

Animesh Prasad and Min-Yen Kan
School of Computing, National University of Singapore

❖ Introduction

➤ Tasks:

- Keyphrases Identification (Subtask A)
- Typing among one of three types: **Materials**, **Process** and **Task** (Subtask B)

➤ Challenges:

- Keyphrases occur more densely in the given excerpts compared against standard set of 5-25 keyphrases over an entire document
- Keyphrases overlap significantly. e.g. **equally sized blocks** and **sequences of optimal walks of a growing length in weighted digraph**
- Determining the keyphrase type depends on the context. e.g. **oxidation test** and **assessment of the corrosion condition** type depends on the context.

❖ Proposed Technique

➤ Features

- Token(T), lowercased token
- 1 to 4 character n-gram from beginning and end of the token
- POS of the token
- Orthographic features like capitalization, alpha/numeric?, ASCII?, quoted?, hyphenated?, math operators?
- Occurrence in title

➤ Model

- First Order Conditional Random Field

❖ Experiments

➤ Features Ablation

- Model performance over different feature ablation, as evaluated on *Dev*. Best performance is **bolded**.
- Most of the contributions come from character n-gram and previous tokens output label

| Features | Subtask A | | | Subtask B | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------|----------------|-----------|------|----------------|
| | P | R | F ₁ | P | R | F ₁ |
| All | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.32 | 0.40 |
| All-(T,T _{lower}) | 0.49 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.26 | 0.34 |
| All-(T _{n-gram}) | 0.53 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 0.33 |
| All-(T _{POS}) | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.37 |
| All-(T _{orthographic}) | 0.55 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.31 | 0.38 |
| All-(T _{in-title}) | 0.55 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.32 | 0.39 |
| All-(T _{-1output}) | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.29 |

➤ Model Configurations

- We explore three configurations
 - Joint**: Performing both Subtask A and B jointly
 - Unified**: Expert model for keyphrase identification (Subtask A) by collapsing all keyphrase types in one canonical type
 - Individual**: Expert model for each keyphrase type
- Subtask A performance for **Joint** versus **Unified** models, as assessed on *Dev*. Best performance is **bolded**.

| Setup | P | R | F ₁ |
|----------------|------|------|----------------|
| <i>Joint</i> | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.45 |
| <i>Unified</i> | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.44 |

- Subtask B performance for **Joint** versus **Unified** models, as assessed on *Dev*. Best performance is **bolded**.

| Setup | Type | P | R | F ₁ |
|----------------|---------------|------|------|----------------|
| <i>Joint</i> | Material | 0.61 | 0.36 | 0.45 |
| | Process | 0.45 | 0.34 | 0.39 |
| | Task | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.17 |
| | Micro Average | 0.51 | 0.32 | 0.40 |
| <i>Unified</i> | Material | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.36 |
| | Process | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| | Task | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.11 |
| | Micro Average | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.28 |

- Joint modeling leverages more rich contextual information, outperforms individual expert systems

❖ Results

➤ Official Scores

- End to end scores on *Test*

| Type | P | R | F ₁ |
|----------------|------|------|----------------|
| Material | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Process | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.30 |
| Task | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| Micro Average* | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.27 |

- Subtask-wise scores on *Test*

| Subtask | P | R | F ₁ |
|---------|------|------|----------------|
| A | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.46 |
| B | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.33 |

- Significant drop in F₁ for certain type with skewer test distribution

❖ Discussions

- Feature based CRF model performs close to reported best performance on precision, with a difference of **0.04**
- Lower recall by around **0.10** is caused by systematic modeling error that CRF incurs because of overlapping annotations which is further exacerbated by strict evaluation

➤ Future Directions

- Using semantic features to learn the context dependent typing of the keyphrases
- Using deep learning based models using word embeddings, though our primary attempt didn't give better result than feature based models, due to high class imbalance

