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### Definition 2.1.1 (Statement)
A **statement** (or **proposition**) is a sentence that is true or false, but not both.

### Definition 2.1.2 (Negation)
If $p$ is a statement variable, the **negation** of $p$ is “not $p$” or “it is not the case that $p$” and is denoted $\neg p$.

### Definition 2.1.3 (Conjunction)
If $p$ and $q$ are statement variables, the **conjunction** of $p$ and $q$ is “$p$ and $q$”, denoted $p \land q$.

### Definition 2.1.4 (Disjunction)
If $p$ and $q$ are statement variables, the **disjunction** of $p$ and $q$ is “$p$ or $q$”, denoted $p \lor q$. 

### Summary

2.1 Logical Form and Logical Equivalence
2.1 Logical Form and Logical Equivalence

**Definition 2.1.5 (Statement Form/Propositional Form)**

A **statement form** (or **propositional form**) is an expression made up of **statement variables** and **logical connectives** that becomes a statement when actual statements are substituted for the component statement variables.

**Definition 2.1.6 (Logical Equivalence)**

Two statement forms are called **logically equivalent** if, and only if, they have **identical truth values** for each possible substitution of statements for their statement variables. The logical equivalence of statement forms $P$ and $Q$ is denoted by $P \equiv Q$.

**Definition 2.1.7 (Tautology)**

A **tautology** is a statement form that is **always true** regardless of the truth values of the individual statements substituted for its statement variables. A statement whose form is a tautology is a **tautological statement**.

**Definition 2.1.8 (Contradiction)**

A **contradiction** is a statement form that is **always false** regardless of the truth values of the individual statements substituted for its statement variables. A statement whose form is a contradiction is a **contradictory statement**.
### Summary

#### 2.1 Logical Form and Logical Equivalence

**Theorem 2.1.1 Logical Equivalences**

Given any statement variables $p$, $q$ and $r$, a tautology `true` and a contradiction `false`:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Commutative laws</th>
<th>$p \land q \equiv q \land p$</th>
<th>$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Associative laws</td>
<td>$(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$</td>
<td>$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Distributive laws</td>
<td>$p \land (q \lor r)$  \equiv \color{white}{} (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)</td>
<td>$p \lor (q \land r)$  \equiv \color{white}{} (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Identity laws</td>
<td>$p \land \text{true} \equiv p$</td>
<td>$p \lor \text{false} \equiv p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Negation laws</td>
<td>$p \lor \sim p \equiv \text{true}$</td>
<td>$p \land \sim p \equiv \text{false}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Double negative law</td>
<td>$\sim(\sim p) \equiv p$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Idempotent laws</td>
<td>$p \land p \equiv p$</td>
<td>$p \lor p \equiv p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Universal bound laws</td>
<td>$p \lor \text{true} \equiv \text{true}$</td>
<td>$p \land \text{false} \equiv \text{false}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>De Morgan’s laws</td>
<td>$\sim(p \land q) \equiv \sim p \lor \sim q$</td>
<td>$\sim(p \lor q) \equiv \sim p \land \sim q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Absorption laws</td>
<td>$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$</td>
<td>$p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Negation of <code>true</code> and <code>false</code></td>
<td>$\sim \text{true} \equiv \text{false}$</td>
<td>$\sim \text{false} \equiv \text{true}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Definition 2.2.1 (Conditional)

If $p$ and $q$ are statement variables, the **conditional** of $q$ by $p$ is “if $p$ then $q$” or “$p$ implies $q$”, denoted $p \rightarrow q$.

It is false when $p$ is true and $q$ is false; otherwise it is true.

We called $p$ the **hypothesis** (or **antecedent**) and $q$ the **conclusion** (or **consequent**).

### Definition 2.2.2 (Contrapositive)

The **contrapositive** of a conditional statement “if $p$ then $q$” is “if $\neg q$ then $\neg p$”.

Symbolically, the contrapositive of $p \rightarrow q$ is $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$.

### Definition 2.2.3 (Converse)

The **converse** of a conditional statement “if $p$ then $q$” is “if $q$ then $p$”.

Symbolically, the converse of $p \rightarrow q$ is $q \rightarrow p$.

### Definition 2.2.4 (Inverse)

The **inverse** of a conditional statement “if $p$ then $q$” is “if $\neg p$ then $\neg q$”.

Symbolically, the inverse of $p \rightarrow q$ is $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$. 
Summary

2.2 Conditional Statements

\[ p \rightarrow q \quad \equiv \quad \sim p \vee q \quad \text{Implication law} \]

\[ p \rightarrow q \quad \equiv \quad \sim q \rightarrow \sim p \quad \text{contrapositive} \]

\[ q \rightarrow p \quad \equiv \quad \sim p \rightarrow \sim q \quad \text{inverse} \]

Note that:

\[ p \rightarrow q \quad \not\equiv \quad q \rightarrow p \]
2.2 Conditional Statements

**Definition 2.2.5 (Only If)**

If \( p \) and \( q \) are statements, 

"\( p \) only if \( q \)" means "if not \( q \) then not \( p \)"

Or, equivalently,

"if \( p \) then \( q \)"

**Definition 2.2.6 (Biconditional)**

Given statement variables \( p \) and \( q \), the **biconditional** of \( p \) and \( q \) is "\( p \) if, and only if, \( q \)" and is denoted \( p \leftrightarrow q \).

It is true if both \( p \) and \( q \) have the same truth values and is false if \( p \) and \( q \) have opposite truth values.

The words **if and only if** are sometimes abbreviated **iff**.

**Definition 2.2.7 (Necessary and Sufficient Conditions)**

If \( r \) and \( s \) are statements,

"\( r \) is a sufficient condition for \( s \)" means "if \( r \) then \( s \)"

"\( r \) is a necessary condition for \( s \)" means "if not \( r \) then not \( s \)" (or "if \( s \) then \( r \)"


Order of operations:

- **not** (\(\sim\))
- **and** (\(\land\))
- **or** (\(\lor\))

**Performed first**

- **if-then/implies** (\(\rightarrow\))
- **if and only if** (\(\leftrightarrow\))

**Coequal in order**

**Performed last**
Definition 2.3.1 (Argument)

An argument (argument form) is a sequence of statements (statement forms). All statements in an argument (argument form), except for the final one, are called premises (or assumptions or hypothesis). The final statement (statement form) is called the conclusion. The symbol •, which is read “therefore”, is normally placed just before the conclusion.

To say that an argument form is valid means that no matter what particular statements are substituted for the statement variables in its premises, if the resulting premises are all true, then the conclusion is also true.

Definition 2.3.2 (Sound and Unsound Arguments)

An argument is called sound if, and only if, it is valid and all its premises are true.

An argument that is not sound is called unsound.
### Table 2.3.1 Rules of Inference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule of inference</th>
<th>Rule of inference</th>
<th>Rule of inference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Modus Ponens</strong></td>
<td>( p \rightarrow q )</td>
<td>( p \lor q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>( p \land q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \bullet q )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Modus Tollens</strong></td>
<td>( q \rightarrow r )</td>
<td>( p \rightarrow q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \neg q )</td>
<td>( q \lor r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \bullet \neg p )</td>
<td>( p \rightarrow r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Generalization</strong></td>
<td>( p \land q )</td>
<td>( p \land r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \bullet p )</td>
<td>( p \land q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specialization</strong></td>
<td>( p \lor q )</td>
<td>( p \lor r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \bullet q )</td>
<td>( p \land r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conjunction</strong></td>
<td>( p \land q )</td>
<td>( \neg p \rightarrow \text{false} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \bullet p )</td>
<td>( p \land q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elimination</strong></td>
<td>( p \lor q )</td>
<td>( p \lor q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \neg q )</td>
<td>( p \land q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transitivity</strong></td>
<td>( p \rightarrow q )</td>
<td>( q \rightarrow r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( q \rightarrow r )</td>
<td>( p \rightarrow r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proof by Division Into Cases</strong></td>
<td>( p \lor q )</td>
<td>( p \lor q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( p \rightarrow r )</td>
<td>( q \lor r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contradiction Rule</strong></td>
<td>( \neg p \rightarrow \text{false} )</td>
<td>( p \rightarrow \text{false} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

2.3 Valid and Invalid Arguments
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