0	0	0	0	•	•	0		
	Project Management	No evidence of any use of project management techniques.					Use of project r with evidence o	_	•	Demonstrates continuous usage of project management techniques.			
				•	0	0		0		•	0		
	Resource Management	No evidence o of consideration	•	Little evidence of good resource management e.g. late decision on hardware/software platform, tools to use, or unplanned or no clue.			Some evidence but mainly on a identified the to required, but ye	reactive ba	asis. Has	Has not only identified all tools and resources, but has a good grip on them and has shown evidence of using them adequately.			
		0		•	0		0	0	0	0	0	•	
	Report - Content	Report is missi incomplete.	ng or	Report is sketchy and appears as last minute effort. Some material under literature survey are irrelevant.			Report covers re of literature sur	•	or work as part	Report discusses prior work and presents ideas clearly and concisely.			
	Report - Writing style						0						
		Report is missi incomplete.	ng or	Writing is disorganized and difficult to read and understand.					nning that makes fficient and largely	ly Writing is clear, concise, and comprehensive.			
Report and	Work reported			•	0	0		0		0	0		
Discussion (20%)		Work is unreported.		Work reported is either trivial or not used in the work performed.			Work reported presents some preliminary thoughts on design/investigation.			Work reported is entirely relevant to the work performed. Not only presents preliminary thoughts on design/investigation, balso includes justification for chosen design/investigative methodology.			
				0	0	0		0			0		
	Discussion	1. ,	ain what the it and unable to questions asked.	Poor articulat work done. A half-correct o the questions	nswers to query	uestions are understand	Able to articular done reasonabl questions asked concise answer	y well. Und I and is able	erstands the e to provide	, , ,	d without pro	project. Understands propting, and provides prs.	

dback to the Student and Supervisor (please be concise and write one or two sentences for each)	
ase provide constructive feedback to the student to enable him/her to make progress in the right direction by indicating the scope of the student is expected to complete within the project time frame, weakness in the work done so far, and areas for improvement. This will be oth supervisor and the student.	
tudent's understanding of the problem	
Vork done and amount of effort invested so far	

Feedback to the Supervisor only (please be concise and write one or two sentences for each)

Please provide feedback to the supervisor on the project, its scope and complexity, and likely contribution of the student based on his/her ability and understanding so far. Please also indicate if there is a need to reduce or expand the scope of the project.

Scope of the work involved (too ambitious, too little, typical)
Student's ability to cope with the work
Nature of the project & whether it encompasses different phases of project development
Is this project worth considering for award, such as "Outstanding Undergraduate Researcher Prize (OURP)", "Best FYP/UROP/Computing Project", SoC Innovation Prize, etc.? (please provide justification in the table 3 above)
NO YES