The Future of Networking, and the Past of Protocols

Scott Shenker with Martín Casado, Teemu Koponen, Nick McKeown (and many others....)

Software-Defined Networking

- SDN clearly has advantages over status quo
- But is SDN the "right" solution?
- My talk: Not what SDN is, but why SDN is

Key to Internet Success: Layers

Applications ...built on...

Reliable (or unreliable) transport ...built on...

Best-effort global packet delivery

...built on...

Best-effort local packet delivery

...built on...

Physical transfer of bits



The Importance of Layering

- Decomposed delivery into fundamental components
- Independent but compatible innovation at each layer
- An amazing success...
- ...but an academic failure

Built an Artifact, Not a Discipline

- Other fields in "systems": OS, DB, etc.
 - Teach basic principles
 - Are easily managed
 - Continue to evolve
- Networking:
 - Teach big bag of protocols
 - Notoriously difficult to manage
 - Evolves very slowly

Why Does Networking Lag Behind?

- Networks used to be simple
- New **control** requirements led to great complexity
- Fortunately, the infrastructure still works...
 - Only because of your great ability to master complexity
- This ability to master complexity is both a blessing...
 - ...and a curse!

A Simple Story About Complexity

- ~1985: Don Norman visits Xerox PARC
 - Talks about user interfaces and stick shifts



What Was His Point?

- The ability to *master complexity* is not the same as the ability to *extract simplicity*
- When first getting systems to work....
 - Focus on mastering complexity
- When making system easy to use and understand
 - Focus on extracting simplicity

• You will never succeed in extracting simplicity

- If don't recognize it is different from mastering complexity

What Is <u>My</u> Point?

- Networking has never made the distinction...
- And therefore has never made the transition
 - Still focused on mastering complexity
 - Little emphasis on extracting simplicity from control plane
- Extracting simplicity builds intellectual foundations
 - Necessary for creating a discipline....

An Example Transition: Programming

- Machine languages: no abstractions
 - Mastering complexity was crucial
- Higher-level languages: OS and other abstractions
 - File system, virtual memory, abstract data types, ...
- Modern languages: even more abstractions
 - Object orientation, garbage collection,...

Abstractions key to extracting simplicity

"The Power of Abstraction"

"Modularity based on abstraction is the way things get done" – Barbara Liskov

Abstractions → Interfaces → Modularity

What abstractions do we have in networking?

Layers are Great Abstractions

- Layers only deal with the data plane
- We have no powerful *control plane* abstractions!
- How do we find those abstractions?
- Define our problem, and then decompose it.

The Network Control Problem

- Compute the configuration of each physical device
 E.g., Forwarding tables, ACLs,...
- Operate without communication guarantees
- Operate within given network-level protocol

Only people who love complexity would find this a reasonable request

Programming Analogy

- What if programmers had to:
 - Specify where each bit was stored
 - Explicitly deal with all internal communication errors
 - Within a programming language with limited expressability
- Programmers would redefine problem:
 - Define a higher level abstraction for memory
 - Build on reliable communication abstractions
 - Use a more general language
- Abstractions divide problem into tractable pieces
 - Make task of control program easier...

From Requirements to Abstractions

- 1. Operate without communication guarantees Need an abstraction for **distributed state**
- 2. Compute the configuration of each physical device Need an abstraction that **simplifies configuration**
- 3. Operate within given network-level protocol Need an abstraction for general **forwarding model**

1. Distributed State Abstraction

- Shield mechanisms from vagaries of distributed state
 - While allowing access to this state
- Natural abstraction: *global network view*
 - Annotated network graph provided through an API
- Control mechanism is now program using API
 - No longer a distributed protocol, now just a graph algorithm
 - E.g. Use Dijkstra rather than Bellman-Ford

2. Specification Abstraction

- Control program should express desired behavior
- It <u>should not</u> be responsible for implementing that behavior on physical network infrastructure
- Natural abstraction: simplified model of network
 Simple model only enough detail to <u>specify</u> goals
- This is "network virtualization"

Simple Example: Access Control



3. Forwarding Abstraction

- Control plane needs **flexible** forwarding model
- Abstraction <u>should not</u> constrain control program
 Should support whatever forwarding behaviors needed
- It <u>should</u> hide details of underlying hardware
 - Crucial for evolving beyond vendor-specific solutions

My Entire Talk in One Sentence

- SDN is defined *precisely* by these three abstractions
 - Distribution, forwarding, configuration
- SDN not just a random good idea...
- ...can be "derived" from decomposing network control
- Fundamental validity and general applicability

Realizing These Abstractions

- Core challenge:
 - make distributed control problem a logically centralized one
- This involves designing a common distribution layer
 - Gathers information from network elements (topology)
 - Disseminates control commands to network elements
- This is done by a "Network Operating System"



Major Change in Paradigm

- No longer designing distributed control protocols
- Now just defining a centralized control function
 Configuration = Function(view)
- This spells the end for distributed protocols
 - Easier to write, reason about, maintain,
- Beginning of the "software era" of networking
 - Rate of change, nature of standards, different culture, etc.

2. Specification Abstraction

- Abstraction is a simplified model of network
- Control program merely configures abstract model
 Abstract configuration = Function(abstract model)
- Requires a new shared control layer:
 - Map abstract configuration to physical configuration

Software Defined Network

Abstract Network Model



3. Forwarding Abstraction

- Switches have two "brains"
 - Management CPU (smart but slow)
 - Forwarding ASIC (fast but dumb)
- Need a forwarding abstraction for both
 CPU abstraction can be almost anything
- ASIC abstraction is much more subtle: **OpenFlow**
- All this assumes switches are unit of abstraction
 Why not fast, cheap fabrics? (in datacenters)

Keep in Mind

- As we debate the finer points of OpenFlow
 - OF is a detail in the overall SDN architecture
- OpenFlow is crucial for the industry
 - But a minor piece architecturally
- Don't let the tail wag the dog...

Dog: Clean Separation of Concerns

- Control prgm: specify behavior on abstract model
 - Driven by Operator Requirements
- Net Virt'n: map abstract model to global view
 Driven by Specification Abstraction

• NOS: map global view to physical switches

- API: driven by Distributed State Abstraction
- Switch/fabric interface: driven by Forwarding Abstraction

Final Words

- Future of networking lies in finding right abstractions
 The era of "a new protocol per problem" is over
- Takes years to internalize and evaluate abstractions
 Even longer to adjust to software-oriented culture
- We are in the early stages of an intellectual voyage
 - We should keep our minds open while charting our course

Thank You.....