Conditional Disclosure of Secrets: Amplification, Closure, Amortization, Lower-bounds, and Separations

Benny Applebaum Barak Arkis Pavel Raykov Prashant Nalini Vasudevan

Conditional Disclosure of Secrets [GIKM00]

$$f: \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$$



<u>δ-Correctness:</u>

If f(x, y) = 1, then for any s, $Pr[C(x, y, m_A, m_B) = s] > 1 - \delta$

 $\begin{aligned} \underline{\epsilon \text{-Privacy:}} \\ & \text{If } f(x, y) = 0, \text{ then for any } s, \\ & \Delta(Sim(x, y); (m_A, m_B)) < \epsilon \end{aligned}$

<u>Communication:</u> $|m_A| + |m_B|$

Randomness: |r|

Connections and Applications

- Attribute-Based Encryption. [Att14,Wee14]
- Secret-sharing for certain graph-based access structures.
- Light-weight alternative to zero-knowledge proofs in some settings. [AIR01]
- Data privacy in information-theoretic PIR. [GIKM00]
- A minimal model of multi-party computation.

What Was Known Earlier

Upper bounds:

- Communication $2^{O(\sqrt{n \log n})}$ for any predicate on *n*-bit inputs. [LVW17]
- Communication $O(\sigma)$ for predicates with size- σ branching programs or span programs. [IW14,AR16]

Lower bounds:

- Explicit predicate that requires $\Omega(\log n)$ bits of communication. [GKW15]
- Same predicate requires $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ bits for linear CDS. [GKW15]

CDS and Statistical Difference



Distribution of (m_A, m_B) :

- input $(x, y), s = 0: (m_A, m_B)^0_{x,y}$
- input $(x, y), s = 1: (m_A, m_B)_{x,y}^1$

<u>δ-Correctness:</u>

If f(x, y) = 1, then for any s, $Pr[C(x, y, m_A, m_B) = s] > 1 - \delta$ $= \Lambda((m - m_A)^0 + (m - m_A)^1) > 1 - 2\delta$

 $\equiv \Delta \left((m_A, m_B)_{x,y}^0; (m_A, m_B)_{x,y}^1 \right) > 1 - 2\delta$

 $\underline{\epsilon \text{-Privacy:}}$ If f(x, y) = 0, then for any s, $\Delta(Sim(x, y); (m_A, m_B)) < \epsilon$ $\equiv \Delta((m_A, m_B)_{x,y}^0; (m_A, m_B)_{x,y}^1) < 2\epsilon$

Separations

Explicit function $PCol: \{0,1\}^{4n \log n} \times \{0,1\}^{2n \log n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ that has:

- CDS complexity: $O(\log n)$
- Randomized communication complexity: $\Omega(n^{1/3})$
- Linear CDS complexity: $\Omega(n^{1/6})$

Inspired by oracle separations between SZK and other classes [Aar12], and the Pattern Matrix method [She11].

Collision Problems



$$\begin{split} h_{z}: \{0,1\}^{\log n} &\to \{0,1\}^{\log n} \\ h_{z}(i) &= i^{th} \text{ block in } z \end{split}$$

$$Col(z) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } h_z \text{ is } 1-\text{to-}1 \implies h_z(i) \text{ is uniformly distributed} \\ 1 \text{ if } h_z \text{ is } 2-\text{to-}1 \implies h_z(i) \text{ is far from uniform} \end{cases}$$

Collision Problems



 $R(PCol) > \Omega(n^{1/3})$ ([Amb05,Kut05] + [She11])

 $linCDS(PCol) > \Omega(n^{1/6})$

(left + [GKW15])

Collision Problems



they are far apart.

Closure

h - Boolean formula over $\{0,1\}^m$ of size σ



Construction uses transformations for Statistical Difference [SV03,Oka96], and PSM protocols [FKN94].

Amplification



Construction uses constant-rate ramp secret-sharing schemes [CCGdHV07].

Incomparable version follows from the Polarization Lemma [SV03].

Lower Bound

There exists a predicate $f: \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ for which any

perfect (single-bit) CDS requires communication at least 0.99n.

Proven by reduction to the PSM lower bound of [FKN94].

Earlier bound was explicit, $\Omega(\log n)$ bits. [GKW15]

Amortization

For any predicate $f: \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ and $m > 2^{2^{2n}}$, there is a perfect CDS protocol for f with m-bit secrets with communication complexity O(mn).

Proven using techniques from the amortization of branching programs [Pot16].

m-fold repetition of best known general protocol [LVW17]: $m \cdot 2^{O(\sqrt{n \log n})}$

Summary

We prove the following properties of CDS:

- Lower Bounds: Non-explicit, $\Omega(n)$.
- Separation: From insecure communication and linear CDS.
- Amortization: O(n) per bit of secret, if there are more than $2^{2^{2n}}$ bits.
- **Closure:** Under composition with formulas.
- **Amplification:** Of correctness and privacy from constant to $2^{-\Omega(k)}$ with O(k) blowup.

To note:

- Connections with Statistical Difference and SZK.
- Barriers to PSM lower bounds.