

## MA 5219 - Logic and Foundations of Mathematics 1

Course-Webpage <http://www.comp.nus.edu.sg/~fstephan/mathlogic.html>

Homework due in Week 8, Tuesday 8 October 2013.

**Frank Stephan.** Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
10 Lower Kent Ridge Road, S17#07-04 and 13 Computing Drive, COM2#03-11,  
National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076.

Email [fstephan@comp.nus.edu.sg](mailto:fstephan@comp.nus.edu.sg)

Telephone office 65162759 and 65164246

Office hours Thursday 14.00-15.00h S17#07-04

Hand in each homework which you want to be checked; 1 mark per each correct starred homework; up to 10 marks in total for homework - there will be more than 10 starred homeworks, so you have several chances to try.

**8.1 Logical Implication.** Here let  $e$  is a constant and  $v, w, x, y, z$  are variables. Let

$X$	contain	$\forall x, y, z [x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z], \forall x [x \circ e = x], \forall x [x \circ x = e]$
$\alpha$	be	$v \circ w = w \circ v,$
$\beta$	be	$v \circ (v \circ v) = v$ and
$\gamma$	be	$v \circ (v \circ v) = e.$

Do  $X \models \alpha$ ,  $X \models \beta$  and  $X \models \gamma$  hold? Justify for each of the formulas  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  your answers.

**8.2 Henkin Sets.** Let the logical language  $\mathcal{L}$  contain first-order formulas over variables  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  and constants  $c_0, c_1, \dots$  and one predicate symbol  $P$ . Check whether the following sets  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are Henkin sets. Explain why you think that the corresponding sets are Henkin sets or not.

$X$  contains for all distinct  $i, j$  the formula  $c_i \neq c_j$  as well as for every  $k$  the formulas  $x_k = c_k$  and  $P(c_k)$ .

$Y$  contains the same formulas as  $X$  plus the formula  $\forall x_0 [P(x_0)]$ .

$Z$  contains the same formulas as  $X$  plus the formula  $\exists x_0 [\neg P(x_0)]$ .

**8.3\* Countable models.** Assume that  $X$  is a Henkin set and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a model of  $X$ . Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a substructure  $\mathcal{B}$  which is an at most countable model of  $X$ .

**8.4\* Proof System.** Use the enlarged rule system on next page obtained by adding Modus Ponens and two similar further rules for  $\rightarrow$  to those of page 92 in order to prove the following tautology (where  $e$  is a constant and  $\circ$  an operation symbol which could be rewritten as a function with two inputs):

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x [x \circ (x \circ x) = e] \rightarrow \forall x, y, z [x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z] \rightarrow \forall x [x \circ e = x] \rightarrow \\ & \forall x [e \circ x = x] \rightarrow \forall y, z [y \circ (z \circ (y \circ (z \circ y))) = z \circ z]. \end{aligned}$$

**Derivation Rules.** Here are the rules from page 92 of Rautenberg's book plus the three rules for  $\rightarrow$ .

$$\begin{array}{l}
(IR) \quad \frac{}{X \vdash \alpha} \text{ where } \alpha \in X \text{ or } \alpha \text{ is of the form } t = t \\
(MR) \quad \frac{X \vdash \alpha}{X \cup Y \vdash \alpha} \\
(MP) \quad \frac{X \vdash \alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \beta}{X \vdash \beta} \\
(\rightarrow 1) \quad \frac{X \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta}{X, \alpha \vdash \beta} \\
(\rightarrow 2) \quad \frac{X, \alpha \vdash \beta}{X \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta} \\
(\wedge 1) \quad \frac{X \vdash \alpha, \beta}{X \vdash \alpha \wedge \beta} \\
(\wedge 2) \quad \frac{X \vdash \alpha \wedge \beta}{X \vdash \alpha, \beta} \\
(\neg 1) \quad \frac{X \vdash \beta, \neg \beta}{X \vdash \alpha} \\
(\neg 2) \quad \frac{X, \beta \vdash \alpha \mid X, \neg \beta \vdash \alpha}{X \vdash \alpha} \\
(\forall 1) \quad \frac{X \vdash \forall x(\alpha)}{X \vdash \alpha \frac{t}{x}} \text{ where } \alpha, \frac{t}{x} \text{ is collision-free} \\
(\forall 2) \quad \frac{X \vdash \alpha \frac{y}{x}}{X \vdash \forall x(\alpha)} \text{ where } y \notin \text{free}(X) \cup \text{var}(\alpha) \\
(=) \quad \frac{X \vdash s = t, \alpha \frac{s}{x}}{X \vdash \alpha \frac{t}{x}} \text{ where } \alpha \text{ is prime}
\end{array}$$