Oscillation Resolution for Mobile Phone Cellular Tower Data to Enable Mobility Modelling
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Abstract—One major problem of using location data collected from mobile cellular networks for mobility modelling is the oscillation phenomenon. An oscillation occurs when a mobile phone intermittently switches between cell towers instead of connecting to the nearest cell tower. For the purpose of mobility modeling, the location data needs to be cleansed to approximate the mobile device’s actual location. However, this constitutes a challenge because the mobile device’s true location is not known.

In this paper, we study the oscillation resolution problem. We propose an algorithm framework called DECRE (Detect, Expand, Check, REmove) to detect and remove oscillation logs. To make informed decisions DECRE includes four steps: Detect, to identify log sequences that may contain oscillation using a few heuristics based on the concepts of stable period and moving at impossible speed; Expand, to look before and after suspicious records to gain more information; Check, to check whether a cell tower is observed repeatedly (which is a strong indication of oscillation); and REMove, resolving oscillation by selecting a cell tower to approximate the mobile device’s actual location.

Our experimental results on travel diaries show that our oscillation resolution approach is able to remove records that are far from mobile device’s ground-truth locations, improve the quality of the location data, and performs better than an existing method. Our performance study on large scale cell tower data shows that the MapReduce implementation of our approach is able to process 1 Terabyte of cell tower data in five hours using a small cluster.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is much focus today on understanding the semantics of location logs. Many applications aim to derive insights from mobility data to understand human dynamics to support applications such as customer behaviour, location-based service delivery, urban planning and targeted marketing \cite{9,16,17}. Such data are an ideal source of information to understand human dynamics and segmented customer behavior \cite{1,10}. Direct applications include urban planning \cite{9}, targeted marketing \cite{16,17} and human mobility profiling \cite{3,4,11,13,14}.

The three main sources of location data are typically: WiFi, GPS and cellular tower data. The first two types of data require users’ engagement through connecting to a WiFi network or turning on specific applications with adequate settings. WiFi and GPS data can be as accurate as 5-10 meters. However they can only capture an incomplete picture of mobile device location because of limited WiFi coverage, GPS line of sight and battery drainage \cite{15}.

On the contrary, the cellular tower data is passive and does not require subscriber engagement \cite{7}. Whenever a mobile phone subscriber triggers an activity like making a call, the mobile phone operator (i.e., company) automatically logs the identifier of the cellular tower the mobile phone is connected to. The locations of the cellular towers can be used to approximate the mobile device’s locations, thus much richer and comprehensive location data can be obtained using cellular technologies when compared with WiFi and GPS \cite{2}.

However, due to dynamic changes in signal strength and various transmission conditions, significant noise can be observed in cellular tower data. One of the key challenges of analysing with cellular tower data is the problem of cellular tower oscillation \cite{2,3,12}. An oscillation occurs when a communication transaction oscillates between multiple cellular towers even though the mobile device is not moving. Sequences of oscillation events may be observed and this clearly introduces undesirable noise, which may potentially reduce the accuracy of the data, and ultimately limit the quality of analytics based on such data.

Addressing the oscillation problem is very challenging because the mobile device’s real location is not known. The oscillation resolution method has to make probabilistic inferences of where the mobile device roughly is, based on observed logs associated with the cellular towers, and then use that to detect and remove oscillation logs.

In contrast with existing methods that rely on semantic tags (that need to be contributed by external parties) of the cellular towers and merge the cellular towers into clusters to represent mobile device location \cite{2,12}, we want to design oscillation resolution techniques and strategies that do not rely on other data sources and use cellular tower location (rather than cellular clusters) to represent the mobile device location. Our techniques are designed for logs data collected by cellular towers rather than data collected on mobile devices.

We design an algorithm called DECRE to detect and resolve oscillation. The algorithm consists of four steps called Detect, Expand, Check, and REMove.

In the Detect step we find sequences of logs that contain oscillations. We propose a few heuristics to detect such sequences of logs. The heuristics are based on the notions of stable period and moving at impossible speed. A stable period is a duration when the mobile phone is consistently communicating through one cellular tower. It strongly indicates that the mobile device is close to that cellular tower during that period. Moving at impossible speed means that a mobile device
cannot travel very far in a very short period of time (e.g., travel 5 km in one minute).

Some of the detected sequences are quite short and do not contain enough information for making informed decision. We therefore introduce an Expand step where we look before and after the sequence until certain conditions are satisfied. Then in the Check step we test whether the expanded sequence contains logs that switches quickly between cellular towers which is a strong indication of oscillation. Finally the Remove step selects a cellular tower to represent the mobile device’s location for the detected sequence and removes the oscillation logs.

The contributions of this paper are:

- We study the challenging problem of oscillation resolution in mobile phone cellular tower data.
- We propose oscillation resolution techniques based on the ideas of stable period and moving at impossible speed (defined in section IV), as well as an algorithm called DECRE that is able to detect and resolve various kinds of oscillation.
- We study the performance of our techniques and strategies on user travel diaries. Results show that our method successfully filtered out data points which were significantly erroneous, in the sense that those data points were 2 to 8 times more distant from the ground truth locations when compared with non-filtered data. To our knowledge this paper is the first work that uses travel diaries to study the performance of an oscillation resolution technique.
- We confirm the scalability of our techniques through applying a MapReduce implementation on 1 Terabyte of cellular tower data.

For this research project, a sample dataset of 3 months mobile network data is used. Mobile network data is the service log when a mobile phone is connected to the mobile network. It contains anonymised ID, latitude, longitude, TimeStamp and service type. The anonymised ID is a machine generated ID via a two-step non-reversible AES Encryption and Hashing process. Hence it is impossible to trace back to the original ID. There is no personal information about mobile subscribers in the dataset, nor any content of calls or SMSs. The location information in the dataset is mobile cell tower’s location.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section II we survey the related work. We introduce the cellular tower data in Section III. We present our ideas and algorithms in Section IV. Section V shows the results of our performance study. We finally conclude this paper in Section VI.

II. RELATED WORK

The problem of cell oscillation is to some extent related to the filtering of trajectory data from noisy GPS traces. For GPS trajectories approaches such as Mean and Median Filters, Kalman filter or particle filters [5], [8] are usually applied depending on the nature of the data and requirements of the output. A discussion of such approaches for GPS data can be found in [18]. However, cellular tower data are usually sparser both in time as well as geographically and thus more specific approaches are required.

There is a small amount of work that focus on the cell oscillation problem. In [2] Murat Ali Bayir et al. propose a framework for discovering mobile user profiles from mobile phone data. As part of this framework a cell clustering method is proposed to deal with cell oscillations in mobility paths. The method creates clusters by using majority voting over the location tags of its cellular towers. For untagged cellular towers, the frequency of these towers oscillating pairs is calculated. If a cellular tower pair (without order) appears at least three times in a mobility path, it is regarded as an oscillating pair and the two cells are put into a cluster. In Section V we compare our technique with this method.

The resolution of cell oscillation is also investigated in [12]. The method relies on semantic tag of locations that is used to identify the cellular tower locations which normally overlapped to the same semantic location. This can address the cell oscillation problem for cellular towers appearing at the same semantic location within a short period of time, where the pairs most likely represent a switching due to load balancing or handover effect. For cellular towers not semantically tagged, their method makes use of Location Area Code and cellular tower’s radius information to cluster the cellular towers. If the distance between the pairs is less than the sum of their coverage radius and the stay time is less than a threshold time then the cells are clustered together. We do not compare with this method in experimental study because we do not have cellular tower’s Location Area Code and radius information.


Another work that is closely related to this work is [3]. In [3], clustering of consecutive records is used to identify minor oscillations. In particular, a sequence of records is regarded as a cluster if the maximum spatial distance between any two records in it is smaller than a threshold (e.g., 1 km). After the clustering, the centroid of the points in a cluster is used to represent the location of the cluster.

What distinguishes our work from them is that we limit our methods to the spatial and temporal information that is readily available such as the location of the cellular towers and the time stamps of the logs. We do not want to rely on data sources that are hard to collect and verify (such as semantic tags provided by users) or unreliable information (such as cellular tower coverage radius). Furthermore, since the output of our cleansing method needs to be fed into further analysis steps that assume a fixed set of cellular tower locations, our method cannot introduce new locations such as clusters of cellular towers. In other words, our method is designed for cellular tower logs collected passively and we need to meet practical constraints such as efficiency and output format.

III. CELLULAR TOWER DATA AND OSCILLATION

The mobile phone cellular tower data include subscribers’ mobile device activity logs. Each log in the sequence contains the time of the cellular event (e.g., making/receiving a call, sending/receiving a SMS) and the identifier of the cellular tower that the mobile phone is connected to when that event happens. Each cellular tower has a unique identifier and its location (i.e., latitude and longitude) is known. By joining
We design an algorithm called DECRE (Detect, Expand, Check, and Remove) to find and remove oscillation logs. The DECRE algorithm has four steps. In the first “Detect” step we use four heuristics to find log sequences that contain oscillation logs. For some of the sequences we then use an “Expand” process to consider what are observed before and after the suspicious sequence. In the “Check” step we test whether the logs contain a cycle (defined in Section IV-C) that is a strong indication of oscillation. If the suspicious sequence is confirmed to contain oscillation logs, we delete the oscillation logs with a “Remove” step.

A. Detect

We use four heuristics to detect log sequences that may contain oscillation logs. Our heuristics are based on two concepts called stable period and moving at impossible speed.

1) Stable Period: A stable period is a time frame that is long enough and the mobile device continuously communicates with one cellular tower.

One of our fundamental understandings of the cellular tower data is that an individual log only tells us that the mobile device is within the coverage of that cellular tower at that particular time point. A single log is not enough for us to assert that the mobile device is close to the location of the cellular tower. However, if a mobile device continuously communicates with one cellular tower in a period that is long enough, it is very likely that the mobile device is close to that cellular tower.

Based on this, our first idea is to find a sequence of continuous logs that are associated with a same cellular tower.

Definition 1: given a sequence of log \( R_1 \) to \( R_n \) of a mobile device ordered by datetime, the same-cell sequences are the continuous sequences of logs where the cellular tower is the same, i.e., \( R_1.cid = R_2.cid = ... = R_n.cid \). The duration of a same-cell sequence is the time duration from the time in the first log to the time in the last log of the same-cell sequence, i.e., TimeDiff(\( R_1 \), \( R_n \)).

Figure 2 is an illustration of same-cell sequences in a sequence of logs. In this example there are 18 logs and four same-cell sequences are observed, namely SC1, SC2, SC3, and SC4. The first same-cell sequence SC1 contains three logs associated with cellular tower C1. The second same-cell sequence SC2 contains four logs associated with cellular tower C1. The third same-cell sequence SC3 contains two logs associated with cellular tower C4, and the fourth same-cell sequence SC4 contains four logs associated with cellular tower C7. Note that although both SC1 and SC2 contain logs with C1 they are separated by a log with C2.

IV. DECRE ALGORITHM

Fig. 2: Illustration of same-cell sequences and stable periods. SC1, SC2, SC3, and SC4 are the same-cell sequences. SP1, SP2, and SP3 are stable periods.

Definition 2: if the time duration of a same-cell sequence is long enough (e.g., longer than a threshold \( L \) such as 10 minutes), we call such a same-cell sequence as stable period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( C_j )</td>
<td>cellular tower ( j ) where ( j ) is the identifier of the cellular tower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_j.lat )</td>
<td>latitude of the cellular tower ( C_j )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_j.lon )</td>
<td>longitude of the cellular tower ( C_j )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_i )</td>
<td>log ( i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_i.cid )</td>
<td>cell id of log ( i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_i.t )</td>
<td>date of time log ( i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance((C_j, C_k))</td>
<td>spatial distance between cell towers ( C_j ) and ( C_k )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TimeDiff((R_i, R_j))</td>
<td>time difference between ( R_i.t ) and ( R_j.t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance((R_i, R_j))</td>
<td>spatial distance between the cell towers in log ( R_i ) and log ( R_j )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed((R_i, R_j))</td>
<td>( \frac{Distance(R_i, R_j)}{TimeDiff(R_i, R_j)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>a stable period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP_i.cid</td>
<td>cell id of the logs in stable period ( SP_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP_i.first</td>
<td>the first log in a stable period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP_i.last</td>
<td>the last log in a stable period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For example, in the four same-cell sequences of Figure 2 we may find three stable periods, shown as SP1, SP2, and SP3. Note that SC3 is not a stable period because its time duration is not long enough.

2) moving at impossible speed: Impossible movements are observed when the spatial distance between consecutive logs are too far for the mobile device to travel in the time duration between the logs.

Through visual analytics we notice that there are many instances where the mobile phone suddenly connects to a cellular tower that is very far from whether the mobile device is. Figure 3 shows an example of such event that happened when a mobile device moves from east to west by a train\(^1\). There was a sudden jump from cellular tower 254 (at time 18:50:16) to 477 (at time 18:54:20) and then jumps to cellular tower 1608 (at time 18:55:58). Clearly, an oscillation happened in this example. In fact, we observe many such cases when we visualize the data.

![Image](319x78 to 571x602)

**Fig. 3:** An example where moving at impossible speed is observed.

Cellular identifiers are removed to make room for distance, time, and speed information.

Another kind of moving at impossible speed is observed in log sequences where a mobile device has several logs from different cellular towers in just one minute or even a few seconds. For example, in Figure 1 we observe five logs in the one minute of 09:32 associated with four cellular towers (C4, C5, C3 and C6). A specific heuristic (heuristic 4) is designed to capture such sequences.

3) Heuristics: Based on the concepts of stable period and moving at impossible speed we design the following four heuristics to detect log sequences that exhibit moving at impossible speed and therefore contain oscillation logs.

**Heuristic 1**

If two consecutive stable periods’ cell is the same and the time difference between them is short enough (e.g., shorter than a threshold \(L_1 T = 2 \) minutes), the logs between the two stable periods are very likely due to oscillation. Let \(SP_i\) and \(SP_{i+1}\) be two two consecutive stable periods, the condition in this heuristic can be expressed as

\[
(SP_{i,cid} == SP_{i+1,cid})
\]

\(^1\)We know the ground truth for this example because the data were contributed by one of the authors.

**Heuristic 2**

If shortly after a stable period there is a log whose cell is far away from the stable period’s cell, that log is very likely due to oscillation. Let \(R_i\) be an immediate log after stable period \(SP_i\), let \(L_2 T\) and \(L_2 D\) be two thresholds for time and distance, the condition in this heuristic can be expressed as

\[
(TimeDiff(SP_{i, last}, R_i) < L_2 T)
\]

AND

\[
(Distance(SP_{i, last}, R_i) > L_2 D)
\]

The intuition behind this heuristic is that the stable period tells us that the mobile device is close to the cellular tower in \(SP_i\), and that the time between the stable period and the log is not enough for the mobile device to travel to a location that is close to the cellular tower of \(R_i\).

Although it seems we can combine the conditions as \(Distance(SP_{i, last}, R_i)/TimeDiff(SP_{i, last}, R_i) > L_2 D/L_2 T\) and use a threshold of speed to replace \(L_2 D/L_2 T\), we do not do so for the following reason. Time difference can be very small, and therefore the derived speed can be very large even when distance is actually small. Such derived speed can be misleading. For example, if \(Distance(SP_{i, last}, R_i)\) is 200 meters and \(TimeDiff(SP_{i, last}, R_i)\) is 1 second, this will result in a speed of 720 km/h. If we use speed as threshold, such log will be regarded as oscillation. But in reality such log is possible when the mobile device enters the coverage of a new cellular tower. By using thresholds on time and distance we avoid removing many false positives.

Figure 5 shows an example of applying this heuristic. The time difference between stable period \(SP_3\) and the log of \(C8\) is very short (e.g., 1 minute) but the distance between \(C7\) and \(C8\) is very far (e.g., 5 KM), according to the heuristic we are confident that the log of \(C8\) is due to oscillation.

![Image](319x78 to 571x131)

**Fig. 4:** Illustration of stable period based heuristic 1.

**Fig. 5:** Illustration of stable period based heuristic 2.
Heuristic 3

This heuristic is designed to capture the long jumps illustrated in Figure 3. Based on the knowledge of domain experts and also our insights gained from visual analytics of the data, we know that such oscillation typically happens in a sequence of three logs. The second log suddenly jumps to a cellular tower that is far away from the cellular tower in the first log, and the third log jumps back to a log which is the same as (or close to) the first log.

Formally the heuristic for capturing such oscillation logs can be expressed as follows where \( V \) is a threshold for speed and \( L_3 \) is a threshold for distance.

\[
(Speed(R_i, R_{i+1}) > V) \land (Speed(R_{i+1}, R_{i+2}) > V) \land (Distance(R_i, R_{i+1}) > L_3) \land (Distance(R_{i+1}, R_{i+2}) > L_3) \land (Distance(R_i, R_{i+2}) < L_3/2)
\]

Note that we need the conditions on distance to make sure a long distance jump happened. It is a very strong evidence of oscillation. As explained in heuristic 2, condition on speed itself is not enough because the computed speed can be misleading if the time difference between two logs is extremely short (e.g., a few seconds).

We also notice that sometimes the time difference between two logs can be reasonably long and it makes the computed speed looks normal although a long distance oscillation happened. For instance, in Figure 3 the speed from cell 254 to cell 477 is 163km/h which is not very odd. However the speed from cell 477 to cell 1608 is close to 500km/h. We capture such cases by changing the condition to the following expression.

\[
(Speed(R_i, R_{i+1}) \ast Speed(R_{i+1}, R_{i+2}) > V \ast V) \land (Distance(R_i, R_{i+1}) > L_3) \land (Distance(R_{i+1}, R_{i+2}) > L_3) \land (Distance(R_i, R_{i+2}) < L_3/2)
\]

Heuristic 4

Most of the oscillations happen in a short period of time and they are not adjacent to any stable period. They exhibit moving at impossible speed but do not satisfy heuristic 3 because the distance between cellular towers in consecutive logs is not long enough. Such oscillations typically happen among cellular towers that are close to each other.

We use the following criterion to identify such sequences: within a short period of time (e.g., \( a = 1 \) minutes) there are at least a few (e.g., \( b = 3 \)) logs from at least a few (e.g., \( c = 2 \)) cellular tower. We call a continuous sequence of logs satisfying the above condition as suspicious sequence identified using parameters \( a, b, \) and \( c \).

Note that not all suspicious sequences identified by this heuristic contain oscillation logs. We understand such sequences are possible and can be even reasonable when the mobile device is moving fast (e.g., driving on highway). We use the “Expand” and “Check” steps to find suspicious sequences that do contain oscillation logs. We ensure we only remove logs that we are confident that they are oscillations.

B. Expand

By running the heuristic 4 on cellular tower data we discover that most of the suspicious sequences contain 3 or 4 logs, and typically 2 or 3 cellular towers are involved. Since such sequences contain only one or two logs from each cellular tower and all happen in a short period of time, hence the logs in such suspicious sequences do not contain enough information to determine which of the cellular towers best represent the mobile device’s location. So we decide to look before and after the suspicious sequence to gain more information (or evidence). We call this step “Expand”.

Given a suspicious sequence detected using time window \( a \) (minute) before and after the suspicious sequence and limit it to the cellular tower that appeared in the suspicious sequence is that oscillation is typically a short term event (e.g., due to load balancing of the cellular network) and we want to focus on the cells that are involved in the suspicious sequence.

The reason we limit the “Expand” process to \( a \) minute(s) before and after the suspicious sequence and limit it to the cellular tower that appeared in the suspicious sequence is that oscillation is typically a short term event (e.g., due to load balancing of the cellular network) and we want to focus on the cells that are involved in the suspicious sequence.

After the “Expand” step the suspicious sequence typically will have a few more logs, but still from the same set of cellular towers. As a result, we have more reliable information to decide on which cellular tower probably best approximates the mobile device’s location.

C. Check

From the domain experts we also find out that an important characteristic (or evidence) of oscillation is that cycle of cellular towers is often observed in a short period of time. Here a cycle is defined as a continuous sequence of logs whose first log and last log have the same cellular tower and there is at least one log from other cellular tower between them. For example, a sequence of log C1C2C1 exhibits the cycle from C1 to C2 and then back to C1. On the contrary, C1C1C2 does not contain a cycle.

For each suspicious sequence identified by heuristic 4 and expanded by the “Expand” process, the “Check” step tests whether the sequence contains a cycle of events. If it has a cycle, we confirm that there is oscillation in the sequence. Otherwise we claim that the suspicious sequence is due to fast movement and will not remove the logs from it. For example, when a mobile device drives through an area with high density of cellular towers, it is possible to observe a few logs from a few cellular towers in a short period of time. Basically, this “Check” step ensures that we only remove oscillation logs for which we have enough evidence (i.e., a cycle is observed in a very short period of time).

D. Remove

For the oscillations detected by heuristics 1, 2, and 3, it is clear which logs are oscillation and they should be removed. However, for the suspicious sequences identified by heuristic 4 and further confirmed with the Expand and Check steps, we need to decide which logs in the sequence are oscillation logs and which cellular tower should be used to represent the location of the mobile device for this sequence.

We design a score based algorithm to select the cellular tower to approximate the location of the mobile device. Each cellular tower contained in the suspicious sequence gets a score based on its frequency in the sequence and its average distance to other cells appeared in the sequence. We want to favor the cellular tower that appears frequently in the sequence and is close to other cells.
After determining the cellular tower that will be used to represent the mobile device’s location, we remove the logs from other cells in the suspicious sequence. Basically they are regarded as oscillation logs. Algorithm 1 lists the details of this remove process.

**Data:** oscillation sequence

**Result:** a sequence of logs where oscillation logs are removed

\[
C = \{ \text{the set of cells in the sequence} \}
\]

**for each cell** \(c\) in \(C\) **do**

\[
F_c = \text{the number of times } c \text{ appears in the oscillation sequence};
\]

\[
D_c = \text{the average distance from } c \text{ to cells in } C - \{c\};
\]

\[
Score_c = F_c / D_c
\]

**end**

\[
C_h = \text{the cell with the highest score};
\]

**remove logs whose cells are not** \(C_h\);

**return logs**

**Algorithm 1:** Remove oscillation logs

Figure 6 shows an example where a suspicious sequence C9C10C9C11C9C11 is identified and it contains cycles (i.e., C9C10C9, C9C11C9, and C11C9C11). Figure 7 shows the relative locations of the cells involved in this sequence. The distance between C9 and C10 is 0.9 KM. The distance between C9 and C11 is also 0.9 KM. The distance between C10 and C11 is 0.1 KM. They are in a busy commercial area where density of cellular towers is high.

The algorithm counts the number of times each cell appears and gets \(F_c=3, F_{c10}=1, F_{c11}=2\). Then it calculates the average distance from each cell to other cells. Since distance(C9, C10) = 0.9, distance(C9, C11) = 0.9, distance(C10, C11) = 0.1, we get \(D_{c9}=(9+9)/2=0.9\), \(D_{c10}=(9+1)/2=0.5\), \(D_{c11}=(9+1)/2=0.5\). Then the score for each cell is calculated as \(Score_{c9}=3/0.9\), \(Score_{c10}=1/0.5\), and \(Score_{c11}=2/0.5\). As a result, cell C11 is selected as the mobile device location for this oscillation sequence.

**E. Discussion**

The DECRE algorithm is designed in a modular manner so that each step of the algorithm can be replaced with a new algorithm in the future. For example, the heuristics in the “Detect” step can be replaced with more sophisticated detection criterion. As another example, if the radius of the cells are known, we can design a new REmove algorithm by taking that into account and then plug the new REMove algorithm to the DECRE algorithm.

**V. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

In this section we describe the experiments we performed to study the effectiveness and efficiency of our oscillation resolution techniques. For effectiveness, we want to see whether the records after oscillation resolution approximate the mobile device’s true trajectory better than the original data. For efficiency we want to find out whether the methods can handle Big data. We report results on both travel diaries and large scale cellular data. We also compare our technique to the oscillation resolution method used in [2]. The comparison study is presented in Section V-C2.

Due to space limit we do not report the results of experiments that study the effects of the heuristic parameters. The results reported below are based on parameters values usually used in the examples in Section IV-A3.

**A. Performance Metric**

The performance metric we use to measure effectiveness of the methods is the distance between location in cellular data and the mobile device’s true location at corresponding time.

Recall that each cellular tower log \(LOG_i\) contains the time information \(LOG_{i,t}\). Suppose we have the true location of the mobile device at time \(LOG_{i,t}\) and denote it as \(LOG_{i,t}\), we can compare the location in \(LOG_i\) to \(LOG_{i,t}\) and calculate the distance between them as \(distance(\text{LOG}_{i,t}, \text{LOG}_{i,t})\).

Given a set of cellular tower records of a mobile device and the ground-truth locations at corresponding times, we define the average distance between locations in cellular records and corresponding true locations as the measure of how the records approximate the mobile device’s real mobility trace. Formally, suppose \(N\) is the number of records, the performance metric is

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} distance(\text{LOG}_{i,t}, \text{LOG}^t_{i,t}) = \frac{N}{N} = 1
\]

Recall that our methods remove some of the cellular tower records that are regarded as oscillation records. Let us use \(LOG_{original}\) and \(LOG_{cleansed}\) to denote the records before and after oscillation resolution, respectively. We use \(LOG_{removed}\) to denote the records that are removed. Thus we have \(LOG_{cleansed} = LOG_{original} - LOG_{removed}\).

We compute the performance metric for \(LOG_{original}\), \(LOG_{cleansed}\), and \(LOG_{removed}\). We can conclude that our methods are effective if

- \(LOG_{cleansed}\) is closer to the ground-truth than \(LOG_{original}\); and
- \(LOG_{removed}\) is much farther away from ground-truth than both \(LOG_{original}\) and \(LOG_{cleansed}\) are.

**B. Data Collection and Correction**

Since we compare the locations in the cellular records to the actual locations of the subjects at the corresponding
Fig. 8: Average distance (in meters) from records to subject’s true locations.

Fig. 9: Average distance (in meters) from cleansed records to subject’s true locations. The shorter, the better.

timestamps, we need to have the actual locations of the subjects as the ground-truth for comparison.

Initially, we asked a number of subscribers to collect GPS data as ground-truth. However, GPS data can only be collected when the mobile phone is outdoor. GPS data are also very unreliable when the mobile device is in a bus or a train. As a result, very limited amount of GPS data (in terms of time) could be collected.

Consequently, we decided to ask the subjects to manually correct their cellular tower data as their travel diaries rather than trying to collect GPS data. For each point in the cellular data (that corresponds to a cellular tower location at a time point) the subject checks whether that point is close to his/her real location at that time point based on his memory and journal. If they do not match well, the subject simply changes (by dragging on a map) the location in the cellular log to the actual location. Therefore, after this process we obtained a trace with ground-truth locations and timestamps matching the original cellular tower trace.

A number of subjects help in correcting their cellular records collected for a period of 2 weeks. The traces include a wide range of activities such as staying at home, working at office, commuting, visiting shopping malls, transportation hubs, airport, theme parks, etc.

C. Results on Travel Diaries

1) Performance of DECRE algorithm: Figure 8 shows the distance (in meters) between different sets of records and the ground-truth for four randomly selected subjects. Each bar represents the distance between the records previously defined and the ground-truth. Note that different subject’s records exhibit different characteristics. For example, subject U1’s records generally approximate the real locations quite well. The records removed from his/her records are very far from the real locations. Such characteristics are subject dependent because they depend on where the subject lives and works and where he/she went during the two weeks.

We observe that the records in the cleansed set is closer to the ground-truth than the original records. Overall we gain about 10% improvement in terms of average distance. The reason it is not so significant is that most original records are not removed as oscillation records. This is as expected because most of the time our mobile phones are connected to the nearest cellular towers. Only about 5% of records are removed, therefore the average distance to ground-truth won’t be affected significantly.

Comparing the removed records to the cleansed records, we observe that the removed records are much further away from true locations. For subjects U2, U3 and U4, the removed records are about 2-3 times further away than cleansed records. For subject U1, the removed records are even 10 times further away than cleansed records. Removing these noisy points (although the percentage of them is not very high) is very important for studying subject’s mobility trace.

Using annotated data we confirm that our methods can effectively identify oscillation records and remove them. As a result, the records after cleansing can approximate the subject’s true trajectory better. In particular, the misleading records that are far away from true locations are removed.

2) Comparison to Existing Method: We also compare our technique to the oscillation resolution method used in [2]. It first clusters the cellular towers based on subject’s semantic tags, then detects oscillation cellular tower pairs without tags based on the number of times each cellular tower pair appears together. Since our dataset does not have semantic tags, we implement the second step of that method which handles towers without semantic tags. We use “PairFrequency” to refer to this method. Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the results.

Figure 9 shows the average distances from the datasets to the ground-truth. For each experimental subject we show distances from three datasets: the original, after DECRE resolves oscillation, and after PairFrequency resolves oscillation. The shorter the distances, the better. We can see the DECRE performs much better than PairFrequency. Its improvement is about two times of that of PairFrequency.

Figure 10 shows the average distances from the records removed by Human, DECRE and PairFrequency to the ground-truth. The larger the distances, the better. We can see that Human performs the best because they remember where they were and therefore they can easily identify the noisy points. DECRE performs better than PairFrequency. The points removed by DECRE are farther away from the ground-truth than the ones removed by PairFrequency.

Figure 9 and Figure 10 show that comparing to PairFrequency our algorithm DECRE is able to retain the points closer to the ground-truth and remove the points farther away from the ground-truth, without knowing the ground-truth.
large scale cellular location dataset and show that our program is able to clean 1 Terabyte data in a few hours.

In the future, we plan to use the cleaned data in mobility modeling. In particular, the data will be used to identify important locations, to detect transport mode, and to build movement prediction models.
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