


Role-based access control

1

RBAC: Motivations


- Complexity of security administration
 - For large number of subjects and objects, the number of authorizations can become extremely large
 - For dynamic user population, the number of grant and revoke operations to be performed can become very difficult to manage



2

RBAC: Motivations

- Organizations operate based on roles
 - Roles add a useful level of abstraction
- RBAC assigns permissions to **roles** in the organization, rather than directly to users
- With roles, there are fewer relationships to manage
 - possibly from $O(mn)$ to $O(m+n)$, where m is the number of users and n is the number of permissions



3

RBAC: Motivations

- Roles is more stable
 - Users can be easily reassigned from one role to another.
 - Roles can be granted new permissions as new applications and systems are incorporated, and permissions can be revoked from roles as needed
 - Permissions assigned to roles tend to change relatively slowly
- Let administrators confer and revoke user membership in existing roles without authorizing them to create new roles or change role-permission
 - Assigning users to roles requires less technical skill than assigning permissions to roles.

4

Groups vs. Roles

- Some differences
 - Sets of users vs. sets of users as well as permissions
 - Roles can be activated and deactivated, groups cannot
 - Groups can be used to prevent access with negative authorization.
 - Roles can be deactivated for least privilege
 - Can easily enumerate permissions that a role has, but not for groups
 - Roles are associated with a function, groups not necessarily
 - Roles form a hierarchy, groups don't


5

Role-Based Access Control - RBAC

- Simplify authorization management
 - [Subject-role-object](#) (role-object is persistent) rather than subject-object
 - Roles are created for various job functions
 - Users are assigned roles based on responsibility
- Express organizational policies
 - Separation of duties (SoD)
 - Define conflicting roles that cannot be executed by the same user
 - Delegation of authority
- Supports
 - Least-privilege
 - SoD
 - Data abstraction


6

RBAC



9

RBAC₀



Permissions are sets of (action, object) pairs, e.g., (read, Table1), (write, Table2), etc.

10

RBAC₀

- UA: user assignments
 - Many-to-many
- PA: Permission assignment
 - Many-to-many mapping
- Session: mapping of a user to possibly many roles
 - Multiple roles can be activated simultaneously
 - Permissions: union of permissions from all roles
 - Each session is associated with a single user
 - User may have multiple sessions at the same time

11

RBAC₀ Components

- **Users, Roles, Permissions, Sessions**
- $PA \subseteq P \times R$ (many-to-many)
- $UA \subseteq U \times R$ (many-to-many)
- user: $S \rightarrow U$, mapping each session s_i to a single user $user(s_i)$
- roles: $S \rightarrow 2^R$, mapping each session s_i to a set of roles $roles(s_i) \subseteq \{r \mid (user(s_i), r) \in UA\}$ and s_i has permissions $\cup_{r \in roles(s_i)} \{p \mid (p, r) \in PA\}$


12

RBAC₀

- Permissions apply to data and resource objects only
 - Do NOT apply to RBAC components
- Administrative permissions: modify U,R,S,P
- Session: under the control of user to
 - Activate any subset of permitted roles
 - Change roles within a session

13

RBAC₁ – RBAC₀ + Role Hierarchy



14

RBAC₁

- Role hierarchies for structuring roles to reflect an organization's line of authority and responsibility
- **Inheritance of permission** from junior role (bottom) to senior role (top)
- Partial order
 - Reflexive
 - Transitive
 - Anti-symmetric


15

RBAC₁ Components

- Same as RBAC₀: **Users, Roles, Permissions, Sessions**, $PA \subseteq P \times R$, $UA \subseteq U \times R$, $user: S \rightarrow U$, mapping each session s_i to a single user $user(s_i)$
- $RH \subseteq R \times R$, partial order (\geq dominance)
- $roles: S \rightarrow 2^R$, mapping each session s_i to a set of roles $roles(s_i) \subseteq \{r \mid (\exists r' \geq r) [(user(s_i), r') \in UA]\}$ and s_i has permissions $\cup_{r \in roles(s_i)} \{p \mid (\exists r'' \leq r) [(p, r'') \in PA]\}$

16


RBAC₁: Role Hierarchy



17


How to limit the scope of inheritance?

- E.g. do not let boss see incomplete work in progress?




18

RBAC₁ – Limit Scope of Inheritance




19

Role Hierarchies with Private Roles




20

Role Hierarchies with Private Roles



21

RBAC₂ – RBAC₀ + Constraints



22

RBAC₂ – RBAC₀ + Constraints

- Enforce high-level organizational policies
 - Mutually disjoint roles: Separation of duties
 - UA: Same user cannot be both accounts manager and purchasing manager
 - Violation is caused only as a result of collusion
 - Dual constraint of permission assignment
 - PA: Permission to issue checks cannot be assigned to both accounts & purchasing managers (**limit distribution of powerful permissions**)
 - Cardinality:
 - A role can have maximum number of members
 - Maximum number of roles to each user
 - Any problem in enforcing minimum number?
 - Can also apply to PA
 - Others: Limit number of roles at runtime (per session) or based on history or pre-requisite (e.g., user can only be assigned to the testing role if assigned to project role already; permission to read a file is assigned to a role if permission has been granted to read the directory)
- Any problem if one user has multiple user ids?

23

RBAC – Static SoD Constraints

- SSoD places restrictions on the set of roles
- No user is assigned to t or more roles in a set of m roles
- Prevents a person being authorized to use too many roles
- These constraints can be enforced based on the users assigned to each role

24

RBAC – Dynamic SoD Constraints

- These constraints limit the number of roles a user can activate in a single session
- Examples of constraints:
 - No user may activate t or more roles from the roles set in each user session.
 - If a user has used role $r1$ in a session, he/she cannot use role $r2$ in the same session
 - What if user terminates one session in one role and logs in with another role?
- Enforcement of these roles requires keeping the history of the user access to roles within a session


25

RBAC₂

- How to implement role hierarchy with constraints?
 - Specify a constraint that a permission assigned to a (junior) role must also be assigned to an inherited (senior) role
 - Specify a constraint that a user assigned to a (senior) role must also be assigned to any parent (junior) role
- RBAC₁ is redundant (?)

26


RBAC₃ – RBAC₁ + RBAC₂



27


RBAC₃ – RBAC₁ + RBAC₂

- Constraints can apply to role hierarchy
 - E.g. 2 or more roles cannot have common senior/junior role
 - E.g. limit the number of senior/junior roles that a given role may have
- Interactions between RH and constraints
 - E.g. Programmer & tester are mutually exclusive. Project supervisor inherits both sets of permissions. How?
 - E.g., Cardinality constraint – a user can be assigned to at most one role. How about Tester? Do cardinality constraint applies to only direct membership or they also carry on to inherited membership?
- Private roles
 - E.g., setting Tester to (max) cardinality of zero means supervisor and Tester (aka Tester1) are mutually exclusive



28

RBAC Models (+ Administrative Roles)



29

RBAC System and Administrative Functional Specification

- Administrative Operations
 - Create, Delete, Maintain elements and relations
- Administrative Reviews
 - Query operations
- System Level Functions
 - Creation of user sessions
 - Role activation/deactivation
 - Constraint enforcement
 - Access Decision Calculation

30

Case Study: Oracle Enterprise Server

- Create password-protected role for update
 - Create role update_role identified by passwd;
- Grant update privileges to protected role
 - Grant insert, update on app.table1 to update_role;
- Create non-password protected role for query
 - Create role query_role;
- Grant select privileges to unprotected role
 - Grant select on app.table1 to query_role;
- Grant both roles to users
 - Grant update_role, query_role to user1;

31

Case Study: Oracle Enterprise Server

- User1 activates the roles
 - Set role update_role identified by passwd, query_role;
- Set default active role for User1
 - Alter user user1 default role query_role;
- Assignable privileges
 - System: create session, create table, select any table
 - Object:
 - Table: select, update, insert, delete, alter, create index
 - View: select, update, insert, delete
 - Procedures & functions: execute

32

Comparison of DBMSs

Item	Feature	Informix	Sybase	Oracle
1	Ability for a role grantee to grant that role to other users	Yes	No	Yes
2	Multiple active roles for a user session	No	Yes	Yes
3	Specify a default active role set for a user session	No	Yes	Yes
4	Build a role hierarchy	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	Specify static separation of duty constraints on roles	No	Yes	No
6	Specify dynamic separation of duty constraints on roles	(Yes)	Yes	No
7	Specify maximum or minimum cardinality for role memberships	No	No	No
8	Grant DBMS system privileges to a role	No	Yes	Yes
9	Grant DBMS object privileges to a role	Yes	Yes	Yes

Source: Role-Based Access Control Features in Commercial Database Management Systems, C. Ramaswamy, R. Sandhu

33

Configuring RBAC to Enforce MAC and DAC

S. Osborn, R. Sandhu and Q. Munawer. *Configuring Role-based Access Control to Enforce Mandatory and Discretionary Access Control Policies*. ACM Trans. Information and Systems Security, 3, 2 (May 2000), Pages 85-106.

34

Configuring RBAC for MAC

- Construction (*Liberal *-Property*) (write-up)

$R = \{L1R, \dots, LnR, L1W, \dots, LnW\}$ where Li denote label i

RH which consists of two disjoint role hierarchies. The first role hierarchy consists of the “read” roles $\{L1R, \dots, LnR\}$ and has the same partial order as \geq_{MAC} ; the second partial consists of the “write” roles $\{L1W, \dots, LnW\}$ and has a partial order which is the inverse of \geq_{MAC} .

$P = \{ (o,r),(o,w) \mid o \text{ is an object in the system} \}$

Constraint on UA : Each user is assigned to exactly two roles xR and LW where x is the label assigned to the user and LW is the write role corresponding to the lowermost security level according to \geq_{MAC}

Constraint on sessions: Each session has exactly two roles yR and yW ($x \geq y$)


Constraints on PA :

(o,r) is assigned to xR iff (o,w) is assigned to xW

(o,r) is assigned to exactly one role xR such that x is the label of o

35

Configuring RBAC for MAC





Each user with label x is assigned roles xR & LW (why?)

Additional Constraints:

- Each session has exactly two matching roles yR and yW ($x \geq y$)
- For each object with label x , a pair of permissions (o,r) & (o,w) is assigned to exactly one matching pair of xR and xW roles

36



Traditional MAC

		Privileges at logon				Overall privileges			
H M L		H	M	L		H	M	L	
	H	R/W	R	R	H	R/W	R/W	R/W	
	M	W	R/W	R	M	W	R/W	R/W	
	L	W	W	R/W	L	W	W	R/W	

RBAC simulation of MAC: Case 2

	H	M	L
(H, H)	R/W	R	R
(M, M)	W	R/W	R
(L, L)	W	W	R/W

Logon match

Problem?
User with (H, H) cannot "logon as" (inherit) (M, M) since H for write is junior to M!

		Privileges at logon				Overall privileges			
H M L		H	M	L		H	M	L	
	H	R	R	R	L	W	W	W	
	M		R	R	M	W	W		
	L			R	H	W			

L
|
M
|
H

39

Traditional MAC

		Privileges at logon				Overall privileges			
H M L		H	M	L		H	M	L	
	H	R/W	R	R	H	R/W	R/W	R/W	
	M	W	R/W	R	M	W	R/W	R/W	
	L	W	W	R/W	L	W	W	R/W	

RBAC simulation of MAC: Case 3

Static

	H	M	L
(H, L)	R/W	R/W	R/W
(M, L)	W	R/W	R/W
(L, L)	W	W	R/W

Logon match

Overall match
Restrict at runtime

	H	M	L
(H, H)	R/W	R	R
(M, M)	W	R/W	R
(L, L)	W	W	R/W


		Privileges at logon				Overall privileges			
H M L		H	M	L		H	M	L	
	H	R	R	R	L	W	W	W	
	M		R	R	M	W	W		
	L			R	H	W			

L
|
M
|
H

40

Configuring RBAC for DAC

- The basic idea is to simulate the owner-centric policies of DAC using roles that are associated with each object.
 - Strict DAC – only owner can grant access
 - Liberal DAC – owner can delegate discretionary authority for granting access to an object to other users
- *Create an Object.* For every object O that is created, three administrative roles and one regular role are also created (we show only Read operation)



41

Eight Permissions

- The following eight permissions are also created along with creation of each object O.
 - canRead_O: assigned to the role READ_O (authorizes read operation on object O)
 - destroyObject_O: assigned to the role OWN_O (authorizes deletion of the object)
 - addReadUser_O, deleteReadUser_O: assigned to the role PARENT_O (add/remove users to/from role READ_O)
 - addParent_O, deleteParent_O: assigned to the role PARENTwithGRANT_O (add/remove users to/from role PARENT_O)
 - addParentWithGrant_O, deleteParentWithGrant_O: assigned to the role OWN_O (add/remove users to/from PARENTwithGRANT_O)
- Object deletion removes the roles OWN_O, PARENT_O, PARENTwithGRANT_O and READ_O along with the 8 permissions


42

Roles and associated Permissions

- OWN_O
 - destroyObject_O, addParentWithGrant_O, deleteParentWithgrant_O
- PARENTwithGRANT_O
 - addParent_O, deleteParent_O
- PARENT_O
 - addReadUser_O, deleteReadUser_O
- READ_O
 - canRead_O

43

Strict DAC



- Only owner has discretionary authority to grant access to an object.
- Example:
 - Alice has created an object (she is owner) and grants access to Bob. Now Bob cannot propagate the access to another user.
- Cardinality constraints on roles:
 - OWN_O = 1
 - PARENT_O = 0
 - PARENTwithGRANT_O = 0
- By virtue of the role hierarchy, owner can change assignments of the role READ_O


44

Liberal DAC


- Owner can delegate discretionary authority for granting access to other users.
 - One Level grant
 - Two Level Grant
 - Multilevel Grant

45

One Level Grant




- Owner can delegate authority to another user but they *cannot* further delegate this power.




- Cardinality constraints as:
 - Role OWN_O = 1
 - Role PARENTwithGRANT_O = 0
 - No restriction on Parent_O

46

Two Level Grant




- In addition to a one level grant *the owner* can allow some users to delegate grant authority to other users.



- Cardinality constraints as:
 - Role OWN_O = 1

47

Multi-Level Grant



- In addition to a one level grant the owner can allow *some users* to delegate grant authority to other users.
- Cardinality constraints as:
 - Role OWN_O = 1
- Additional permission
 - PARENTwithGRANT_O
 - AddParentWithGrant_O
 - DeleteParentWithGrant_O
 - Grant independent revocation
 - Alternatively, leave delete with OWN_O


48

Revocation

- Grant-Independent Revocation
 - Grant may be revoked by anyone (not necessarily the granter)
 - Alice grants Bob access, but Bob's access may be revoked by Charles
- Grant-Dependent Revocation
 - Revocation is tied to the granter
 - Alice grants Bob access, and only Alice can revoke Bob's access

49

Grant-Dependent Revocation (One-level grant)



READ_O role associated with members of PARENT_O

- We need a different administrative role U_PARENT_O and a regular role U_READ_O *for each user* U authorized to do a one-level grant by owner. We also need two new administrative permissions
- addU_ReadUser_O, deleteU_ReadUser_O: assigned to U_PARENT_O
 - authorize the operations to add users to role U_Read_O and delete users from U_Read_O
 - cardinality of U_PARENT_O = 1

50

Summary

- Group is NOT the same as Role
- Role hierarchy is NOT the same as company (report-to) hierarchy
- RBAC can support SoD, data abstraction and least privilege
- RBAC can be used to configure DAC and MAC