

Protection in General Purpose OS

Adapted from [Pfl96, Chapter 6].

Why protect the OS?

Operating Systems support multiprogramming so they've developed ways to protect the computation of one user from inadvertent or malicious interference from another.

- Executives. Provided linkers and loaders
 - Provided linkers and loaders for relocation.
 - Provided easy access to compilers, assemblers.
 - Provided automatic loading of subprograms from libraries.
- Monitors.
 - Provides scheduling, sharing, and parallel use of resources—memory, I/O devices, sharable programs and data.
 - Oversaw all computing.

Security Methods of OSES

The basis of protection is **separation**.

- ▶ Physical Separation. Poor resource utilization.
- ▶ Temporal Separation. Poor resource utilization.
- ▶ Logical Separation.
- ▶ Cryptographic Separation.

Separation is only half the answer. The other half is controlled sharing.

- ▶ All or Nothing.
- ▶ Access control on objects.
- ▶ Capabilities.
- ▶ Partial use of objects.
 - E.g., the ability to read but not print as in Adobe reader.
 - Collect statistics from a database but not the actual records.

What is the granularity of sharing?

Protecting Memory

- ▶ Using a **fixed fence** with non-relocatable programs that know the fence value at compile time.
 - Protection in only one direction. You can shoot “yourself” in the foot.
 - Cannot sub partition programs into finer granularity of protection.
- ▶ Using **variable sized fences** with programs compiled starting at address 0.
 - Programs not relocated, rather indirection used with the fence value stored in a register.
 - Provides “relocation” and protection at the same time.
- ▶ Base bounds registers.
 - Provide both lower and upper bounds.
 - Change it for every program at context switch.
 - Use additional base bounds registers for finer granularity partition—say code and data.

Protecting Memory–Segmentation

- ▶ Addresses are of the form $\langle \text{seg \#}, \text{offset} \rangle$.
- ▶ Segments can be separately relocated and protected.
- ▶ Each process would normally have its own segment table for address translation.
- ▶ Processes that want to share segments map them to the same segment numbers in their segment table.

Pros and Cons:

- ▶ Fine granularity of protection, on a per-segment basis.
- ▶ Can lead to fragmentation of main memory. Requires compaction.
- ▶ Sharing requires same segment numbers in all sharing processes because of inter segment references.

Paging

- ▶ Addresses are of the form <page #, offset>.
- ▶ All pages are of the *same* size.

Segmentation+Paging

- ▶ Break a segment into pages.

References

[Pf196] Charles P. Pfleeger. *Security in Computing*. Prentice Hall, iind edition, 1996.