## Algorithms in Bioinformatics: A Practical Introduction

Population genetics

## Human population

- Our genomes are not exactly the same.
- Human DNA sequences are 99.9\% identical between individuals
- Those genetic variation (polymorphism) give different skin color, different outlook, and also different genetic diseases.
- This lecture would like to have a look of strategy to study human population.


## Locus and Alleles

- Locus
- A particular location in a chromosome
- An allele is a possible nucleotide that occupies a given locus.
- In the human population, a locus may have 4 possible alleles.
- Since mutation is rare, most of the loci are diallelic.


## Human are diploid

- We have two copies of each chromosome
- One inherit from father while another one inherit from mother.



## Locus and Alleles

- Example: Consider the following chromosome pair.

i j<br>...ACGTCATG... ...ACGCCATG...

- For locus i, the allele is C.
- For locus j, the alleles are T and C.


## Genotype: Homozygote vs Heterozygote

- Let $A$ and $a$ represent a pair of alleles of a given locus
- Then AA, aa, and Aa are the genotypes of the locus.
- $A A$ and aa are called homozygotes.
- Aa is called heterozygote.


## Homozygote vs Heterozygote: Example

Individual 1: ...ACGTCATG... ...ACGCCATG...
Individual 2: ...ACGCCATG... ...ACGCCATG...
Individual 3: ...ACGTCATG... ...ACGTCATG...
Individual 4: ...ACGCCATG... ...ACGTCATG...

- For the loci in red color,
- Homozygote: Individuals 2, 3
- Heterozygote: Individuals 1, 4


## Dominance vs Recessiveness

- Let $A$ and a represent a pair of alleles of a given locus
- A is called a dominant allele if
- the appearance or phenotype of the Aa individuals resembles that of the AA type
- a is called a recessive allele.


## Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs)

- SNP is the loci where there is a single nucleotide variation among different individuals. It is the most common type of polymorphism.
- Below example contains 4 pair of chromosomes.

| Individual 1: | ...ACGTCATG... |
| :--- | :--- |
| Individual 2: | ...ACGCCATG... |
| Individual 3: | ...ACGCCATG... |
| Individual 4: | ...ACGTCATG... |
|  | ...ACGTCATG.... |
|  | ...ACGTCATG... |

- For the loci in red color, there is a SNP with two alleles T and C.
- The allele frequency of T is $5 / 8$ while the allele frequency of C is $3 / 8$.
- In this case, the minor allele frequency is $3 / 8$.


## More on SNPs

- SNPs make up $90 \%$ of all human genetic variations.
- SNPs with a minor allele frequency of $\geq 1 \%$ occur every 100 to 300 bases along the human genome, on average.
- Two third of the SNPs substitute cytosine (C) with thymine ( T ).


## HapMap project

- Through the collaborative effort of many countries,
- We already have identified the set of common SNPs in human population
- See http://www.hapmap.org/

> International HapMap Project

## SNP and phenotype

- Phenotype
- The observable structure, function or behavior of a living organism.
- E.g. The color of the hair
- The variation of SNPs may or may not affect the phenotype.
- The SNPs which do not affect the phenotype are called natural SNPs; Otherwise, they are called causal SNPs.


## Example: Hair color

- Hair color varies from black to white.
- The color of hair is control by 4 genes in on chromosome 3, 6, 10 and 18.
- The greater the number of dominant alleles, the darker the hair.

| 8 <br> dominant <br> alleles | 7 <br> dominant <br> alleles | 6 <br> dominant <br> alleles | 5 <br> dominant <br> alleles | 4 <br> dominant <br> alleles | 3 <br> dominant <br> alleles | 2 <br> dominant <br> alleles | 1 <br> dominant <br> alleles | 0 <br> dominant <br> alleles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Example: Eyebrow

- Eyebrow thickness is determined by a gene in chromosome 9.
- Thick eyebrow $=$ Z or $Z z$ while thin eyebrow $=$ zz.

Bushy (ZZ, Zz)


Fine (zz)


- Eyebrow placement is determined by another gene in chromosome 10.
- Connected $=$ aa while Disconnected $=$ AA or Aa.

Not connected (AA, Aa)
Connected (aa)


## Genotype frequency

- Genotype frequency is the relative frequency of a genotype on a genetic locus in a population.
- Example:
- Let $A$ and $a$ represent a pair of alleles of a given locus
- Let the population be AA, Aa, aa, AA, AA, Aa, aa, Aa, AA, Aa
- $f(A A)=4 / 10$
- $f(a a)=2 / 10$
- $f(A a)=4 / 10$


## Allele frequency

- Allele frequency is the relative frequency of an allele on a genetic locus in a population.
- Example:
- Let $A$ and $a$ represent a pair of alleles of a given locus
- Let the population be AA, Aa, aa, AA, AA, Aa, aa, Aa, AA, Aa
- $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}}=(2+1+0+2+2+1+0+1+2+1) / 20=0.6$
- $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}=(0+1+2+0+0+1+2+1+0+1) / 20=0.4$


## Genotype frequency $\rightarrow$ Allele frequency

- $p_{A}=f(A A)+0.5 f(A a)$
- $p_{a}=f(a a)+0.5 f(A a)$
- Example:
- Let $A$ and $a$ represent a pair of alleles of a given locus
- Let the population be AA, Aa, aa, AA, AA, Aa, aa, Aa, AA, Aa
- $p_{A}=0.6, p_{a}=0.4$
- $f(A A)=4 / 10, f(a a)=2 / 10, f(A a)=4 / 10$


## Haplotype

- Haplotype is a combination of alleles at different loci on the same chromosome.
- For example:
- The following three loci have genotypes AC, AT, CG.
- There are two haplotypes: ATG and CAC.



## Genotype vs haplotype

- Example: consider the following two copies of the chromsome.

|  | j |
| :---: | :---: |
| Copy1 of the chr | A-------B |
| Copy2 of the chr | a--------b |

- The genotype for loci $i$ and $j$ are Aa and Bb.
- Consider copyl of the chromosome, the haplotype for loci i and j are A and B.
- Consider copy2 of the chromosome, the haplotype for loci $i$ and $j$ are A and B.


## Technologies for studying human population

- There are 100 different genotyping technologies.
- Nowaday, we can perform whole genome genotyping for all the common SNPs found in HapMap!
- (US\$0.1-US\$0.01 per genotype)
- Note that genotyping does not tell us the hapotypes appear in the chromosomes.
- E.g. The genotype of two loci are AC and CT. Then, there are two possible cases:



## Bioinformatics problems

- Data quality checking
- Check if the genotyping found by biological experiments are good or not.
- Genotype phasing
- Identify the hapotypes from the genotypes.
- Tag SNP selection
- Genotyping all SNPs are expensive and sometimes impossible. Hence, we want to select a subset of SNPs, called tag SNPs, for genotyping.
- Association study
- Find the relationship between disease and genetic variation


## Data quality checking

## Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE)

- Let $p_{A}$ and $p_{a}$ be the major and minor allele frequencies.
- Under the assumption:
- Random mating
- No natural selection
- Then, the expected frequencies are:
- $e(A A)=p_{A} * p_{A}$
- $e(a a)=p_{a} * p_{a}$
- $e(A a)=2 p_{A} * p_{a}$
- We expect the genotype frequencies should be similar to the expected frequencies.


## Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE)

- Example:
- Let $A$ and $a$ represent a pair of alleles of a given locus
- Let the population be AA, Aa, aa, AA, AA, Aa, aa, Aa, AA, Aa
- $p_{A}=0.6, p_{a}=0.4$
- $f(A A)=4 / 10, f(a a)=2 / 10, f(A a)=4 / 10$
- By HWE,
- $e(A A)=0.6 * 0.6=0.36 ; e_{A A}=3.6$
- e(aa) $=0.4^{*} 0.4=0.16 ; \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{aa}}=1.6$
- $e(A a)=2 * 0.6^{*} 0.4=0.48 ; \mathrm{e}_{\text {Aa }}=4.8$


## $\chi^{2}$ test for HWE

- We can use $\chi^{2}$ test to determine if the genotype frequencies satisfy HWE.
- $\chi^{2}$ test with degree of freedom $=1$

$$
\chi^{2}=\frac{\left(n_{A A}-e_{A A}\right)^{2}}{e_{A A}}+\frac{\left(n_{A a}-e_{A a}\right)^{2}}{e_{A a}}+\frac{\left(n_{a a}-e_{a a}\right)^{2}}{e_{a a}}
$$

## $\chi^{2}$ test for HWE: Example

- $\chi^{2}$ test with degree of freedom $=1$

$$
\chi^{2}=\frac{(4-3.6)^{2}}{3.6}+\frac{(4-4.8)^{2}}{4.8}+\frac{(2-1.6)^{2}}{1.6}=0.278
$$

- $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\chi^{2}>0.278\right)=0.5980$
- Which is much bigger than 0.05 .
- So we accept that the SNP satisfies HWE.

| Genotype | AA | Aa | aa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Actual | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Expected | 3.6 | 4.8 | 1.6 |

## Fisher's exact test for HWE

- n is the size of the population.
- $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Aa}}=$ number of Aa
- $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ number of A .
- Number of combinations where there are $n_{A}$ 's $A$ is
- Number of combinations where there are $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}$ heterozygotes is $\binom{n}{n_{A A}, n_{A a}, n_{a a}} 2^{n_{A a}}$
- $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Aa}} \mid \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=\frac{\binom{n}{n_{A A}, n_{A a}, n_{a a}} 2^{n_{A a}}}{\binom{2 n}{n_{A}}}$


## Fisher's exact test for HWE: Example

- $\mathrm{n}=10, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}=12, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Aa}}=4$.

| Genotype | AA | Aa | aa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Actual | 4 | 4 | 2 |

$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Pr}\left(n_{A a} \mid n_{A}\right) & =\frac{\binom{10}{4,4,2}}{\binom{20}{12}} \\ & =3150^{*} 2^{4} / 125970=0.40095>0.05\end{aligned}$

- So, we accept that the SNP satisfies HWE.


## Clean-up the dataset by HWE

- If a SNP derviates from HWE, it may be due to miscall during the genotyping process.
- Usually, we discard SNPs which derivate from HWE at significance level $10^{-3}$ or $10^{-4}$.
- However, this approach may miss some causal SNPs.
- In real life, there exists different forces to change the frequencies
- The forces include selection, drift, mutation, and migration.
- Those forces make the causal SNP derviates from HWE.


## Other factors regarding clean-up

- Resolving missing genotypes


## Genotype phasing

## Genotype phasing

- Genotyping technology allows us to generate genotype of individual easily.
- However, it is difficult to recover the haplotype.
- The process of recovering haplotype from genotype is called genotype phasing.


## Example

- Given the genotype of an individual:
- Aa,BB, cc,DD
- We need to recover the two hapotypes of the individual, which are
- ABcD; and
- aBcD


## Notation

- For haplotype, we use
- 0 to represent major allele and
- 1 to represent minor allele
- For genotype, we use
- 0 to represent both alleles are major,
- 1 to represent both alleles are minor, and
- 2 to represent one is major and one is minor.
- For the previous example,
- AaBBccDD is represented as 2010
- ABcD is represented as 0010
- aBcD is represented as 1010


## Experimental method for genotype phasing

- Asymmetric PCR amplification (Newton et al. 1989; Wu et al. 1989)
- Isolation of single chromsome by limit dilution followed by PCR amplification (Ruano et al. 1990)
- Inferring haplotype information by using genealogical information in families (Perlin et al. 1994)
- The above methods are low-throughput, costly, and complicated.


## Computational methods

- We study computational methods for genotype phasing.
- We discuss the following:
- Clark's algorithm
- Perfect Phylogeny Haplotyping
- Maximum likelihood
- Phase (just mention)


## Difficulty of genotype phasing

- Consider the following example.

Genotype: 01211201

- Which one is correct? (I) or (II)?
(I) Haplotype: 01011101 01111001
OR
(II) Haplotype: 01111101

01011001

## Genotype phasing Problem

- Input:
- A set of genotypes $G=\left(G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}\right)$.
- Output:
- A set of haplotypes which can best explain G according to certain criteria.
- Example Criteria:
- Minimize the number of haplotypes
- Maximize the likelihood

■ ...

## Clark's algorithm (1990)

- Parsimony approach: Find the simplest solution
- Minimize the total number of haplotypes.
- He gave a heuristics algorithm.

From all homozygotes and single-site heterozygotes genotypes,

- Unambiguously, we generate a set of haplotypes.

2. For each know haplotype H, we look for unresolved genotype G',

- Check if we can resolve $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ by H and some new haplotype $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$.
- If yes, include $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ and resolve G'.

3. Repeat the procedure until all genotypes are resolved.

- Note that Clark's algorithm may fail to return answer.


## Example for Clark's algorithm Step 1

- Example genotype input:
- $\mathrm{G}_{1}=10121101$
- $\mathrm{G}_{2}=10201121$
- $\mathrm{G}_{3}=20001211$
- From $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, we have
- $\mathrm{H}_{1}=10101101$
- $\mathrm{H}_{2}=10111101$


## Example for Clark's algorithm Step 2

- Example genotype input:
- $\mathrm{G}_{1}=10121101$
- $\mathrm{G}_{2}=10201121$
- $\mathrm{G}_{3}=20001211$
- We have the following haplotypes:
- $\mathrm{H}_{1}=10101101$
- $\mathrm{H}_{2}=10111101$
- From $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, we have
- $\mathrm{H}_{3}=10001111$
- From $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{3}$, we have
- $\mathrm{H}_{4}=00001011$
- Hence, the set of predicted haplotypes is
- $\mathrm{H}_{1}=10101101$
- $\mathrm{H}_{2}=10111101$
- $\mathrm{H}_{3}=10001111$
- $\mathrm{H}_{4}=00001011$


## Perfect Phylogeny Haplotyping

- This problem is first introduced by Gusfield 2002.
- Input:
- A set of genotypes $G=\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right\}$, each $G_{i}$ is a length-m genotype.
- Output:
- A set of haplotypes $\mathrm{H}=\left\{\mathrm{H}_{i}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right.$ resolve $\left.\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ such that $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime} \ldots, \mathrm{H}_{n}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ form a perfect phylogeny
- For example,
- $\mathrm{G}=\left\{\mathrm{G}_{1}=220, \mathrm{G}_{2}=012, \mathrm{G}_{3}=222\right\}$
- The solution is $\mathrm{H}=\{100,010,011\}$



## Previous work

- Gusfield (2002) introduced the problem and gives an $O(n m \alpha(n m))$ time algorithm by reduction to the graph realization problem
- Eskin et al (2002) gives a simple O(nm²) time algorithm.
- Bafna et al (2002) gives a simple $O\left(\mathrm{~nm}^{2}\right)$ time algorithm.
- Gusfield et al (RECOMB 2005) gives an O(nm) time algorithm.


## Represent G as a matrix

- To simplify the discussion, we represent $\left\{\mathrm{G}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ as a nxm matrix G where the entry $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ is the j genotype of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $G_{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $G_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $G_{4}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $G_{5}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |

## Our aim

- Given n x m matrix G
- Each entry is either 0, 1, or 2
- Construct $2 \mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}$ matrix H
- Each entry is either 0 or 1
- If $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}) \neq 2, \mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c})=\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{r}-1, \mathrm{c})=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c})$
- Otherwise, $\{\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{r}-1, \mathrm{c})\}=\{0,1\}$
- H satisfies a perfect phylogeny

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |

## 4-gamete test

- A set of haplotypes admits a perfect phylogeny (whose root is an all-0 haplotypes) if and only if there are no two columns i and j containing all four pairs $00,01,10$, and 11 .
- Proof:
- Recall that M admits a perfect phylogeny if and only if for every characters $i$ and $j$, they are pairwise compatible.


## In-phase and out-of-phase

- If some columns c and c' in G contain (1) either 11 or 12 or 21 and (2) either 00 or 02 or 20,
- columns c and c' in H must contain both 11 and 00.
- In such case, c and c' are called in-phase.
- If some columns c and c' in G contain (1) either 10 or 20 and (2) either 01 or 02,
- Columns c and c' in H must contain both 10 and 01.
- In such case, c and c' are called out-of-phase.
- E.g.
- Columns 2 and 5 are in-phase
- Columns 4 and 5 are out-of-phase
- Columns 3 and 4 are neither in-phase or out-of-phase

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{5}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |

- If columns c and c' in G are both inphase and out-of-phase, G has no solution to the PPH problem.
- Proof: By 4-gamete test


## $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}$

- In $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}$, a pair of columns forms an edge if it contains 22.
- Red: in-phase (color 0)
- Blue: out-of-phase (color 1)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{5}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |



## Theorem

- Consider a matrix M such that every pair of columns is not both in-phase and out-of-phase.
- There exists a PPH solution for M if and only if we can infer the colors of all edges in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}$ such that
- All edges which are in-phase and out-of-phase are colored red and blue, respectively. (Denote $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ be the set of these edges);
- For any triangle (i,j,k) where there exists $r$ s.t. $M[r, i]=M[r, j]=M[r, k]=2$, either 0 or 2 edges are colored blue.
- If such coloring exists, such coloring is called a valid coloring of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}$.


## I nfer colors for the uncolored

## edges

 either 0 or 2 edges are


- A valid coloring will color all edges not in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ so that
- For any triangle (i,j,k), colored blue.



## How to infer the colors? (I)

- The colored edges in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ form a set C of connected components.
- Let $E_{C}$ be a minimum set of edges, which connect all these connected components.



## How to infer color? (II)

- Bafna et al. showed the following theorem:
- Either (1) $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}$ has no valid solution or (2) any arbitrary coloring of the edges in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}$ define a unique valid coloring for $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}$. (Thus, there are exactly $2^{r}$ valid coloring, where $\mathrm{r}=\left|\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}\right|$.)



## How to infer color? (III)



## How to infer the haplotypes?

- Given the coloring of all edges of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}$, we can infer the haplotypes as follows.
- For $\mathrm{j}=1$ to m ,
- For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to n ,
- if $M[i, j] \in\{0,1\}$, set $H[2 i, j]=H[2 i-1, j]=M[i, j]$
- Otherwise, let $k<j$ be a column such that $M[i, k]=2$.
- If k exists,
- if ( $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}$ ) is colored red, set $\mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=\mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}], \mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{j}]=1-\mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]$
- If $(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k})$ is colored blue, set $\mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=1-\mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}], \mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{j}]=1-\mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]$
- Else
- set $\mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=0, \mathrm{H}[2 \mathrm{i}-1, j]=1$


## Example

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{5}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |




|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{6}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |

## Time analysis

- Checking in-phase and out-of-phase for all pairs of columns takes $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{nm}^{2}\right)$ time.
- Infering colors for the uncolored edges takes $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ time.
- Compute the matrix H takes $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nm})$ time.
- In total, the algorithm runs in $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{nm}^{2}\right)$ time.


## More on PPH problem

- Theorem: If every column in M contains at least one 0 and one 1 entry,
- Then there is either no PPH solution for M or has a unique PPH solution for $M$.
- Also, such solution can be found in O(nm) time.


## Maximum likelihood approach

- This approach is used by Excoffier and Slatkin (1995).
- Try to infer the haplotype with the most realistic haplotype frequencies
- under the assumption of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium


## Motivation (I)

- Example: Consider two genotypes
- $\mathrm{G}_{1}=0111$
- $G_{2}=0221$
- Two possible solutions:

| $\mathrm{G}_{1}:$ | 0111 | $\mathrm{G}_{1}:$ | 0111 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}:$ | 0111 |  | 0111 |
|  | 0111 | $\mathrm{G}_{2}:$ | 0101 |
|  | 0001 |  | 0011 |

- Which solution is better?


## Motivation (II)

| $\mathrm{G}_{1}:$ | 0111 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 0111 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}:$ | 0111 |
|  | 0001 |

- There are two haplotypes 0111 and 0001.
- Their frequencies are $3 / 4$ and $1 / 4$.
- The chance of getting $G_{2}=0221$ is $3 / 4 * 1 / 4$.
- For solution 1: $\quad \mathrm{G}_{2}$ : 0111
$\mathrm{G}_{1}$ : 0111
- For solution 2: $\quad \mathrm{G}_{2}: \quad 0111$

$$
0011
$$

- There are three haplotypes 0111, 0101, and 0011.
- Their frequencies are $1 / 2,1 / 4$ and $1 / 4$.
- The chance of getting $G_{2}=0221$ is $1 / 4 * 1 / 4$.
- Solution 1 seems better!


## Preliminary

- Given a genotype $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, we can generate the set $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$, which is the set of all haplotype pairs that are phased genotypes of G .
- Example: Consider the genotype 0221.
- Since there are two heterozygous loci,
- we have $2^{2}=4$ possible haplotypes.
- $h_{1}=0001, h_{2}=0011, h_{3}=0101, h_{4}=0111$
- The set of all phased genotypes of 0221 is
- $\left\{h_{1} h_{4}, h_{2} h_{3}\right\}$.


## Maximum Likelihood (I)

- Let $\mathrm{G}=\left\{\mathrm{G}_{1}, \mathrm{G}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be the set of n genotypes.
- Let $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m}$ be the set of all possible haplotypes that can resolve G.
- Let $F=\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{m}\right\}$ be the population frequency of $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m}\right\}$.
- Note: $\mathrm{F}_{1}+\mathrm{F}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}=1$
- For $x=1,2, \ldots, n$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(G_{x} \mid F\right)=\sum_{\substack{h_{i} h_{j} \text { is a } \\ \text { phased genotype } \\ \text { of } G_{x}}}\left(F_{i} \cdot F_{j}\right)
$$

## Maximum Likelihood (II)

- We would like to maximize the overall probability product of all $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, that is, the following function L .

$$
L(F)=\operatorname{Pr}(G \mid F)=\alpha \prod_{i=1 . . n} \operatorname{Pr}\left(G_{i} \mid F\right)
$$

- In principle, we can solve this equation. But there is no close form.
- Instead, we use EM algorithm.


## Formal definition of Maximum likelihood

- Given
- a set of observations $X=\left\{x_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$
- A set of parameters $\Theta$.
- The likelihood function:
- $L(\Theta)=\Pi_{i=1 . . n} \operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i} \mid \Theta\right)=\operatorname{Pr}(X \mid \Theta)$
- Aim:
- Find $\Theta^{\prime}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\ominus} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X} \mid \Theta)$

$$
=\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \Pi_{i=1 . . n} \operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i} \mid \Theta\right)
$$

## Hidden data

- $x_{i}$ is called observed data
- Each $x_{i}$ is associated with some hidden data $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
- Finding $\Theta^{\prime}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \operatorname{Pr}(X \mid \Theta)$ may be difficult.
- Moreover, finding $\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \operatorname{Pr}(X, Y \mid \Theta)$ may be easier.


## What is EM algorithm?

- EM algorithm is a popular method for solving the maximum likelihood problem.
- The idea is to alternate between
- Filling in $Y$ based on the best guess $\Theta$; and - Maximizing $\Theta$ with Y fixed.


## EM Algorithm

- Initialization: A guess at $\Theta$
- Repeat until satisfy
- E-step: Given a current fixed $\Theta^{\prime}$, compute $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{y} \mid \mathrm{x}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$
- M-step: Given $\operatorname{Pr}\left(y \mid x, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$, find $\Theta$ which maximizes $\Sigma_{\mathrm{x}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{y}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{y} \mid \mathrm{x}, \Theta^{\prime}\right) \log \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \mid \Theta)$


## Explanation of EM-algorithm (I)

- Let $\Theta^{\prime}$ be the old $\quad R\left(\Theta, \Theta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\prod_{x} \sum_{P} \operatorname{Pr}(x, y \mid \Theta)}{\prod_{x} \operatorname{Pr}\left(x \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)}$ guess.
- Maximizing $\mathrm{L}(\Theta)$ is the same as maximizing $R\left(\Theta, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$

$$
=L(\Theta) / L\left(\Theta^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\Theta, \Theta^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{\prod_{x} \sum_{y} \operatorname{Pr}(x, y \mid \Theta)}{\prod_{x} \operatorname{Pr}\left(x \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{x} \frac{\sum_{y} \operatorname{Pr}(x, y \mid \Theta)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{x} \sum_{y} \frac{\operatorname{Pr}(x, y \mid \Theta)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{x} \sum_{y} \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x, y \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\operatorname{Pr}(x, y \mid \Theta)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x, y \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{x} \sum_{y} \operatorname{Pr}\left(y \mid x, \Theta^{\prime}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x, y \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x, y \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- since $\Theta^{\prime}$ is fixed.


## Explanation of EM-algorithm (II)

- By $\mathrm{AM} \geq \mathrm{GM}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\Theta, \Theta^{\prime}\right) & =\prod_{x} \sum_{y} \operatorname{Pr}\left(y \mid x, \Theta^{\prime}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x, y \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x, y \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \geq \prod_{x} \prod_{y}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Pr}(x, y \mid \Theta)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(x, y \mid \Theta^{\prime}\right)}\right]^{\operatorname{Pr}\left(y \mid x, \Theta^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- By taking log and $\Theta^{\prime}$ is a constant, maximizing $R\left(\Theta, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is the same as maximizing $Q\left(\Theta, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
Q\left(\Theta, \Theta^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{x} \sum_{y} \operatorname{Pr}\left(y \mid x, \Theta^{\prime}\right) \log \operatorname{Pr}(x, y \mid \Theta)
$$

## Example: Genotype phasing

- $G=\left\{G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}\right\}$ which are the set of observed genotypes.
- Let $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m}\right\}$ be the set of all possible haplotypes that can resolve $G$.
- $\Theta$ is set of haplotype frequencies $\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{m}\right\}$ where $F_{x}$ is the frequency of $h_{x}$.
- Aim:
- Find $\Theta^{\prime}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{G} \mid \Theta)$


## Example: Genotype phasing

- For each genotype $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$,
- The hidden data is its phase $h_{x} h_{y}$.
- $\operatorname{Pr}\left(h_{x} h_{y}, G_{i} \mid \Theta\right)=F_{x} F_{y}$.


## Example: Genotype phasing EM algorithm

- Initialization: $F^{(0)}=\left\{F_{1}{ }^{(0)}, F_{2}{ }^{(0)}, \ldots, F_{m}{ }^{(0)}\right\}$.
- Repeat the following two steps:
- E-step:
- For every $\mathrm{G}_{x}$, estimate the phased genotype frequencies $P\left(h_{i} h_{j} \mid G_{x}, F(g)\right.$ for all $h_{i} h_{j}$ that is consistent with $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{x}}$.
- M-step:
- Based on the phased genotype frequencies, we estimate a new set $\mathrm{F}^{(\mathrm{g}+1)}$ of haplotype frequencies.


## Example: Genotype phasing E-step

- Suppose $h_{x} h_{y}$ is a phased genotype of
G.

$$
P\left(h_{x} h_{y} \mid G_{i}, F^{(9)}\right)=\frac{F_{x}^{(9)} F_{y}^{(9)}}{\sum\left\{F_{x}^{(9)} F_{y}{ }^{(9)} \mid h_{x} h_{y} \text { is a phased genotype of } G_{i}\right\}}
$$

## Example: Genotype phasing M-step

- M-step: Maximizes $\mathrm{Q}\left(\Theta, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$


$=\sum_{x}\left(\sum_{\substack { i=1 . . n \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{h_{x} h_{j} \text { is a phased } \\ \text { genotypeof } G_{i}{ i = 1 . . n \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { h _ { x } h _ { j } \text { is a phased } \\ \text { genotypeof } G _ { i } } }\end{subarray}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(h_{x} h_{y} \mid G_{i}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)\right) \log F_{x}$


## Example: Genotype phasing

## M-step

- To maximize $\Sigma_{x}\left(a_{x} \log F_{x}\right)$ such that $\Sigma_{x} F_{x}=1$
- The solution is $F_{x}=a_{x} /\left(\Sigma_{x} a_{x}\right)$ for all $x$.
- Hence, M-step is:

$$
F_{x}^{(g+1)}=\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{h_{h}, h_{h} \text { isa } \\ \text { phased denorye } \\ \text { of } \\ \hline}} \delta\left(h_{x}, h_{x} h_{y}\right) P\left(h_{x} h_{y} \mid G_{i}, F^{(g)}\right)
$$

where $\delta(\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{H})$ is the number of occurrences of h in the phased genotype H

## Example

- $\mathrm{G}=\left\{\mathrm{G}_{1}=11, \mathrm{G}_{2}=12, \mathrm{G}_{3}=22\right\}$.
- Possible haplotypes of $\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{h}_{1}=11, \mathrm{~h}_{2}=00, \mathrm{~h}_{3}=10, \mathrm{~h}_{4}=01$
- Let $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $F_{4}$ be the corresponding haplotype frequencies. (Suppose $F_{i}=0.25$ for all i.)
- $h_{1} h_{1}$ is the only possible phased genotype of $G_{1}$.
- $P\left(h_{1} h_{1} \mid G_{1}, F\right)=1$
- $h_{1} h_{3}$ is the only possible phased genotype of $G_{2}$.
- $P\left(h_{1} h_{3} \mid G_{2}, F\right)=1$
- $h_{1} h_{2}$ and $h_{3} h_{4}$ are the possible phased genotype of $G_{3}$.
- $P\left(h_{1} h_{2} \mid G_{3}, F\right)=\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right) /\left(F_{1} F_{2}+F_{3} F_{4}\right)=1 / 2$
- $P\left(h_{3} h_{4} \mid G_{3}, F\right)=\left(F_{3} F_{4}\right) /\left(F_{1} F_{2}+F_{3} F_{4}\right)=1 / 2$


## Example

- $\mathrm{G}=\left\{\mathrm{G}_{1}=11, \mathrm{G}_{2}=12, \mathrm{G}_{3}=22\right\}$. $(\mathrm{n}=3)$
- Possible haplotypes of $G: h_{1}=11, h_{2}=00, h_{3}=10, h_{4}=01$
- $P\left(h_{1} h_{1} \mid G_{1}, F\right)=1$
- $P\left(h_{1} h_{3} \mid G_{2}, F\right)=1$
- $P\left(h_{1} h_{2} \mid G_{3}, F\right)=1 / 2$
- $P\left(h_{3} h_{4} \mid G_{3}, F\right)=1 / 2$
- $F_{1}^{\prime}=\left[2 P\left(h_{1} h_{1} \mid G_{1}, F\right)+P\left(h_{1} h_{3} \mid G_{2}, F\right)+P\left(h_{1} h_{2} \mid G_{3}, F\right)\right] / 2 / n=7 / 12$
- $\mathrm{F}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{h}_{1} \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mid \mathrm{G}_{3}, \mathrm{~F}\right) / 2 / \mathrm{n}=1 / 12$
- $F_{3}^{\prime}=\left[P\left(h_{1} h_{3} \mid G_{2}, F\right)+P\left(h_{3} h_{4} \mid G_{3}, F\right)\right] / 2 / n=3 / 12$
- $\mathrm{F}_{4}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{h}_{3} \mathrm{~h}_{4} \mid \mathrm{G}_{3}, \mathrm{~F}\right) / 2 / \mathrm{n}=1 / 12$


## Phase

- When there are many heterozygous loci, EM algorithm becomes slow since there are exponential number of haplotypes.
- Phase resolves this problem. More importantly, it improves the accuracy.
- Phase is a Bayesian-based method which uses Gibbs sampling.


## Motivation (I)

- Given a set of known haplotypes
- 4's 10001
- 5's 11110
- 3's 00101
- For the ambiguous genotype 20112, two possible solutions:
(A) $\begin{aligned} & 10110 \\ & 00111\end{aligned}$
(B) $\begin{aligned} & 10111 \\ & 00110\end{aligned}$
- Which solution is better?


## Motivation (II)

- Given a set of known haplotypes
- 4's 10001
- 5's 11110
- 3's 00101

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (A) } \begin{array}{ll}
10110 & \text { (B) } 10111 \\
00111 & 00110
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

- Solution (A) is better since the two haplotypes look similar to some known high frequency haplotypes.


## Mutation model

- Given a set H of haplotypes, for any haplotype $h$, it is shown that $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{H})$ is

$$
\sum_{\alpha \in H} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{n_{\alpha}}{n}\left(\frac{\theta}{n+\theta}\right)^{s} \frac{n}{n+\theta}\left(P^{s}\right)_{\alpha h}
$$

- where
- $\mathrm{n}=|\mathrm{H}|, \theta$ is the scaled mutation rate,
- $\mathrm{n}_{\alpha}$ is the number of occurrences of haplotype $\alpha$ in H , and
- $P$ is mutation matrix
- Phase try to use Gibbs sampling to predict the haplotype phase of $G$.
- For any haplotype $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i} 2}\right)$
- $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}_{-\mathrm{i}}\right) \propto \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{H}_{-\mathrm{i}}\right) \propto \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i} 1} \mid \mathrm{H}_{-\mathrm{i}}\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i} 2} \mid \mathrm{H}_{-\mathrm{i}}\right)$


## Phase algorithm

- Initialization: Let $\mathrm{H}^{(0)}=\left\{\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{(0)}, \ldots, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(0)}\right\}$ be the initial guess of the phase haplotypes of G .

1. Uniformly randomly choose an ambiguous individual $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (i.e., individuals with more than one possible haplotype reconstruction).
2. Sample $H_{i}^{(t+1)}$ from $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}_{-i}^{(t)}\right)$, where $\mathrm{H}_{-i}$ is the set of haplotypes excluding individual i.
3. Set $H_{j}^{(t+1)}=H_{j}^{(t)}$ for $\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{j} \neq \mathrm{i}$.

## References
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- Excoffier L, Slatkin M (1995) Maximum-likelihood estimation of molecular haplotype frequencies in a diploid population. Mol Biol Evol 12:921-927. [EM algorithm]
- Stephens M, Smith NJ and Donnelly P (2001) A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. Am J Hum Genet 68:978-989. [Phase]
- Paul Scheet and Matthew Stephens (2006) A fast and flexible statistical model for large-scale population genotype data: applications to inferring missing genotypes and haplotypic phase. AmJ Hum Genet 78:629-644. [FastPhase]


## Linkage disequilibrium

## Is recombination randomly distributed on the genome?

- Recombination occurs in the evolution process.
- Is the recombination cut the genome at random position?


Mother $=$

Meiosis


## Recombination hotspot evident (I)

- Daly et al (2001) study 500kb region on chromosome 5q31
- Broken into a series of discrete haplotype blocks that range in size from 3-92kb.
- Each haplotype block corresponded to a region in which there were just a few common haplotypes (2-4 per block)
- Jeffreys et al (2001) study the class II major histocompatability complex (MHC) region from singlesperm typing.
- Most of the recombinations are restricted to narrow recombination hotspots.


## Recombination hotspot evident (II)

- Many other studies also found that recombination tends to cluster in hotspots that are roughly 102kb in length.
- For haplotype block, it can be very long (says 804kb for a haplotype block on chromosome 22). Most of the haplotype blocks are of length about 5-20kb.
- Hence, it is conjecture that
- The genome might be divided into regions of high LD that are separated by recombination hotspots.


## Correlation between recombination hotspots and genomic features

- By Li et al (AJ GH2006), a recombination hotspot is correlated with
- High G+C content
- Less repeat. In detail:
- Less L1
- More MIR, L2, and low_complexity
- Less gene region
- High DNasel hypersensitivity


## Linkage disequilibrium (LD)

- LD refers to the non-random association between alleles at two different loci.
- that is, two particular alleles can co-occur more often than expected by chance.
- There are two important LD measurements:
- D;
- D'; and
- $r^{2}$


## D

- Loci 1: either A or a $\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}}=1\right)$
- Loci 2: either $B$ or $b\left(p_{b}+p_{B}=1\right)$
- If loci 1 and 2 are independent,
- $p_{A B}=p_{A} p_{B}$
- $p_{A b}=p_{A} p_{b}$
- $p_{a B}=p_{a} p_{B}$
- $p_{a b}=p_{a} p_{b}$
- If LD presents (says, A associate with B), then
- $p_{A B}=p_{A} p_{B}+D_{1}$
- $p_{A b}=p_{A} p_{b}-D_{2}$
- $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{aB}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{D}_{3}$
- $p_{a b}=p_{a} p_{b}+D_{4}$
- We can show that $D_{1}=D_{2}=D_{3}=D_{4}=D$.
- $D$ is known as the linkage disequilibrium coefficient
- D is in the range -0.25 to 0.25 . $\mathrm{D}=0$ under linkage equilibrium


## D'

- D is highly dependent on the allele frequency and is not good for measuring the strength of LD.
- Define $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{D}_{\max }$
- where $D_{\max }$ is the maximum possible value for $D$ given $p_{A}$ and $p_{B}$.
- Note: $D_{\max }=\min \left\{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{B}}\right\}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{B}}$.
- When $\left|D^{\prime}\right|=1$, we say it is a complete LD.


## Example

- $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{Ab}, \mathrm{aB}, \mathrm{Ab}, \mathrm{ab}, \mathrm{ab}, \mathrm{ab}$.
- $p_{A B}=1 / 7, p_{A}=3 / 7$, and $p_{B}=2 / 7$.
- Hence, $D=1 / 7-3 / 7 * 2 / 7=1 / 49$.
- Given $p_{A}=3 / 7, p_{B}=2 / 7$, the max value for $p_{A B}$ $=\min \left\{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{B}}\right\}=2 / 7$. Hence, $\mathrm{D}_{\max }=2 / 7-$ $3 / 7 * 2 / 7=8 / 49$.
- Hence, $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{D}_{\max }=1 / 8$.


## $r^{2}$

- $r^{2}$ measures the correlation of two loci.
- Define $r^{2}=D^{2} /\left(p_{A} p_{a} p_{B} p_{b}\right)$.
- When $r^{2}=1$,
- If we know the allele on loci 1, we can deduce the allele on loci 2, and vice versa.
- Called perfect LD.


## Example

- $A B, A b, a B, A b, a b, a b, a b$.
- $p_{A B}=1 / 7, p_{A}=3 / 7$, and $p_{B}=2 / 7$.
- Hence, $D=1 / 7-3 / 7 * 2 / 7=1 / 49$.
- $r^{2}=(1 / 49)^{2} /(3 / 7 * 4 / 7 * 2 / 7 * 5 / 7)=$ 1/120.


## Tag SNP selection

- There are about 10 million common SNPs (SNPs with allele frequency > 1\%).
- It accounts for $\sim 90 \%$ of the human genetic variation.
- Hence, we can study the genetic variation of an individual by getting its profile for the common SNPs.
- Even though the cost of genotyping is rapidly decreasing, it is still impractical to genotype every SNP or even a large proportion of them.
- Fortunately, nearby SNPs using show strong correlation to each other (i.e. strong LD).
- It is possible to define a subset of SNPs (called tag SNPs) to represent the rest of the SNPs.


## Idea of Zhang et al PNAS 2002

- Assume the genome can be blocked so that the SNPs in each block has high LD.
- Partition the genome into blocks.
- Within each block, we select a minimum set of tag SNPs which can distinguish the haplotypes in the block.
- Aim: minimizing the total number of tag SNPs.
- I nput: a set of K haplotypes, each is described by $n$ SNPs.
- Denote $r_{i}(k)$ be the allele of the i-th SNP in the $k$-th haplotype.
- where $r_{i}(k)=0,1,2$ where 0 means missing data.
- Output: A set of blocks, each block is $r_{i} \ldots r_{j}$.
- For each block, a set of tag SNPs which can distinguish at least $\alpha \%$ of the unambiguous haplotypes (defined in the next slide).
- The total number of tag SNPs is minimized.


## Example

- (1,2,1, 2,1,0,1, 1,1,2,1)
- (1,0,1, 1,0,1,2, 1,1,0,1)
- (0,2,1, 0,1,2,1, 1,0,2,2)
- (2,1,2, 2,1,2,1, 2,2,1,2)
- (2,0,2, 1,2,1,0, 2,0,1,2)
- (2,1,0, 1,2,0,2, 1,2,2,2)
- For the above example, we may want to partition them into 3 blocks: $r_{1} . . r_{3}, r_{4} . . r_{7}, r_{8} . . r_{11}$.
- For block $r_{1} . . r_{3}$, we select $r_{1}$ as the tag SNP.
- For block $r_{4} . r_{7}$, we select $r_{4}$ as the tag SNP.
- For block $r_{8} . . r_{11}$, we select $r_{8}$ and $r_{11}$ as the tag SNPs.


## Ambiguous

- Two haplotypes in a block are compatible if the alleles are the same for all loci with no missing values.
- Example:
- $h_{1}=(1,2,0,0), h_{2}=(0,2,1,2), h_{3}=(1,2,1,1)$.
- $h_{1}$ is compatible with $h_{2}$ and $h_{3}$. However, $h_{2}$ is not compatible with $\mathrm{h}_{3}$.
- A haplotype $h$ in a block is ambiguous if $h$ is compatible with $h^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime \prime}$ but $h^{\prime}$ is not compatible with h ".
- For the above example, $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ is ambiguous in the block.


## $\operatorname{block}\left(r_{i}, \ldots, r_{j}\right)$

- Within a block, we can cluster the haplotypes into different groups,
- Each group contains unambiguous haplotypes which are compatible.
- A haplotype in a group is called common if its group is of size at least two.
- We want most of the haplotypes in a block are unambiguous.
- Formally, we define $\operatorname{block}\left(r_{i}, \ldots, r_{j}\right)=1$ if there are $>\beta \%$ common unambiguous haplotypes.


## $f\left(r_{i}, r_{j}\right)$

- We denote $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} . . \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=$ the minimum number of tag SNPs that can uniquely distinguish at least $\alpha \%$ of the common unambiguous haplotypes in the block $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \ldots \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
- Example: In the block $r_{3} . . r_{5}$, we have the following haplotypes.
- (1,1,2), (1,0,2), (1,1,0), (2,1,1), (2,1,0), (2,0,1)
- All haplotypes are unambiguous and form two groups: - $\{(1,1,2),(1,0,2),(1,1,0)\}$ and $\{(2,1,1),(2,1,0),(2,0,1)\}$
- To distinguish $100 \%$ of these haplotypes, we need 1 tag SNP, that is, $r_{3}$.


## Dynamic programming (I)

- Let $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{i})=$ minimum number of tag SNPs to uniquely distinguish at least $\alpha \%$ of the unambiguous haplotypes in $r_{1} . . r_{i}$.
- Base case:
- $S(0)=0$
- Recursive case:
- $S(i)=\min \left\{S(j-1)+f\left(r_{j} \ldots r_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i, b l o c k\left(r_{j} \ldots r_{i}\right)=1\right\}$


## Dynamic programming (II)

- In practice, there may exist several block partitions that give the minimum number of tag SNPs.
- We want to minimize the number of blocks.
- Let $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{i})=$ minimum number of blocks so that the number of tag SNPs is $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{i})$.
- We have
- $C(0)=0$;
- $C(i)=\min \{C(j-1)+1 \mid$ $\left.1 \leq j \leq i, \operatorname{block}\left(r_{j} \ldots r_{i}\right)=1, S(i)=S(j-1)+f\left(r_{j} \ldots r_{i}\right)\right\}$


# IdSelect (Carlson et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2004) 

- Aim: Among all SNPs exceeding a specified minor allele frequency (MAF) threshold, select a set of tag SNPs S such that
- For every SNP i , there exists a SNP j in S so that their $r^{2}>$ a certain threshold th.


## Algorithm IdSelect

- IdSelect is a greedy algorithm.

Algorithm I dSelect

1. Let $S$ be the set of SNPs that are above the MAF threshold.
2. Let T $=\phi$
3. While $S$ is not empty,

- Select $s \in S$ which maximizes the size of the set $\left\{s^{\prime} \in S\right.$ | $r^{2}\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)>$ th $\}$.
- $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T} \cup\{\mathrm{s}\} ;$
- $S=S-\{s\}-\left\{s^{\prime} \in S \mid r^{2}\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)>\right.$ th $\}$.


## Disadvantage of IdSelect

- Since rare SNPs are harder to link with other SNPs, IdSelect tends to include many rare SNPs as the tag SNPs,
- which is not nature.


## Reference

- Carlson, C.S., Eberle, M.A., Rieder, M.J., Yi, Q., Kruglyak, L., and Nickerson, D.A. 2004. Selecting a maximally informative set of single-nucleotide polymorphisms for association analyses using linkage disequilibrium. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 74: 106-120.
- Zhang, K., Deng, M., Chen, T., Waterman, M.S., and Sun, F. 2002. A dynamic programming algorithm for haplotype block partitioning. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 99: 7335-7339.


## Association study

## What is association study?

ACGTACCGGTCACTCGCCCACTTCAGGCATA ACGTGCCGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCCTA

Case
(Disease sample) AGGTACCGGTCACTCGCTCACTTCAGGCATA ACCTACAGGTGACTCGCTCACTTCTGGCATG ACGTACCGGTCACTCACTCTCTTCAGGCATG ACGTACCGGTCAATCGCTCACTTCAGGCATA ACCTACCGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCCTA

Control
(Normal sample)
ACGTACAGGTCACTCGCTCACTTCAGGCATA ACGTACCGGTCACACGCTCACTTTAGGAATA ACGTACCGGACACTCACTCACTTTAGGCATA GCGTACCGGTCACACACTCACTTCAGTCATA ACGTACCGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCCTA ACCTGCCGGTGACTCACTCACTTTAGGCATG ACGTACCGGTCACTCGCTCTCTTCAGGCATA ACGTACAGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCATA ACGTACCGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCATA

## Rationale for association studies

- Case: individuals with disease - Control: normal individuals



## Why association studies?

- Identify genetic variation which are correlated to disease
- Such information help to identify
- Drug target
- Disease marker
- Understand how genetic variation affects the respond to pathogens or drugs.
- Understand the different among different races.
- E.g. Why Asian has higher chance of getting Hapatitis B infection?


## Single SNP association study

- Relative risk and odds ratio
- Logistic regression


## Relative risk and odds ratio

- Let $x$ and $y$ be the two possible alleles in a loci.
- To check if Case is associate with allele $x$.
- Relative risk (RR) is [a/(a+b)] / [c/(c+d)].
- Odds ratio (OR) is ad/bc.
- The bigger the value of RR and OR, the SNP is more related to the disease.
- We use the Odds ratio to rank the SNPs.

| Actual | Allele x | Allele y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case | a | c |
| Control | b | d |

## Relative risk and odds ratio

## (II)

| Actual | Allele G | Allele A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case | 6 | 2 |
| Control | 1 | 7 |

- $R R=(6 / 7) /(2 / 9)=3.86$
- $\mathrm{OR}=\left(6^{*} 7\right) /\left(2^{*} 1\right)=21$
- Since the values are big, this SNP is highly related to the disease.

ACGTACCGGTCACTCGCCCACTTCAGGCATA ACGTGCCGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCCTA ACGTACAGGTCACTCGCTCACTTCAGGCATA ACGTACCGGTCACACGCTCACTTTAGGAATA AGGTACCGGTCACTCGCTCACTTCAGGCATA ACCTACAGGTGACTCGCTCACTTCTGGCATG ACGTACCGGTCACTCACTCTCTTCAGGCATG ACGTACCGGTCAATCGCTCACTTCAGGCATA ACCTACCGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCCTA ACGTACCGGACACTCACTCACTTTAGGCATA GCGTACCGGTCACACACTCACTTCAGTCATA ACGTACCGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCCTA ACCTGCCGGTGACTCACTCACTTTAGGCATG ACGTACCGGTCACTCGCTCTCTTCAGGCATA ACGTACAGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCATA ACGTACCGGTCACTCACTCACTTCAGGCATA

## Linear regression

| Genotype | phenotypic score |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 2.1 |
| 0 | 2.4 |
| 0 | 2.3 |
| 0 | 2.2 |
| 0 | 2.5 |
| 1 | 2.4 |
| 1 | 2.5 |
| 1 | 2.6 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 2.7 |
| 1 | 2.8 |
| 1 | 2.3 |
| 2 | 2.9 |
| 2 | 3.2 |
| 2 | 3 |

## Find the straight line which best fit the data!

$$
y=2.2415+0.3874 x+\varepsilon
$$



## Formal definition

- Given $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$
- where $x_{i}$ is the genotype of the SNP and $y_{i}$ is the phenotypic score.
- We would like to compute $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ such that
- $y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$; and
- $\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1 . . n} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1 . . n}\left(y_{\mathrm{i}}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}$ is minimized.
- $\Sigma \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}$ is called the sum of squares error (SSE).
- Denote $\hat{y}_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}$


## $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$

- By partial differentiation with respect to $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$, we can show that
$-\beta_{1}=\frac{\Sigma_{i=1 . n \mathrm{n}}\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}-\mu_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mu_{\mathrm{y}}\right)}{\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1 . . n}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mu_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}}$
- $\beta_{0}=\mu_{\mathrm{y}}-\beta_{1} \mu_{\mathrm{x}}$.
- $\mu_{x}$ and $\mu_{y}$ are the means of $x$ and $y$ respectively.


## Significant test for linear regression

- Mean sum of squares error (MSE) is $\Sigma_{i=1 . . n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{j}\right)^{2} /(n-2)$.
- Regression sum of squares (MSR) is $\sum_{i=1 . . n}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-\mu_{y}\right)^{2}$.
- MSR/MSE follows the F distribution.
- $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0, H_{1}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$
- We reject $H_{0}$ if $M S R / M S E>F_{1, n-2,0.95}$


## Example

- $\mathrm{n}=16$
- $\mu_{y}=2.55625$
- MSE $=\Sigma_{d=1 . n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2} /(n-2)$
$=0.040931$

- MSR/MSE $=30.03819$ >
$F_{1,14,0.95}=4.6$
- We reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : $\beta_{1}=0$.

| Genotype | phenotypic score |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 2.1 |
| 0 | 2.4 |
| 0 | 2.3 |
| 0 | 2.2 |
| 0 | 2.5 |
| 1 | 2.4 |
| 1 | 2.5 |
| 1 | 2.6 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 2.7 |
| 1 | 2.8 |
| 1 | 2.3 |
| 2 | 2.9 |
| 2 | 3.2 |
| 2 | 3 |

## Regression when Y is binary

- For case and control study, - Y usually has only 2 values: 0 and 1.
- In this case, we would like to fit
- $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{D})=\alpha+\beta X+\varepsilon$.
- However, such function is difficult to fit since $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{D})$ is in a narrow range $[0,1]$.


## Sigmoid function (standard logistic function)

- $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})=1 /\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{t}}\right)$
- $\mathrm{t}=0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{t})=0.5$
- $t=+\infty \rightarrow F(t)=1$
- $\mathrm{t}=-\infty \rightarrow \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})=0$

- We try to fit
- $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{D})=1 /\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-(\alpha+\beta \gamma)}\right)$
- Hence, $\operatorname{Pr}(D) /(1-\operatorname{Pr}(D))=e^{-(\alpha+\beta x)}$


## Logistic regression

$$
\log \left(\frac{\operatorname{Pr}(D)}{1-\operatorname{Pr}(D)}\right)=\alpha+\beta X
$$

- D is the disease status
- X has 3 values:
- 2 if the genotype is $x x$;
- 1 if the genotype is $x y$; and
- 0 if the genotype is yy.
- Test if $\beta=0$

