
UIT2201: CS & the IT Revolution Tutorial Set 5 (Spring 2012)

**(D-Problems discussed on Friday, 17-Feb-2012)
(Q-Problems due on Monday, 27-Feb-2012)**

Practice Problems: (not graded)

These are practice problems for you to try out. *(If you have difficulties with these practice problems, please **quickly** see your classmates or the instructor for help.)*

T5-PP1:

(a) Practice Problem 1 (Ch-3.3.2), page 89 of [SG3]

(b) Practice Problem 1 (Ch-3.3.3), page 94 of [SG3]

T5-PP2: Problem 19 of (Ch3), page 122 of [SG3].

T5-PP3: Problem 28 of Ch3, page 123 of [SG3].

Discussion Problems: -- Prepare (individually) for tutorial discussion.

T5-D0: (Running times of `Find-Max(A, n)`, `Sum(A, n)`, `Seq-Search(N, T, n, Tel)`)

Consider the algorithms `Find-Max(A, n)`, `Sum(A, n)`, `Seq-Search(N, Tel, n, NAME)` from the lecture notes and the algorithm `Count-List(A, n, X)` from T4-Q3.

Analyze each of these algorithms and show that their running time (or time complexity) is $O(n)$.

T5-D1: (Order of Growth of Functions)

Read "The Tortoise and the Hare" on p.97 and Ch-3.3.4 of [SG3]. This story compares "running an $O(n)$ algorithm on a SLOW computer" with "running an $O(n^2)$ algorithm on a very fast computer". Now, solve the following problem:

(a) [**Linear vs Quadratic**] (Variant of Problem 11 (Ch3), p.121 of [SG3])

Algorithm A has time complexity of $500n$ (*linear* in the problem size n , with a big constant of 500).

Algorithm B has time complexity of $0.05n^2$ (*quadratic* in n , with small constant of 0.05).

At *approximately* what value of n does Algorithm A become *more efficient* than Algorithm B?

(b) [**Quadratic vs Exponential**] (Variant of Problem 27 (Ch3), p.123 of [SG3])

At *approximately* what value of n does an algorithm that does $500n^2$ instructions become *more efficient* than another that does $0.05(2^n)$ instructions?

[**Hint:** We do not need the *minimum* or the *exact* value. Plot an Excel table of the two functions to see when they "cross" each other.]

T5-D2: (Analysis of Binary Search) (Variant of Problems 17, 21 p.122 of [SG3])

You are given the following 10 names to be searched using the **binary search** algorithm.

(Note: Read lecture notes on Binary Search, Section 3.4.2 of [SG3], esp. Fig 3.19 on page 108.)

Alan, Betty, Cathy, Denise, Elvin, Fish, Gail, Howard, Ivy, Jake

- (a) Draw the search tree diagram that can be used to *visualized* the **binary search** algorithm.
- (b) For each name, how many comparisons are needed for its (*successful*) search? Assuming that each name is equally likely to be searched, what is the *average* number of "name-comparisons" used in a (*successful*) search?
- (c) Which about the average number of "name-comparisons" for an *unsuccessful* search?

T5-D3: (Analysis of Sequential Search) (Variant of Problems 5 p.121 of [SG3])

You are given the following 10 names to be searched using the **sequential search** algorithm.

(See Section 3.3.1 (pp.84-89) of [SG3] and Problem 5 (p.121) of Ch. 3.)

Alan, Betty, Cathy, Denise, Elvin, Fish, Gail, Howard, Ivy, Jake

- (a) Draw the search tree diagram that can be used to *visualized* the **sequential search** algorithm.
- (b) For each name in turn, how many comparisons are needed for its *successful* search. Assuming that each name is equally likely to be searched, what is the *average* number of "name-comparisons" used in a (*successful*) search?
- (c) Which about the average number of "name-comparisons" for an *unsuccessful* search?

Problems to be Handed in for Grading by the Deadline:

(Note: Please submit *hard copy* to me. Not just soft copy via email.)

T5-Q1: (5 points) [Query Processing with Multiple Lists]

You are given information about the n students in NUS stored in four lists -- **Student-ID**, **Name**, **Major**, **Tel-Num**, each of size n . (You can assume that the respective data have already been read into the lists.)

- (a) Write an algorithm that will print out the student-id, name, and telephone number of all students whose major is "Psychology". Namely, print out **Student-ID**[k], **Name**[k], **Tel-Num**[k], for all k where **Major**[k]="Psychology".
- (b) What is the time complexity of your algorithm (in terms of n)?

T5-Q2: (5 points) [Mystery algorithm]

Given an array $A[1..n]$ of n integers, explain what the following mystery algorithm

MYSTERY($A, 1, n$) will do. Keep your answer concise.

(For simplicity, you can assume that n is an even integer and that all the n integers in A are distinct.)

```

Mystery(A,1,n); // A is an array of size n
begin
  L ← 1;    R ← n;
  while (L < R) do
    min ← FindMin(A, L, R);
    max ← FindMax(A, L, R);
    Swap(A, L, min);
    Swap(A, max, R);
    L ← L + 1;    R ← R - 1;
  endwhile
end; // Mystery

```

T5-Q3: (10 points) (Analysis of Binary Search)

You are given the following 11 sorted numbers to be searched using the **binary search** algorithm.

3, 7, 13, 21, 25, 29, 31, 36, 42, 55, 64

- (a) Draw the search tree diagram that can be used to visualize the **binary search** algorithm.
 (b) Assuming that each name is equally likely to be searched, what is the average number of comparisons used in a (successful) search?
 (c) Which about the average number of comparisons for an unsuccessful search?

T5-Q4: (10 points) (Analysis of Sequential Search)

You are given the following 11 sorted numbers to be searched using the **sequential search** algorithm.

3, 7, 13, 21, 25, 29, 31, 36, 42, 55, 64

- (a) Draw the search tree diagram that can be used to visualize the **sequential search** algorithm.
 (b) Assuming that each name is equally likely to be searched, what is the average number of comparisons used in a (successful) search?
 (c) Which about the average number of comparisons for an unsuccessful search?

T5-Q5: (15 points) [Time Complexity and How Fast They Grow]

Figure 3.27 of [SG3] gives the comparison of four different *time complexity* functions (rows), namely, $\lg n$, n , n^2 , 2^n , for four different values of n (columns), namely, $n=10, 50, 100, 1,000$.

Extend this table by inserting in

- (a) two *new rows* with time complexity functions $n \lg n$ and n^3 , and
 (b) three more columns for $n=100,000, 1,000,000$ (or 10^6), $1,000,000,000$ (or 1×10^9).

Print out the extended table with rows in *increasing* order of growth (namely, $\lg n$, then n , then $n \lg n$, then n^2 , then n^3 , then 2^n) and the columns in *increasing* value of n .

Note: To illustrate these time complexities (or orders of magnitude), we note that

- $O(\lg n)$ is the running time of binary search algorithm;
- $O(n)$ -- sequential search algorithm (also finding max/min);
- $O(n \lg n)$ -- a good sorting algorithm (eg: quicksort);
- $O(n^2)$ -- selection sort algorithm;
- $O(n^3)$ -- matrix multiplication algorithm; and
- $O(2^n)$ -- an exponential time algorithm.

A-Problems: OPTIONAL Challenging Problems for Further Exploration

A-problems are usually *advanced* problems for students who like a challenge; they are optional. There is no deadline for A-problems -- you can try them if you are interested and turn in your attempts.

A5-2012: [Largest, Smallest, 2nd-smallest and tournaments]

- (a) It is straight-forward to find the Smallest and Second-Smallest in a list $A[1..n]$ of n numbers in $(2n-3)$ comparisons. However, we can do much better than that. Give an algorithm that finds the Smallest and Second-Smallest in a list $A[1..n]$ of n numbers using at most $(n + \lg n)$ comparisons.
 (b) Give an algorithm that finds the Max and Min in a list $A[1..n]$ of n numbers using at most $1.5n$ comparisons.

[Hint: Think tournaments.]

A6-2012: (Precise Average Performance of Binary Search for large N)

(a) For the search tree for binary search on $N=2^k - 1$ elements, derive an *exact formula* for the average number of comparisons for a successful search. (You can have the answer in terms of k and N .)

(b) Now, extend your answer to all values of N .

A7-2012: (Really LARGE numbers -- how to do it!)

The running times for some entries in the table in T5-Q5 would cause overflow in your calculators -- and so, it was given as "too big to compute". Use your ingenuity (and knowledge of mathematics) to find a way (actually, also an algorithm) to compute these very big numbers with the help of calculators.

[For example, for the function $T(n)=2^n$, when $n=1000$, the running time is 3.40×10^{291} yrs.]

(Hint: John Napier, 1614)

UIT2201: CS & IT Revolution; (Spring 2012); A/P Leong HW