

CS2030S Recitation Problem Set 4

Brian Cheong

Recap

Wildcards

- A substitute for any type
- Can be upper bounded (`? extends T`)
 - `?` can be `T` and it's subtypes
 - This gives rise to covariance behaviour
- Can be lower bounded (`? super T`)
 - `?` can be `T` and it's supertypes
 - This gives rise to contravariance behaviour
- Use unbounded when you know nothing. Better than rawtyping

PECS

- Think from the perspective of the container
- Producer Extend
 - If you say you produce T , makes sense that you produce subtypes of T
- Consumer Super
 - You have a T , makes sense to store T in containers of T and containers of supertype of T

Type inference

- Very algorithmic (easy to score)
- Find constraints (each one produces a set of types)
 - Argument Type: Is there wildcards used for the T in the argument?
 - Target Type: Is T going to be bound to some type? Integer i = myObj<Integer>.foo()
 - Bounds on Type Parameters: Does T extend/super something?
- Solve the constraints
 - Ignore subclasses not specified in constraints
 - Solution may be a superclass of the types in constraints

Q1a

```
class B<T> {  
    T x;  
    static T y;  
}
```

Run this and explain

- Throws compile error
- T is instantiated when an object B is created
- Static means no object is created, so T is not instantiated

Q1b

```
class C<T> {  
    static int b = 0;  
    C() {  
        this.b++;  
    }  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        C<Integer> x = new C<>();  
        C<String> y = new C<>();  
        System.out.println(x.b);  
        System.out.println(y.b);  
    }  
}
```

- prints 2 on both lines
- Remember that there is still only one class C
- `int b` is for that class C

Q2

- Determine subtyping
 - Typing is just a relation (partial-order)
- Draw $S \rightarrow T$, if $S <: T$, Hasse diagram
- Can omit the transitive subtyping
- *Brian will now demonstrate his artistic skills*

Q3

```
static <T extends Comparable<T>> T max(List<T> list) {  
    T max = list.get(0);  
    if (list.get(1).compareTo(max) > 0) {  
        return list.get(1);  
    }  
    return max;  
}  
  
class Fruit implements Comparable<Fruit> {  
    public int compareTo(Fruit f) {  
        return 0; // stub  
    }  
}  
  
class Apple extends Fruit {  
}
```

Q3a

What would T be inferred as if we call `Fruit f = max(fruits)`

- Target Typing: `T <: Fruit`
- Argument Typing: `List<Fruit> <: List<T> $\implies T = \text{Fruit}$`
- Bounds on T: `T <: Comparable<T>`

Q3bi

Why does it fail to compile if we call `Fruit f = max(apples)`

- Target Typing: `T <: Fruit`
- Argument Typing: `List<Apple> <: List<T> $\implies T = Apple$`
- Bounds on T: `T <: Comparable<T>`
- If `T = Apple`, does `Apple <: Comparable<Apple>` hold?
- No, that's why we die. `Apple` is a `Comparable<Fruit>`

Q3bii

Why does it fail to compile if we call `Apple a = max(Apples)`

- Target Typing: `T <: Apple`
- Argument Typing: `List<Apple> <: List<T> \implies T = Apple`
- Bounds on T: `T <: Comparable<T>`
- Same as before, we die because `Apple </: Comparable<Apple>`

Q3biii

Why does it fail to compile if we call `Apple a = max(Fruits)`

- Target Typing: `T <: Apple`
- Argument Typing: `List<Fruit> <: List<T> $\implies T = \text{Fruit}$`
- Bounds on T: `T <: Comparable<T>`
- Why do we die?
- `Fruit </: Apple`

Q3c

- How do we fix this? (Just change the method header)
- Remember the main issue is that `Apple <: Comparable<Apple>`
- If only there was something we could put to make it more flexible, I would use `? super` for that
- `Apple <: Comparable<? super Apple>` right?
- `static <T extends Comparable<? super T>> T max(List<T> list)`

Q3di

- Target typing: $T <: \text{Fruit}$
- Argument typing: $\text{List} <: \text{Apple} <: \text{List} <: T \implies T = \text{Apple}$
- Bounds on T: $T <: \text{Comparable} <: ? \text{super } T$
- $T <: \text{Fruit}$ holds
- Also $\text{Apple} <: \text{Comparable} <: ? \text{super } \text{Apple}$ because Apple is a $\text{Comparable} <: \text{Fruit}$

Q3dii

- Target typing: $T <: \text{Apple}$
- Argument typing: $\text{List} <: \text{List} <: T \Rightarrow T = \text{Apple}$
- Bounds on T : $T <: \text{Comparable} <: \text{super } T$
- $T <: \text{Fruit}$ holds
- Also $\text{Apple} <: \text{Comparable} <: \text{super Apple}$ because Apple is a $\text{Comparable} <: \text{Fruit}$

Q3

- Note that `Apple <: Fruit <: Comparable<Fruit> <:`
`Comparable<? super Fruit> <: Comparable<? super Apple>`