## Lecture 10 Sorting

Bringing Order to the World

## Lecture Outline

- Iterative sorting algorithms (comparison based)
- Selection Sort
- Bubble Sort
- Insertion Sort
- Recursive sorting algorithms (comparison based)
- Merge Sort
- Quick Sort
- Radix sort (non-comparison based)
- Properties of Sorting
- In-place sort, stable sort
- Comparison of sorting algorithms
- Note: we only consider sorting data in ascending order


## Why Study Sorting?

- When an input is sorted, many problems become easy (e.g. searching, min, max, $k$-th smallest)
- Sorting has a variety of interesting algorithmic solutions that embody many ideas
- Comparison vs non-comparison based
- Iterative
- Recursive
- Divide-and-conquer
- Best/worst/average-case bounds
- Randomized algorithms


## Applications of Sorting

- Uniqueness testing
- Deleting duplicates
- Prioritizing events
- Frequency counting
- Reconstructing the original order
- Set intersection/union
- Finding a target pair $x, y$ such that $x+y=z$
- Efficient searching


## Selection Sort

## Selection Sort: Idea

- Given an array of $n$ items

1. Find the largest item $x$, in the range of [0...n-1]
2. Swap $x$ with the $(n-1)^{\text {th }}$ item
3. Reduce $n$ by 1 and go to Step 1

## Selection Sort: Illustration



37 is the largest, swap it with the last element, i.e. 13. Q: How to find the largest?

| 29 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 10 | 14 | 29 | 37 |



| 13 | 10 | 14 | 29 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10 | 13 | 14 | 29 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Sorted!

We can also find the smallest and put it the front instead http://visualgo.net/sorting?create=29,10,14,37,13\&mode=Selection

## Selection Sort: Implementation

 void selectionSort(int a[], int n)    for (int \(i=n-1 ; i>=1 ; i--)\) \{
    int maxIdx \(=i ;\)
    for (int \(j=0 ; j<i ; j++\) )
        if \((a[j]>=a[m a x I d x])\)
            maxIdx \(=j ;\)
    Step 1: Search for maximum element
// swap routine is in STL <algorithm>
$\operatorname{swap}(a[i], a[m a x I d x]) ;$
\}
\}

Step 2:
Swap maximum element with the last item i

## Selection Sort: Analysis

 void selectionSort(int a[], int $n$ ) \{
## Number of times

 executed    for (int \(i=n-1\); \(i>=1 ; i--)\)
    int maxIdx = i;
    for (int \(j=0 ; j<i ; j++\) )
        if (a[j] >= a[maxIdx])
            \(\operatorname{maxIdx}=j ;\)
    
// swap routine is in STL <algorithm>
swap(a[i], a[maxIdx]);

- $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are cost of statements in outer and inner blocks

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total } \\
& =c_{1}(n-1)+ \\
& \\
& c_{2}^{*} n^{*}(n-1) / 2 \\
& =O\left(n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Bubble Sort

## Bubble Sort: Idea

- Given an array of $n$ items

1. Compare pair of adjacent items
2. Swap if the items are out of order
3. Repeat until the end of array

- The largest item will be at the last position

4. Reduce $n$ by 1 and go to Step 1

- Analogy
- Large item is like "bubble" that floats to the end of the array


## Bubble Sort: Illustration

(a) Pass 1

| 29 | 10 | 14 | 37 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10 | 29 | 14 | 37 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10 | 14 | 29 | 37 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10 | 14 | 29 | 37 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10 | 14 | 29 | 13 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

At the end of Pass 1, the largest item 37 is at the last position.
(b) Pass 2

| 10 | 14 | 29 | 13 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 14 | 29 | 13 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| 10 | 14 | 29 | 13 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| 10 | 14 | 13 | 29 | 37 |

At the end of Pass 2, the second largest item 29 is at the second last position.


## Bubble Sort: Implementation

```
void bubbleSort(int a[], int n) {
    for (int i = n-1; i >= 1; i--) {
        for (int j = 1; j <= i; j++) {
            if (a[j-1] > a[j])
} swap(a[j], a[j-1]); 位,
```


http://visualgo.net/sorting?create=29,10,14,37,13\&mode=Bubble

## Bubble Sort: Analysis

- 1 iteration of the inner loop (test and swap) requires time bounded by a constant $c$
- Two nested loops
- Outer loop: exactly $n$ iterations
- Inner loop:
- when $i=0,(n-1)$ iterations
- when $i=1,(n-2)$ iterations
- when $i=(n-1), 0$ iterations
- Total number of iterations $=0+1+\ldots+(n-1)=n(n-1) / 2$
- Total time $=c n(n-1) / 2=\mathbf{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$


## Bubble Sort: Early Termination

- Bubble Sort is inefficient with a $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time complexity
- However, it has an interesting property
- Given the following array, how many times will the inner loop swap a pair of item?

| 3 | 6 | 11 | 25 | 39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Idea
- If we go through the inner loop with no swapping
$\rightarrow$ the array is sorted
$\rightarrow$ can stop early!


## Bubble Sort v2.0: Implementation

void bubbleSort2 (int a[], int $n$ ) \{
for (int $i=n-1 ; i \quad>=1 ; i--)$ f
bool is_sorted = true;
for (int j $=1 ; ~ j<=i ; j++$ ) \{ if (a[j-1] > a[j]) \{ $\operatorname{swap}(a[j], ~ a[j-1]) ;$ is_sorted = false; \}
\} // end of inner loop if (is_sorted) return;
\}
\}

Assume the array is sorted before the inner loop

Any swapping will invalidate the assumption

If the flag remains true after the inner loop $\rightarrow$ sorted!

## Bubble Sort v2.0: Analysis

- Worst-case
- Input is in descending order
- Running time remains the same: $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Best-case
- Input is already in ascending order
- The algorithm returns after a single outer iteration
- Running time: $\mathrm{O}(n)$


## Insertion Sort

## Insertion Sort: Idea

- Similar to how most people arrange a hand of poker cards
- Start with one card in your hand
- Pick the next card and insert it into its proper sorted order
- Repeat previous step for all cards



## Insertion Sort: Illustration



## http://visualgo.net/sorting?create=40,13,20,8\&mode=Insertion

## Insertion Sort: Implementation


http://visualgo.net/sorting?create=29,10,14,37,13\&mode=Insertion

## Insertion Sort: Analysis

- Outer-loop executes ( $n-1$ ) times
- Number of times inner-loop is executed depends on the input
- Best-case: the array is already sorted and (a[j] > next) is always false
- No shifting of data is necessary
- Worst-case: the array is reversely sorted and (a[j] > next) is always true
- Insertion always occur at the front
- Therefore, the best-case time is $\mathbf{O}(n)$
- And the worst-case time is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

Merge Sort

## Merge Sort: Idea

- Suppose we only know how to merge two sorted sets of elements into one
$-\operatorname{Merge}\{1,5,9\}$ with $\{2,11\} \rightarrow\{1,2,5,9,11\}$
- Question
- Where do we get the two sorted sets in the first place?
- Idea (use merge to sort $n$ items)
- Merge each pair of elements into sets of 2
- Merge each pair of sets of 2 into sets of 4
- Repeat previous step for sets of 4 ...
- Final step: merge 2 sets of $n / 2$ elements to obtain a fully sorted set


## Divide-and-Conquer Method

- A powerful problem solving technique
- Divide-and-conquer method solves problem in the following steps
- Divide step
- Divide the large problem into smaller problems
- Recursively solve the smaller problems
- Conquer step
- Combine the results of the smaller problems to produce the result of the larger problem


## Divide and Conquer: Merge Sort

- Merge Sort is a divide-and-conquer sorting algorithm
- Divide step
- Divide the array into two (equal) halves
- Recursively sort the two halves
- Conquer step
- Merge the two halves to form a sorted array


## Merge Sort: Illustration

| 7 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Divide into
two halves


Recursively sort the halves


Merge them


- Question
- How should we sort the halves in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step?


## Merge Sort: Implementation

```
void mergeSort(int a[], int low, int high)
```

    if (low < high)
        int mid \(=(l o w+h i g h) ~ / ~ 2 ; ~\)
        mergeSort(a, low , mid );
        mergeSort(a, mid+1, high);
    Divide a[ ] into two halves and recursively sort them
merge (a, low, mid, high) ;
\}

Function to merge
Merge sort on a[low...high]
mergeSort(a, low , mid ); mergeSort(a, mid+1, high); merge (a, low, mid, high) ; \} \} a[low...mid] and a[mid+1...high] into a[low...high]

- Note
- mergeSort() is a recursive function
- low >= high is the base case, i.e. there is 0 or 1 item


## Merge Sort: Example



## Merge Sort: Merge <br> $a[0.2] \quad a[3.5] \quad b[0.5$ ]

245

| 2 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 2 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 245 |
| :--- | :--- |



Two sorted halves to be merged


Merged result in a temporary array


Merged items

## Merge Sort: Merge Implementation

PS: C++ STL <algorithm> has merge subroutine too

```
void merge(int a[], int low, int mid, int high)
```

int $n=h i g h-l o w+1 ;$
int* $b=$ new int [n];
int left=low, right=mid+1, bIdx=0;
mid+1, bIdx=0;
$b$ is $a$ temporary array to store result

```
while (left <= mid && right <= high)
    if (a[left] <= a[right])
        b[bIdx++] = a[left++];
    else
    b[bIdx++] = a[right++];
}
```

Normal Merging
Where both
halves have
unmerged items
// continue on next slide

## Merge Sort: Merge Implementation

```
// continued from previous slide
```

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { while (left <= mid) b[bIdx++] = a[left++]; } \\ \text { while (right <= high) b[bIdx++] = a[right++]; }\end{array}\right\}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\text { for (int } k & =0 ; k<n ; k++) \\
\quad a[\text { low }+\mathrm{k}] & =\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{k}] ;
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Merged result } \\
& \text { are copied } \\
& \text { back into } a[]
\end{aligned}
$$

> Remaining items are copied into b[]
delete [] b;

- Question
- Why do we need a temporary array b [ ] ?


## Merge Sort: Analysis

- In mergeSort (), the bulk of work is done in the merge step
- For merge (a, low, mid, high)
- Let total items = $k=($ high - low +1 )
- Number of comparisons $\leq k-1$
- Number of moves from original array to temporary array $=k$
- Number of moves from temporary array back to original array $=k$
- In total, number of operations $\leq 3 k-1=\mathrm{O}(k)$
- The important question is
- How many times is merge () called?


## Merge Sort: Analysis

Level 0: mergeSort n items

Level 1: mergeSort n/2 items

Level 2: mergeSort $n / 2^{2}$ items

Level ( $\lg \boldsymbol{n}$ ): mergeSort 1 item


Level 0:
1 call to mergeSort
Level 1:
2 calls to mergeSort

Level 2:
$\mathbf{2}^{2}$ calls to mergeSort

$$
n /\left(2^{k}\right)=1 \rightarrow n=2^{k} \rightarrow k=\lg n
$$

## Merge Sort: Analysis

- Level 0: $\mathbf{0}$ call to merge ()
- Level 1: $\mathbf{1}$ calls to merge () with $\boldsymbol{n} / \mathbf{2}$ items in each half, $\mathrm{O}(1 \times 2 \times n / 2)=\mathrm{O}(n)$ time
- Level 2: $\mathbf{2}$ calls to merge () with $\boldsymbol{n} / \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ items in each half, $\mathrm{O}\left(2 \times 2 \times n / 2^{2}\right)=\mathrm{O}(n)$ time
- Level 3: $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ calls to merge () with $\boldsymbol{n} / \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{3}}$ items in each half,

$$
\mathrm{O}\left(2^{2} \times 2 \times n / 2^{3}\right)=\mathrm{O}(n) \text { time }
$$

- Level ( $\lg n$ ): $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{l g}(n)-\mathbf{1}}\left(=\boldsymbol{n} \mathbf{2}\right.$ ) calls to merge () with $\boldsymbol{n} / \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{l g}(\boldsymbol{n})}(=\mathbf{1})$ item in each half, $\mathrm{O}(n)$ time
- Total time complexity $=\mathbf{O}(n \lg (n))$
- Optimal comparison-based sorting method


## Merge Sort: Pros and Cons

- Pros
- The performance is guaranteed, i.e. unaffected by original ordering of the input
- Suitable for extremely large number of inputs
- Can operate on the input portion by portion
- Cons
- Not easy to implement
- Requires additional storage during merging operation
- O(n) extra memory storage needed


## Quick Sort

## Quick Sort: Idea

- Quick Sort is a divide-and-conquer algorithm
- Divide step
- Choose an item $\boldsymbol{p}$ (known as pivot) and partition the items of a[i...j] into two parts
- Items that are smaller than $p$
- Items that are greater than or equal to $p$
- Recursively sort the two parts
- Conquer step
- Do nothing!
- In comparison, Merge Sort spends most of the time in conquer step but very little time in divide step


## Quick Sort: Divide Step Example

## Pivot

Choose first element as pivot

| 27 | 38 | 12 | 39 | 27 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Partition a[] about the pivot 27

Recursively sort the two parts

Pivot


Notice anything special about the position of pivot in the final sorted items?

## Quick Sort: Implementation



- partition() splits a[low...high] into two portions
- a[low ... pivot-1] and a[pivot+1 ... high]
- Pivot item does not participate in any further sorting


## Quick Sort: Partition Algorithm

- To partition a[i...j], we choose a[i] as the pivot $p$
- Why choose a[i]? Are there other choices?
- The remaining items (i.e. a[i+1...j]) are divided into 3 regions
- S1 = a[i+1...m] where items < p
- $\mathbf{S} 2=a[m+1 \ldots k-1]$ where item $\geq p$
- Unknown (unprocessed) = a[k...j], where items are yet to be assigned to S1 or S2



## Quick Sort: Partition Algorithm

- Initially, regions S1 and S2 are empty
- All items excluding $p$ are in the unknown region
- For each item $a[k]$ in the unknown region
- Compare a[k] with $p$
- If a[k] >= p, put it into S2
- Otherwise, put a[k] into S1



## Quick Sort: Partition Algorithm

- Case 1: if a[k] >= $p$



## Quick Sort: Partition Algorithm

- Case 2: if $a[k]<p$


Increment m


## Quick Sort: Partition Implementation

PS: C++ STL <algorithm> has partition subroutine too int partition(int a[], int i, int j)
int $p=a[i] ;$
int $m=i ;$
for (int $k=i+1 ; k<=j ; k++$ )
if (a[k] < p) \{
m++;
swap (a[k], a[m]);
\}
else \{
\}
\}
swap(a[i], a[m]);
Swap pivot with a[m]
return m;
\}
$m$ is the index of pivot

## Quick Sort: Partition Example

| Pivot | Unknown |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | 38 | 12 | 39 | 27 |


| Pivot | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Unknown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 38 | 12 | 39 | 27 | 16 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pivot ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Unknown |  |  |
| 27 | 12 | 38 | 39 | 27 | 16 |


http://visualgo.net/sorting?create=27,38,12,39,27,16\&mode=Quick
-[ CS1020E AY1617S1 Lecture 10 ]

## Quick Sort: Partition Analysis

- There is only a single for-loop
- Number of iterations = number of items, $n$, in the unknown region
- $n=$ high - low
- Complexity is $\mathrm{O}(n)$
- Similar to Merge Sort, the complexity is then dependent on the number of times partition() is called


## Quick Sort: Worst Case Analysis

- When the array is already in ascending order

- What is the pivot index returned by partition()?
- What is the effect of $\operatorname{swap}(a, i, m)$ ?
- S1 is empty, while S2 contains every item except the pivot


## Quick Sort: Worst Case Analysis



As each partition takes linear time, the algorithm in its worst case has $n$ levels and hence it takes time $n+(n-1)+\ldots+1=\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$

## Quick Sort: Best/Average Case Analysis

- Best case occurs when partition always splits the array into two equal halves
- Depth of recursion is $\log n$
- Each level takes $n$ or fewer comparisons, so the time complexity is $\mathrm{O}(n \log n)$
- In practice, worst case is rare, and on the average we get some good splits and some bad ones (details in CS3230:O)
- Average time is also $\mathrm{O}(n \log n)$


## Lower Bound: Comparison-Based Sort

- It is known that
- All comparison-based sorting algorithms have a complexity lower bound of $n \log n$
- Therefore, any comparison-based sorting algorithm with worst-case complexity $\mathrm{O}(n \log n)$ is optimal

Radix Sort

## Radix Sort: Idea

- Treats each data to be sorted as a character string
- It is not using comparison, i.e. no comparison between the data is needed
- In each iteration
- Organize the data into groups according to the next character in each data
- The groups are then "concatenated" for next iteration


## Radix Sort: Example

0123,2154, 0222, 0004, 0283, 1560, 1061, 2150 $(1560,2150)(1061)(0222)(0123,0283)(2154,0004)$ 1560, 2150, 1061, 0222, 0123, 0283, 2154, 0004
(0004) $(0222,0123)(2150,2154)(1560,1061) \quad(0283)$ 0004, 0222, 0123, 2150, 2154, 1560, 1061, 0283
$(0004,1061)(0123,2150,2154)(0222,0283)(1560)$ 0004, 1061, 0123,2150, 2154, 0222, 0283, 1560 $(0004,0123,0222,0283) \quad(1061,1560) \quad(2150,2154)$ 0004, 0123, 0222, 0283, 1061, 1560, 2150, 2154

Original integers
Grouped by fourth digit
Combined
Grouped by third digit
Combined
Grouped by second digit
Combined
Grouped by first digit
Combined (sorted)

```
Radix Sort: Implementation
void radixSort(vector<int>& v, int d) {
    int i;
    int power = 1;
    queue<int> digitQueue[10]:
    for (i = 0; i < d; i++) {
        distribute(v, digitQueue, power);
        collect(digitQueue, v);
        power *= 10;
    }
}
```

- distribute(): Organize all items in v into groups using digit indicated by the power
- collect(): Place items from the groups back into v, i.e. "concatenate" the groups


## Radix Sort: Implementation

```
void distribute(vector<int>& v,
    queue<int> digitQ[], int power)
    int digit;
    for (int i = 0; i < v.size(); i++) {
        digit = (v[i]/power) % 10;
        digitQ[digit].push(v[i]);
    }
}
```

- Question
- How do we extract the digit used for the current grouping?


## Radix Sort: Implementation

```
void collect(queue<int> digitQ[], vector<int>& v)
    int i = 0, digit;
    for (digit = 0; digit < 10; digit++)
    while (!digitQ[digit].empty()) {
        v[i] = digitQ[digit].front();
        digitQ[digit].pop();
        i++;
    }
}
```

- Basic Idea
- Start with digitQ [0]
- Place all items into vector v
- Repeat with digitQ[1], digitQ[2], ...


## Radix Sort: Analysis

- For each iteration
- We go through each item once to place them into group
- Then go through them again to concatenate the groups
- Complexity is O(n)
- Number of iterations is $d$, the maximum number of digits (or maximum number of characters)
- Complexity is thus O(dn)

Properties of Sorting

## In-Place Sorting

- A sort algorithm is said to be an in-place sort
- If it requires only a constant amount (i.e. O(1)) of extra space during the sorting process
- Questions
- Merge Sort is not in-place, why?
- Is Quick Sort in-place?
- Is Radix Sort in-place?


## Stable Sorting

- A sorting algorithm is stable if the relative order of elements with the same key value is preserved by the algorithm
- Example application of stable sort
- Assume that names have been sorted in alphabetical order
- Now, if this list is sorted again by tutorial group number, a stable sort algorithm would ensure that all students in the same tutorial groups still appear in alphabetical order of their names


## Non-Stable Sort

- Selection Sort
$12855_{a} 47466025_{b}$ (8356)
$12855_{a} 5_{b} 602(4746$ 8356)
$6025_{a} 5_{b}(12854746$ 8356)
$5_{b} 5_{a}(60212854746$ 8356)
- Quick Sort
- $12855_{\mathrm{a}} 15047466025_{\mathrm{b}} 8356$ (pivot=1285)
- $1285\left(5_{a} 1506025_{b}\right)(4746$ 8356)
- $5_{b} \quad 5_{a} 150602128547468356$


## Sorting Algorithms: Summary

|  | Worst <br> Case | Best <br> Case | In-place? | Stable? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selection <br> Sort | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ | Yes | No |
| Insertion <br> Sort | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | Yes | Yes |
| Bubble Sort | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ | Yes | Yes |
| Bubble Sort 2 | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | Yes | Yes |
| Merge Sort | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \lg \mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \lg \mathrm{n})$ | No | Yes |
| Quick Sort | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \lg \mathrm{n})$ | Yes | No |
| Radix sort | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{dn})$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{dn})$ | No | yes |

## Summary

- Comparison-Based Sorting Algorithms
- Iterative Sorting
- Selection Sort
- Bubble Sort
- Insertion Sort
- Recursive Sorting
- Merge Sort
- Quick Sort
- Non-Comparison-Based Sorting Algorithms
- Radix Sort
- Properties of Sorting Algorithms
- In-Place
- Stable

