

Some shortcomings of Bernstein's algorithm

- ❖ **Shortcoming 1.** Bernstein's algorithm does not guarantee **reconstructibility** (or **losslessness**).

Example 3. Given R (Course#, Preq#, Cname, Cdesc) with
 $F = \{ \text{Course\#}, \text{Preq\#} \rightarrow \text{Cname}$
 $\text{Course\#} \rightarrow \text{Cname, Cdesc} \}$

Step 1 $G = \{ \text{Course\#} \rightarrow \text{Cname, Cdesc} \}$

Step 2 $H = G$

⋮

Step 6 $R_1 (\underline{\text{Course\#}}, \text{Cname, Cdesc})$

Note: We lose information about Preq#.

- ❖ **Q:** How to resolve this problem?

In fact we have $\text{Course\#} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Preq\#}$

(**Note.** It is a **multi-valued dependency**, to be discussed later. Bernstein's algorithm does not handle MVDs).

We need another relation:

$R_2 (\underline{\text{Course\#}}, \underline{\text{Preq\#}})$

❖ **Shortcoming 2.** Bernstein's algorithm does not find **all the keys**.

Example 4. Given $R (A, B, C, D)$
with $F = \{ AB \rightarrow CD, C \rightarrow B \}$
Apply the algorithm, we will get
 $R_1 (\underline{A, B}, C, D)$
 $R_2 (\underline{C}, B)$

❖ In fact, $\{A, C\}$ is also a key of R_1 .
This is called an **implicit key**.

❖ **Note:** R_1 is not in BCNF.

❖ **Note:** To find **all the keys** of a relation is **NP-complete**.

Q: What is the meaning of NP-complete? A term from complexity theory.

❖ **Shortcoming 3.** Bernstein's algorithm does **not** remove all the **superfluous attributes** (i.e. redundant attributes).

Example 5. Given $F = \{ AD \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, AB \rightarrow E, AC \rightarrow F \}$

Step 1 $G = F$

Step 2 $H = G = F$

⋮

Step 6 $R_1 (\underline{A, B}, C, D, E, F)$

$R_2 (\underline{B}, C)$

$R_3 (\underline{C}, D)$

❖ **Note:** C is **superfluous** in R_1 , but R_1 is in 3NF. However, D is not superfluous. Remove C from R_1 and get

$R'_1 (\underline{A, B}, D, E, F)$

Note: Ling & Tompa & Kameda method **removes all superfluous attributes**.

❖ **Shortcoming 4.** The set of relations produced by the algorithm depends on the **non-redundant covering** found.

Example 6. Given $F = \{AD \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, AB \rightarrow E, AC \rightarrow F, AD \rightarrow F, AC \rightarrow E\}$

Case 1 If $H = \{AD \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, AB \rightarrow E, AC \rightarrow F\}$

Then the set of relation is

$R_1 (\underline{A}, B, C, D, E, F)$

$R_2 (\underline{B}, C)$

$R_3 (\underline{C}, D)$

Case 2 If $H = \{AD \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, AB \rightarrow E, AD \rightarrow F\}$

Then the set of relations is

$R'_1 (\underline{A}, B, D, E, F)$

$R_2 (\underline{B}, C)$

$R_3 (\underline{C}, D)$

Case 3 If $\mathbb{H} = \{AD \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, AC \rightarrow F, AC \rightarrow E\}$
 Then we have

$R''_1 (\underline{A, C}, D, B, E, F)$

$R_2 (\underline{B}, C)$

$R_3 (\underline{C}, D)$

❖ **Note** that AB is a key but it is **not found** by the algorithm.

Case 4 If $\mathbb{H} = \{AD \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, AC \rightarrow E, AD \rightarrow F\}$
 Then we have

$R'''_1 (\underline{A, C}, D, B, E, F)$

$R_2 (\underline{B}, C)$

$R_3 (\underline{C}, D)$

❖ **Note** that AB is a key but it is not found by the algorithm.

Note that **Case 2** gives the **best** solution. What is the meaning?

- ❖ **Shortcoming 5.** A BCNF relation set may contain **superfluous attributes**, i.e. redundant attributes which can be removed.

Example: Given a set of relations

R_1 (Model#, Serial#, **Price**, Color)

R_2 (Model#, Name)

R_3 (Serial#, Year)

R_4 (Name, Year, **Price**)

- ❖ **Note:** All relations are in BCNF, but R_1 contains a **superfluous attribute Price**, i.e. Price can be removed from R_1 without losing any information. How to prove it?

- ❖ **Note:** 3NF and BCNF are defined for **individual relations** but **not** the **whole relational schema**.

Ref: Ling, Tompa, & Kameda method takes the **whole relational schema** into consideration and removes superfluous attributes.

Note. Some relations generated by Step 6 may have more than one key. We need to choose their preliminary key.
Why and how to choose?

❖ **Q:** Any impact on other relations after choosing primary key for some relation which has more than one key?

E.g. A database schema generated by Bernstein's Algorithm has the below relations:

Student (NRIC, S#, Name, DOB)

Course (C#, Title, Desc)

Take (NRIC, C#, Grade)

Note that Student relation has two keys, i.e. NRIC and S#. We choose S# as its preliminary key, and we also need to change NRIC in Take relation to S# and the relation Take becomes

Take (S#, C#, Grade)

Q: Why?