Action Selection in Continuous State and Action Spaces by Cooperation and Competition of Extended Kohonen Maps

Kian Hsiang Low Inst. Engineering Science National University of Singapore 7 Engineering Drive 1 Singapore 119260, Singapore ieslkh@nus.edu.sg Wee Kheng Leow Dept. Computer Science National University of Singapore 3 Science Drive 2 Singapore 117543, Singapore leowwk@comp.nus.edu.sg Marcelo H. Ang Jr. Dept. Mechanical Engineering National University of Singapore 10 Kent Ridge Crescent Singapore 119260, Singapore mpeangh@nus.edu.sg

ABSTRACT

This paper presents an action selection framework based on an assemblage of self-organizing neural networks called *Cooperative Extended Kohonen Maps.* This framework encapsulates two features that significantly enhance a robot's action selection capability: self-organization in the continuous state and action spaces to provide smooth, efficient and fine motion control; action selection via the cooperation and competition of Extended Kohonen Maps to achieve more complex motion tasks. Qualitative tests demonstrate the capability of our action selection method for both singleand multi-robot motion tasks.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

I.2 [Computing Methodologies]: Artificial Intelligence; I.2.6 [Artificial Intelligence]: Learning—connectionism and neural nets; I.2.9 [Artificial Intelligence]: Robotics

General Terms

Algorithms, Design, Experimentation, Performance

Keywords

action selection, motion control, neural networks

1. INTRODUCTION

A central issue in the design of behavior-based control architectures for autonomous agents is the formulation of effective action selection mechanisms (ASMs) to coordinate the behaviors. This paper describes a neural network-based ASM for autonomous non-holonomic mobile robots. Our motivation is to develop a motion control strategy that can perform distributed multi-robot surveillance in unknown,

Copyright is held by the author/owner.

Proc. 2nd AAMAS'03, pages 1056-7, July 14-18, 2003, Melbourne, Australia.

ACM 1-58113-6683-8/03/0007.

dynamic, and unpredictable environments. By implementing the ASM using an assemblage of self-organizing neural networks, it induces the following key features that significantly enhance the agent's action selection capability: *selforganization of continuous state and action spaces* to provide smooth, efficient and fine motion control, and *action selection via the cooperation and competition of Extended Kohonen Maps* to achieve more complex motion tasks.

2. ACTION SELECTION FRAMEWORK

Our proposed ASM, termed *Cooperative Extended Koho*nen Maps (EKMs), is implemented by connecting an ensemble of EKMs. An EKM extends the Kohonen Self-Organizing Map [1]. Besides encoding a set of input weights that selforganize in the sensory input space, the neurons also produce outputs that vary with the incoming sensed inputs. Our implementation extends the work of [2] by connecting several EKMs to form cooperative EKMs. These neural networks cooperate and compete to produce an appropriate motor action for the robot to approach targets, negotiate unforeseen, possibly concave, obstacles, and keep away from robot kins when it is tracking moving targets (Fig. 1).

Our ASM framework consists of four types of EKMs: target localization, obstacle localization, robot kin localization, and motor control EKMs. In the presence of a target, the neurons in the target localization EKM, which encodes target location in the local sensory input space \mathcal{U}' , are activated (Fig. 1a). A *target field* with the shape of an elongated Gaussian is produced (Fig. 1b) such that the neurons at and near the target location have the strongest activities. The elongated target field is crucial to the robot's avoidance of small concave obstacles.

Similarly, the presence of an obstacle activates neurons in the obstacle localization EKMs. The neurons in these EKMs at and near the obstacle locations will be activated to produce *obstacle fields* (Fig. 1c). These obstacle fields are stretched along the obstacle directions such that neurons beyond the obstacle locations are also inhibited to indicate inaccessibility. *Robot kin fields* are activated in a similar way in the robot EKMs in the presense of robot kins.

In activating the motor control EKM, the obstacle fields are subtracted from the target field (Fig. 1d). If the target lies within the obstacle fields, the activation of the motor control EKM neurons close to the target location will be suppressed. Consequently, another neuron at a location that is not inhibited by the obstacle fields becomes most highly



Figure 1: Cooperative EKMs. (a) In response to the target \oplus , the nearest neuron (black dot) in the target localization EKM (ellipse) of the robot (gray circle) is activated. (b) The activated neuron produces a target field (dotted ellipse) in the motor control EKM. (c) Three of the robot's sensors detect obstacles and activate three neurons (crosses) in the obstacle localization EKMs, which produce the obstacle fields (dashed ellipses). (d) Subtraction of the obstacle fields from the target field results in the neuron at \triangle to become the winner in the motor control EKM, which moves the robot away from the obstacle.



Figure 2: (a-d) Motion of robot (gray) in an environment with two unforeseen obstacles (black) moving in anticlockwise circular paths. The robot successfully negotiated past the extended walls and the moving obstacles to reach the goal (small black dot). (e) Motion of robot (dark gray) in an environment with unforeseen static obstacles (light gray). The robot successfully navigated through the checkpoints (small black dots) located at the doorways to reach the goal.

activated (Fig. 1d). This neuron produces a control parameter that moves the robot away from the obstacle. While the robot moves around the obstacle, the target and obstacle localization EKMs are continuously updated with the current locations and directions of the target and obstacles. Their interactions with the motor control EKM produce fine and smooth motion control of the robot to negotiate the obstacle and reach the target. In the case of multi-robot tracking of multiple targets, multiple target fields and robot kins fields are activated. The robots act like highly repulsive obstacles to other robots, thus separating them from each other.

3. EXPERIMENTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Three qualitative tests were conducted to demonstrate the capabilities of cooperative EKMs in performing complex motion tasks. The experiments were performed using Webots, an embodied simulator for Khepera mobile robots, which incorporated 10% noise in its sensors and actuators.

The environment for the first test consisted of three rooms connected by two doorways (Fig. 2(a)-(d)). The middle room contained two obstacles moving in anticlockwise circular paths. The robot began in the left-most room and was tasked to move to the right-most room. Test results show that the robot was able to negotiate past the extended walls and the dynamic obstacles to reach the goal.

The environment for the second test consisted of three rooms connected by two doorways with unforeseen static obstacles (Fig. 2(e)). The robot began in the top corner of the left-most room and was tasked to move into the narrow corner of the right-most room via checkpoints plotted by a planner. The robot was able to move through the checkpoints to the goal by traversing between narrowly spaced unforeseen convex obstacles in the first and the last room, and overcoming an unforeseen concave obstacle in the mid-



Figure 3: Cooperative tracking of moving targets. When the targets were moving out of the robots' sensory range, the robot below decided to track the targets moving to the bottom left while the robot above responded by tracking the targets moving to the top right. In this way, all targets could still be observed by the robots.

dle room. This result further confirms the effectiveness of cooperative EKMs in handling complex, unpredictable environments.

The third test (Fig. 3) illustrates how two robots endowed with cooperative EKMs cooperate to track four moving targets. When the targets were moving out of the robots' sensory range, the robot below chose to track the two targets moving to the bottom left while the robot above responded by tracking the two targets moving to the top right. In this manner, all targets could be observed by the robots. This test shows that the two robots can cooperate to track multiple moving targets without communicating with each other.

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by NUS R-252-000-018-112.

5. **REFERENCES**

- T. Kohonen. Self-Organizing Maps. Springer, New York, 3rd edition, 2000.
- [2] K. H. Low, W. K. Leow, and M. H. Ang Jr. A hybrid mobile robot architecture with integrated planning and control. In *Proc. AAMAS*, pages 219–226, 2002.