## MA 5219 - Logic and Foundations of Mathematics $\mathbf 1$

Homework due in Week 10, Tuesday.

**Frank Stephan.** Departments of Computer Science and Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 10 Lower Kent Ridge Road, S17#07-04.

Email fstephan@comp.nus.edu.sg Webpage http://www.comp.nus.edu.sg/~fstephan/mathlogic.html Telephone office 65162759 Office hours Thursday 14.00-15.00h

Hand in each starred homework; 1 mark per homework (if it is correct), up to 10 marks in total for homework.

13.1\* Rice's Theorem. Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots$  be any Gödel numbering of the partialrecursive functions with one input. Say two programs d, e are equivalent iff for all inputs x, either  $\varphi_d(x)$  and  $\varphi_e(x)$  are both undefined or they are both defined and equal. Now E is said to be an index-set iff for all equivalent indices d, e, either both  $d, e \notin E$  or both  $d, e \in E$ . Rice's Theorem says that there are no recursive index-set besides  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ . For which of the following sets, one can use Rice's Theorem to show that they are not recursive (none of these sets is actually recursive):

- 1.  $\{e: \varphi_e(0) \text{ is defined}\};$
- 2.  $\{e: \varphi_e(e) \text{ is defined}\};$
- 3.  $\{e: \varphi_e(x) \text{ is defined for infinitely many } x\};$
- 4. {e : at least one of  $\varphi_e(256)$ ,  $\varphi_e(257)$  and  $\varphi_e(258)$  is defined};
- 5.  $\{e: \varphi_e(0) \text{ and } \varphi_e(\varphi_e(0)) \text{ are both defined}\}.$

**13.2 Hierarchies of Sets.** A subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is called a  $\Sigma_n$ -set iff there exists an arithmetic  $\Sigma_n$ -formula  $\phi$  with  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1) \models \phi(\underline{n})\}$ . Similarly one defines  $\Pi_n$ -sets. An alternative approach is the following: An r.e. set is a  $\Sigma_1$ -set and a co-r.e. set is a  $\Pi_1$ -set. Then, a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$ -set is any set which can be enumerated by a function f which is recursive relative to A where A is a  $\Sigma_n$ -set. Here "recursive relative to A" means that f is computed by a register program which uses A as a subprogram to determine whether A(x) is 1 ( $x \in A$ ) or 0 ( $x \notin A$ ). Use the fact that the two definitions of  $\Sigma_n$ -sets coincide to prove that there is no formula  $\phi$  with one parameter such that  $\phi(\underline{n})$  is true iff the *n*-th sentence (according to some Gödel numbering of all setences) is true in  $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ . This gives an alternative proof of Tarski's theorem.

13.3 Nonexistence of Decidable Extensions of Q. Assume by way of contradiction that there is a theory T extending Q for which the set  $\{gn(\phi) : \phi \text{ is a sentence} and \vdash_T \phi\}$  is decidable. Then show that there is a partial-recursive  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued function  $\psi$  such that no total recursive function f extends  $\psi$ . Produce a formula  $\phi$  such that  $\psi(n) = 0$  implies  $\phi(\underline{n})$  and  $\psi(n) = 1$  implies  $\neg \phi(\underline{n})$ . Use this to derive a contradiction with the assumptions on T. Here  $gn(\phi)$  is the Gödel number of the formula  $\phi$ .