

Linguistic Properties Matter for Implicit Discourse Relation Recognition: Combining Semantic Interaction, Topic Continuity and Attribution

Wenqiang Lei, Yuanxin Xiang, Yuwei Wang, Qian
Zhong, Meichun Liu, Min-Yen Kan



Linguistic Properties Matter for Implicit Discourse Relation Recognition:

Combining Semantic Interaction, Topic Continuity and Attribution

1st Half

Wenqiang Lei, Yuanxin Xiang, Yuwei Wang, Qian
Zhong, Meichun Liu, Min-Yen Kan



2nd Half

Linguistic Properties Matter for Implicit Discourse
Relation Recognition:
Combining Semantic Interaction, Topic Continuity and Attribution

Wenqiang Lei, Yuanxin Xiang, Yuwei Wang, Qian
Zhong, Meichun Liu, Min-Yen Kan



Discourse Relations

[Citibank could not raise \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [However]_{conn}
[Chase has raised \$100 billion.]_{arg2}

Explicit Comparison

[The CEO set growth as his first objective.]_{arg1} [He took
the company public in an offering that netted his company
about \$12.6 million.]_{arg2}

Implicit Contingency

Discourse Relations

[Citibank could not raise \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [However]_{conn}
[Chase has raised \$100 billion.]_{arg2}

Explicit Comparison

State of the Art:

No difference from other text classification tasks which takes a pair of sentence as an input.

Implicit Contingency

Unique Linguistic Properties of Discourse Relation

- Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions

Unique Linguistic Properties of Discourse Relation

- Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions

Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions

[Citibank could not raise \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [Chase has raised \$100 billion.]_{arg2}

Topic Continuity

[Phil Harms, a software engineer, was an eager customer for massage.]_{arg1} [He says:]_{Attr2} ["You build up a lot of tension working at a terminal all day,"]_{arg2}

Attribution

Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions

[Citibank could not raise \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [Chase has raised \$100 billion.]_{arg2}

Topic Continuity

[Phil Harms, a software engineer, was an eager customer for massage.]_{arg1} [**He says:**]_{Attr2} ["You build up a lot of tension working at a terminal all day,"]_{arg2}

Attribution

Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions
(Comparison)

[Citibank could **raise** \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [Chase has
raised \$100 billion.]_{arg2}

→ negation interaction is **topic continuity**

[Citibank could not raise \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [It's raining
hard.]_{arg2}

Only negation interaction is not enough

Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions
(Comparison)

[Citibank could **not raise** \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [Chase has
raised \$100 billion.]_{arg2}

Negation interaction & **topic continuity**

[Citibank could not raise \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [It's raining
hard.]_{arg2}

Only negation interaction is not enough

Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions
(Comparison)

[Citibank could not raise \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [Chase has raised \$100 billion.]_{arg2}

Negation interaction & topic continuity

[Citibank could **not** raise \$73 billion.]_{arg1} [It's raining hard.]_{arg2}

Only **negation interaction** is not enough

Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions
(Contingency)

[Phil Harms, a software engineer, was an  customer for massage.]_{arg1} [He says:]_{Attr2} [“You build up a lot of tension working at a terminal all day,”]_{arg2}

[The CEO set growth as his first objective.]_{arg1} [He took the company public in an offering that netted his company about \$12.6 million.]_{arg2}

implicit interaction & topic continuity and attribution

Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions
(Contingency)

[Phil Harms, a software engineer, was an eager customer for massage.]_{arg1} [He says:]_{Attr2} [“You build up a lot of tension working at a terminal all day,”]_{arg2}

[The CEO set growth as his first objective.]_{arg1} [He took the company public in an offering that netted his company about \$12.6 million.]_{arg2}

Intention interaction & topic continuity and attribution

Discourse relation = Cohesion Device + Semantic interactions
(Contingency)

[Phil Harms, a software engineer, was an eager customer for massage.]_{arg1} [He says:]_{Attr2} ["You build up a lot of tension working at a terminal all day,"]_{arg2}

[The CEO set growth as his first objective.]_{arg1} [He took the company public in an offering that netted his company about \$12.6 million.]_{arg2}

Intention interaction & topic continuity and attribution

Feature Engineering

Cross-domain between

A cohesion device feature set

A semantic interaction feature set

Feature Engineering

Cross product (\otimes) between

A cohesion device feature set

A semantic interaction feature set

Experiment Results

	Comp.	Cont.	Exp.	Temp.	4-way
1. Baseline	38.41	53.88	72.22	27.46	44.93
2. Baseline + All features	43.24 (+4.83)	57.82 (+3.94)	72.88	29.10 (+1.54)	47.15 (+2.19)

Experiment Results

	Comp.	Cont.	Exp.	Temp.	4-way
1. (Qin et al., 2017)	41.55	57.32	71.50	35.43	-
2. Baseline + All features	43.24	57.82	72.88	29.10	47.15

Top-ranked Features by Chi-square Significance

ID	Feature Description	Correlation
Comp.1	Arg2Neg \otimes Arg2Subj-Coref	Positive
Comp.2	Arg2Neg \otimes Arg2Predi-Rep	Positive
Comp.3	Arg2Neg \otimes Arg1Predi-Rep	Positive
Comp.4	Arg2Neg \otimes Arg2Subj-Rep	Positive
Comp.5	Arg1Neg \otimes Arg1Subj-Rep	Positive
Cont.1	Arg1Subjtiv \otimes RelAttr	Positive
Cont.2	Arg2Intent \otimes Arg1SubjArg2Subj2-Coref	Positive
Cont.3	Attr1Subjtiv	Positive
Cont.4	Arg2Intent \otimes Attr2SubjArg1Subj-Coref	Positive
Cont.5	ParaConti	Negative
Exp.1	Arg2Neg \otimes Arg2Subj-Coref	Negative
Exp.2	Arg2Intent \otimes Arg1SubjArg2Subj2-Coref	Negative
Exp.3	Arg2Intent \otimes Attr2SubjArg1Subj-Coref	Negative
Exp.4	ParaConti	Positive
Exp.5	Arg2Neg \otimes Arg2Subj-Rep	Negative
Temp.1	RelAttr	Negative
Temp.2	Arg2Neg \otimes Arg2Subj-Coref	Negative
Temp.3	Arg2Neg \otimes Arg2Predi-Rep	Negative
Temp.4	Attr1Subjtiv	Negative
Temp.5	Arg1Subjtiv \otimes RelAttr	Negative

Most top ranked features are actually cross product features!

ID	Feature Description	Correlation
Comp.1	$\text{Arg2Neg} \otimes \text{Arg2Subj-Coref}$	Positive
Comp.2	$\text{Arg2Neg} \otimes \text{Arg2Predi-Rep}$	Positive
Comp.3	$\text{Arg2Neg} \otimes \text{Arg1Predi-Rep}$	Positive
Comp.4	$\text{Arg2Neg} \otimes \text{Arg2Subj-Rep}$	Positive
Comp.5	$\text{Arg1Neg} \otimes \text{Arg1Subj-Rep}$	Positive
Cont.1	$\text{Arg1Subjtiv} \otimes \text{RelAttr}$	Positive
Cont.2	$\text{Arg2Intent} \otimes \text{Arg1SubjArg2Subj2-Coref}$	Positive
Cont.3		Positive
Cont.4	$\text{Arg2Intent} \otimes \text{Attr2SubjArg1Subj-Coref}$	Positive
Cont.5		Negative
Exp.1	$\text{Arg2Neg} \otimes \text{Arg2Subj-Coref}$	Negative
Exp.2	$\text{Arg2Intent} \otimes \text{Arg1SubjArg2Subj2-Coref}$	Negative
Exp.3	$\text{Arg2Intent} \otimes \text{Attr2SubjArg1Subj-Coref}$	Negative
Exp.4		Positive
Exp.5	$\text{Arg2Neg} \otimes \text{Arg2Subj-Rep}$	Negative
Temp.1		Negative
Temp.2	$\text{Arg2Neg} \otimes \text{Arg2Subj-Coref}$	Negative
Temp.3	$\text{Arg2Neg} \otimes \text{Arg2Predi-Rep}$	Negative
Temp.4		Negative
Temp.5	$\text{Arg1Subjtiv} \otimes \text{RelAttr}$	Negative